		vice president of The Times.
	+	Harding F. Bancroft, executive
Continued on Page 18, Column 4	the final decision of the	internal security division, to
or the hypothage haw, into	We will of course abide by	
of the Espinnage Law Title	the articles in the first place.	torney General in change of the
annohibited by the provisions	reason that led us to publish	ert C. Mardian Assistant At-
this information is directly	an injunction for the same	joinder were conveyed by Rob-
fication.	will oppose any request for	intention to seek a court en-
States and bears a top secret	courts to decide. The Times	The department's request and
tional defense of the United	properly a matter for the	publication voluntarily.
mation felating to the na-	lication. We believe that it is	The Times refused to halt
main study contains infor-	junction against further pub-	action tomorrow.
TEXTS LIGHT LETITEROILS ATE-	eral's intention to seek an in-	bid further publication by court
Tevte Trom Dontaron's Vist	infined of the Attorney Gen-	partment said, it will try to for-
14 1971 centioned "Way	formod of the auto peen in-	If the paper refuses, the de-
Tin I		of the United States."
material published in The	riat contrained in this series	injury to the defense interests
Secretary of Defense that the	mini contribution of the mate-	that it will cause "irreparable
I have been advised by the	to be informed of the mate	Vietnam war on the ground
New York, New York	of the moonly of this counter	from a Pentagon study of the
The New York Times	ing that it is in the interest	publication of documents drawn
President and Publisher	the Attorney General Bolizz	ning to refrain from further
Ochs Sulzberger:	fully decline the moment of	The New York Times this eve-
The Times' publisher, Arthur	The Timper must recurate	The Justice Department asked
New York Times, addressed to	the Pentagon's Vietnam study	WASHINGTON, June 14-
Mitchell was received at The	rease further multication of	Special to The New York Times
Attorney General John N.	eral asking The Times to	By MAX FRANKEL
The following telegram from	gram from the Attorney Gen-	
Telegram From Mitchell	We have received the tele-	JUN 7 5 197)
	lowing statement:	IN LUNINIEI
	The Times issued the fol-	To Duklinkar
	gon study.	Haned III I CIESI dili
	of the articles about the Penta-	Acted in Tologram
	press with the third installment	Neturit of Documents
	equilibrium of today's issue of the	Dotum of Documents
	addition of the design of the first	
	about 7:50 P.M., Which was	
	They spoke by telephone at	UUUNI DIEK LINELI
		NULLER COMPANY ALL AND A COMPANY
		No. 10. The second seco
		I TTT A ATO
A DELEVERO	A A A A A	TATE T CATTOR
	LVKL	L'LELL/MALN U

18, United States Code, Section 793.

Moreover, further publication of information of this character will cause irreparable injury to the defense interests of the United States.

Accordingly, I respectfully request that you publish no further information of this character and advise me that you have made arrangements for the return of these documents to the Department of Defense.

John N. Mitchell

Attorney General The section of the United matter fully. States Code cited by the Ating "certain ambiguities" about ment reported intensive inwhether it applies to publica- quiries into the affair. tions or only to their sources of secret information.

Government lawyers were divided on the matter, the closures to The Times on Sunspokesman indicated, because day. there appeared to be no pre-No official here challenged cedent for application of that the authenticity of the Pentalaw to a publication.

Text of the Regulation

or publishes, or uses in any said he knew at the time of se-to 1968, he added, the informa-manner prejudicial to the cret plans to widen the war safety or interest of the United and accused Mr. Johnson of States or for the benefit of having lied to the country. useful purpose." The Secretary any foreign government to the detriment of the United States the Pentagon all questions on any classified information . . . the circumstances of the dis-be made available to the For-chall be fined not more than closure. Under vigorous questions for the dis-be made available to the For-

The Justice Department's re-quest was the first direct con-tact between the Government and The Times about the publi-logo "not to engage ourselves, ing" that Congress had been cation of the Pentagon papers. In a continuation or justifica-lit was also the most direct the diministrations which are the logo about them in the news-Government response to the administrations, which are the read about them in the news-first two installments of arti-cles and documents. Ronald L. Ziegler, the Presi-Asked whether he knew who

kin Guilt incident in August, Defense Department. 1964. The second installment, in this morning's issue, covered the decision to begin open bombing of North Vietnam in Ziegler stressed that the basic documents and information been made public " he declared

the request of the Defense De-learly 1969. partment.

R tions of the United States.'

ficials subject to these regula- replied: tions\_and violation of a law. "I'm not going to build up,

the legal implications. tion."

This morning, a formal Pen- The only formal statement tagon statement expressed con-was that by the Pentagon recern about "this violation of ferring the matter to the Jusscurity" but left determination tice Department. But this came of legal action to the Justice after Secretary Laird was Department.

this afternoon, a spokesman ington, Democrat of Missouri, said the subject was still un- at a hearing of the Senate Forder consideration by Attorney eign Relations Committee on General Mitchell. "We have yet foreign aid. to determine whether or not there is something to investi-is intention to propose a "full explaining that Mr. Mitchell the war" for the benefit of was dealing today with a state-future generations. Mr. Laird opposed the idea arming that ment on housing discrimination and had not yet considered the matter fully.

As of that time, there was torney General was described said to have been no order earlier in the day by a Pen-tagon spokesman as contain-but other agencies of govern-the reaction to the Times' dis-

Mr. Mitchell, Secretary Laird and White House officials began to confer on the dis-

gon study and the documents printed in The Times. Only a few members of Congress com-

The section states: "Who-ever knowingly and willfully communicates, furnishes, trans-able to an unauthorized person, or publishes, or uses in any said he knew at the time of se-to result to the local to the loc

shall be fined not more than closure. Under vigorous ques-leign Relations Committee. \$10,000 of imprisoned not more tioning about the documents, it senator Symington observed than then years, or both." The Justice Department's re-dent Nixon had developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur Vistors" policy" and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the committee to the first direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times to obtain the direct core "incur vistors" policy " and developed a several times" policy " and developed a several times" policy " and deve

The first group of materials, dent's press secretary, said that might have passed the materials bublished Sunday, covered the a copy of the 1967-68 Pentagon clandestine warfare against study was brought to the White "No, I don't yet know." But North Vietnam before the Ton-kin Gulf incident in August, Defense Department. North State against study was brought to the white "No, I don't yet know." But House this morning from the since there were so few copies, he added, "it won't be hard to

before Mr. Mardian's call, the contained therein had been Shortly afterward, Jerry W. Administration had said only available to the new Adminis-Friedheim, the Pentagon brief-that the Justice Department was tration and were fully consid- ing officer, read a statement investigating the disclosures, at ered in its own policy review in that had been worked out after

R. Laird said the disclosure of its publishing plans, Mr. yers of the Defense and Justice "violated the security regula-tions of the United States." In any time check with us." statement made a distinction The Secretary implied a dif- Asked whether the President between violation of Governference between the violation was concerned about the publi-ment security regulations and of security regulations—by of-cation of secret documents, he possible violations of law. It

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8 He said he had asked the Jus- by White House comment, the tice Department to determine exposure of classified informa-

At the Justice Department affair by Senator Stuart Sym-

country and would not help us

the reaction to the Times' disclosures, Mr. Laird said that "the divisions caused by debate of the past actions would not serve a useful purpose today." He has been trying to shift focus away from "why Viet-nam," he said, to the means of disengaging in an honorable way.

## Disclosive 'Unauthorized'

he added, "it won't be hard to

Shortly afterward, Jerry W. 24 hours of consultation among artment. Secretary of Defense Melvin had informed the White House White House officials and law-

said: "The Department of Defense



The New York Times

Senator Stuart Symington, left, Missouri Democrat, and Defense Secretary Melvin R. Laird at yesterday's hearing.

> must be and is concerned about the disclosure of publication of highly classified information affecting national security.

"The material remains classifield and sensitive despite the fact that it covers a period that ended in 1968.

It is our responsibility to call this violation of security to the attention of the Justice Department. We have done so.

The Government has the responsibility to determine what individual or individuals, if any, violated the laws relating to national security information by unauthorized disclosure of classified material."

Mr. Friedheim said officials of the Justice and Defense Departments had had various discussions of the matter, face to face and also by telephone, since Sunday, when The Times began publication of its series of articles.

He said the relevant law was Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 798, noting that is contained "certain ambiguities" as to whether it applies to publications or only to their sources of secret information.

"Some lawyers are of the opinion that the publication is liable to prosecution as well as the official [source]," the spokesman said. "but there appears no precedent to establish that point. Justice is studying the whole matter to decide who, if anyone, to charge with law violation." Definition of Classified Data

The cited legal section states: "Whoever knowingly and willfully communicates, furnishes, transmits, or otherwise makes available to an unauthorized person, or publishes, or uses in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States any classified information . . . shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both."

The section contains a list and definition of classified information as bearing on codes, weapons and materials, intelligence activities and material obtained from the communications of foreign governments. Government attorneys are said to have found no case in which the law had been applied to a publication.

Mr. Friedheim said the Pentagon had determined that there were "a dozen or so" copies of the papers and that half of these, at the Defense Department, "have remained under extremely tight control." He said he did not believe the Pentagon's copies had either been duplicated or shown to unauthorized persons. He refused to say where the other copies had been kept.

There is a possibility, the spokesman remarked, that unauthorized copies were made at some point, "or even that a set of the study was stolen at some point." The materials run to about 7,000 pages of analysis and documentation.

As a practical matter, Mr. Friedheim said, the Pentagon regards individuals with authorized clearance to classified information as primarily responsible for the protection of such information.

He said Secretary Laird had been aware of the secret Pentagon study since he came into office in 1969 and had even once referred to its existence in public testimony before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

The sopkesman then emphasized again Mr. Laird's "philosophical" conviction that it was more important to consider ways of disengaging from Vietnam than to "rake over the coals" of past policies.

At the State Department, a spokesman said he could not comment "on the accuracy of —or make any useful comment on the substance of — these papers until we have had an opportunity to check the original."

Checking is difficult, the spokesman, Charles W. Bray 3d, added, because the department has not had time to locate its copy of the report, or even to determine whether it has one.

"Anyone familiar with the volume and dimension of our files could understand that," Mr. Bray said.

"Can you rule the possibility that Sate 'lost' its copy to The Times?" he was asked.

"Yes, I am on fairly safe ground ruling that out," he replied.

Secretary of State William P. Rogers had no comment but is likely to be asked about the materials at a news conference tomorrow.

In Congress, there were only a few other comments on the matter and no indication that disclosure of the Vietnam materials would significantly influence the Senate vote Wednesday on legislation that would require withdrawal of American forces from the war zone by the end of this year.

Senator George S. McGovern of South Dakota, a cosponsor of that measure and candidate for the Democratic Presidential nomination, said the documents told a story of "almost incredible deception" of Congress and the American people by the highest officials in Government, including the President.

He said that he did not see how any Senator could ever again believe it was safe to permit the executive branch to make foreign policy alone, and added:

"We would make a serious mistake to assume the kind of deception revealed in these documents began and ended with the Johnson Administration." Senator Hugh Scott of Pennsylvania, the Republican leader, said that the "release" of the documents was "a bad thing, it's a federal crime." But he described their content as "very instructive and somewhat shocking."

"I think the American people have never been told as much as they could digest about the war until President Nixon assumed office," he added. "He has been more than candid. This President has taken the people into his confidence more than anyone else."

Asked whether The Times should continue publication of its articles, Senator Scott said the paper would have to decide "on its good judgment."

Representative Paul N. Mc-Closkey Jr. of California, who has talked of challenging Mr. Nixon for the Presidency in the Republican primaries next year, discussed The Times articles and underlying Pentagon papers on the floor of the House.

He said "the issue of truthfulness in Government is a problem as serious as that of ending the war itself." He also complained of "deceptive," "incomplete" and "misleading" briefings given to him on a recent visit to Southeast Asia, often, he said, with officers who knew the statements to be incorrect standing mute in his presence.

"This deception is not a matter of protecting secret information from the enemy," Mr. McCloskey said. "The intention is to conceal information from the people of the United State as if we were the enemy."

Robert S. McNamara, the former Secretary of Defense, who commissioned the Pentagon study in 1967, was reported to have sent the copy later delivered to him to the National Archives.

Mr. McNamara turned down several invitations to make a public comment today on the ground that this was inappropriate to his present duties as President of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development—the World Bank.