Foreign Policy Magazine Article ino-Soviet Role in Viet Peace

Washington

article in current issue of played mediating roles in Foreign Policy magazine. with Hanoi, according to an the 1973 Vietnam settlement helping Washington reach Moscow Peking

sion of enormous magni-tude" — that Hanoi would spondent Tad Szuluc, depicts over-all settlement. The finot . be called upon to withconvey to Hanoi "a concescrucial. It says that Henry no specific reference to nal peace agreement makes Vietnam as part of an draw its forces from South 1972, used Soviet leaders to journey to Moscow in April, Moscow's mediating role as mer New York Times corre-North Vietnamese forces in Kissinger, during his secret South Vietnam. The article, written by for-

During President Nixon's Moscow in May,

cies in Vietnam but said in cies in Vietnam but said in effect that there was nogh 1972, Szulc wrote, Soviet leaders told him that they nothing they could do about effect that there was nogh did not like American polithe situation.

ted an American comprothen the President's national of Viet Cong, Saigon and formation of a commission security adviser, transmit-Russians — one proposing mise to Hanoi through the vise eventual elections. neutralist elements to super-Again, Kissinger, who was

Szulc, would not press Hanoi one the article says, there were premier answered that he the American position to Premier Chou En-lai. The ly to Peking and presented ment. But the next month, way or another on a settleindications In June, according to Kissinger flew secret-Chairman

On Egypt Post

Libyan Attack

Cair₀

attacked an Egyptian military post Sunday at Sollum two Arab nations, the newsnear the border between the paper Al Akhbar reported A group of Libyan soldiers

Associated Press

price of a settlement. he had advised Viet Cong Thieu's resignation as the mand for South Vietnamese French foreign minister that Mao Tse-tung had told the resident leaders to soften their de-Nguyen Van

and details of conversations, Citing secret documents

Szulc also makes the following statements:

withdrawals." forces in South Vietnam. At settlement specifically call would not demand that a 1971, that the United States public proposals. Specificalthis time, Mr. Nixon was in Paris as early as May, North Vietnamese privately publicly demanding "mutual by the United States were for withdrawal of Hanoi's ly, Kissinger hinted to the more conciliatory than the Secret peace proposals

• Kissinger was concerned that Mr. Nixon might ably into a decision" before wanted to "lock him irrevocand told his aides that he the presidential elections Vietnam settlement after revert to a hard line on a the 1972 election.

part of the Paris settlement commitments to Hanoi as that were secret and have The United States made

not been carried out. The two cited by Szulc were a construction funds of north ing South Vietnamese forces promise to withdraw all Vietnam. and a specific pledge of re-American civilians support-

nied yesterday that the United States had made secret nam as part of the . Vietnam settlement. commitments to North Viet-The State Department de-

ments." He added: "But sides of intentions. None insecret understandings in the the part of the United volve added obligations on agreements. There are no Robert Anderson said: "We specific time frames.") put these agreements into formal agreements, that is, States, but some interpreted there are statements on both didn't make any secret (Department spokesman

New York Times