NYTimes JAN 1 1 1973 CONFER A 3D DAY: PROGRESS SEEN

Both Sides Said to Cling to Opposing Positions on the Partition of Vietnam

By FLORA LEWIS

Special to The New York Times
PARIS, Jan. 10 — Henry A. with Le Duc Tho today on Vietnam cease-fire, and technical experts working on secondary issues held an all-day session.

Mr. Kissinger and Mr. Tho scheduled another session for tomorrow.

Several developments suggested an absence of progress in the talks so far.

Soviet sources here said that Hanoi had not changed and would not change its main position, which rejects any formula that would give legal recognition to the partition of Vietnam.

The Russians continue to display eagerness to see a settlement of the war. Their Ambassador in Paris, Pyotr A. Abrasimov, now in Moscow preparing for a visit of President Pompidou to Leonid I. Brezhnev, the Soviet party leader, played an important role in helping to renew negotiations.

Pessimism Is Voiced

Nonetheless, Soviet sources here were pessimistic about the likelihood of agreement in the current round.

Although the United States has proposed some new wording fo ran agreement in the three days of resumed negotiations, it has also reportedly held to its stand on the central question of the partition of Vietnam, which is at the core of the dispute.

This question has been expressed in several ways during the talks on modification of the October draft agreement between Mr. Kissinger, President

Nixon's national security adviser and Mr. Tho, a member of Hanoi's Politburo.

At one point, it focused on the right of North Vietnamese troops to be in oSuth Vietnam. At another, the focus was on whether South Vietanm was to be recognized as a sovereign and separate state.

Division a Major Issue

Now, informed sources say, the talks have reverted todan earlier argument about the definition of the line dividing north and south-whether it is a real border or, as provided in the 1954 Geneva lagree-ments, only a "provisional military demarcation line" open to political penetration.

These are not really different questions, or just problems of language. They concern the fundamental issue of the war, which is about whether there are to be two Vietnams, one

Continued on Page 12, Column 7

Communist and one anti-Com- was a Vietnam cease-fire. munist, or whether South Viet-

nam conference at Fontaine-bleau in 1946 broke down

newspapers from their corresnewspapers from their correspondents in the United States used by each of the four dele-in the last two days have said sations to reaffirm its basic that the planned tour was be-policy. ing postponed at the request of European leaders, for fear of violent anti-American Demonstrations if the United States was still at war in Vietnam.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5 not want to come until there

Officially, none of the delemunist, or whether South Vietnam is to be opened to influence from the North and eventual reunification with it.

Street A French official part it and Mr. The Monday Street As a French official put it today, "That is what our Vietnam conference at Fontaine-bleau in 1946 broke down

bleau in 1946 broke down about, and that is the trouble now."

French sources said that in French sources said tha

Roads Remired, Tass Says

MOSCOW, Jan. 10 (AP)— Roads damaged by the United was still at war in Vietnam.

But in Paris, theofficial word
was that Washington had indicated President Nixon would Tass reported today.