Professor Asserts F.B.I. Covered Up Typewriter Evidence Helpful to Hiss

By MOLLY IVINS

John Lowenthal, Rutgers University Iaw professor who has acted at times as Alger Hiss's lawyer, asserts that newly released F.B.I. documents show that the agency covered up evidence helpful to Mr. Hiss concerning the date of the manufacture of the Woodstock typewriter in the case.

However, Prof. Allen Weinstein of Smith College, who has also studied the Federal Bureau of Investigation documents, believes that the memos on which Professor Lowenthal bases his assertion were written by an agent who was trying to excuse himself for sloppy work, and that Professor Lowenthal has ignored contradictory evidence in the F. B. I. documents.

Professor Lowenthal wrote in the June 26 issue of The National College Contention

Victed of perjury and spent almost four years in prison for having denied that when he most four years in prison for having denied that when he was a State Department official he had given copies of classified Government papers to Mr. Chambers.

The Woodstock typewriter was one of the central elements in the case from the beginning. The Government said the copies of classified documents produced by Mr. Chambers, who testified documents produced by Mr. Chambers, who testified they had been stolen for him by Mr. Hiss, had been the same machine as some old Hiss family typewriter. However, Professor Lowenthal he copies of classified documents produced by Mr. Chambers, who testified documents produced by Mr. Chambers, who testified they had been stolen for him by Mr. Hiss, had been the prison her father, Thomas Fansters, and the Woodstock typewriter. An old Woodstock typewriter was eventually located and brought in by the defense, which believed it to be the family machine.

Defense Contention

The defense contended the professor Lowenthal that when he was a State Department official he had given copies of classified documents was one of the central elements in the case from the beginning. The Government said the copies was, "the definite possibility exists this typewriter. Howoodstock was s

documents.

Professor Lowenthal wrote in the June 26 issue of The Nation:

"The F.B.I. has just disclosed that it had evidence, even began, that Woodstock No. 230099 was not the Hiss perjuyr trials began, that Woodstock No. 230099 was not the Hiss family typewriter. Had this evidence been disclosed before the trials, it might well have severed a vital link in the Government's case against Hiss, by discrediting the topinion of 'expert' document would show the documents had not been typed on the same machine used for typed on the same machine used for typed in gome old Hiss family letters."

Mr. Hiss was president of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace in 1948 when Whittaker Chambers, a former spy for the Soviet Union, accused him of having been part of a Communist espionage group.

After a first trial ended in a deadlock, Mr. Hiss was con-

blowing a gasket and threaten-ing to transfer agents and they were all writing apologias, exculpatory memos."

exculpatory memos."

Mr. Hiss, who has consistently insisted that he is innocent of all charges and has been seeking to clear his name, believes the new evidence is highly significant. "This knocks out the corroboration of the Government case and they must have known it all along," he said in a telephone inter-

must have known it all along," he said in a telephone interview last week. "If we had known it then, the case never would have gone to the jury and we could have avoided a hell of a lot of hogwash over the years, as well as considerable discomfort to me."