Transcript

Special to The New York Ti

Denver, Aug 3—Following is the official transcript of President Nixon's remarks to the press in the Federal Office Building here today:

As you know, we are going to have a meeting with the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration people from selected states. The participants primarily will be from California, New York, Illinois and Colorado Colorado.

Colorado. During the course of the afternoon, the Attorney General and representatives of the Justice Department, as well as some of those representing the various states, will come in to brief the press as to the matters that we have considered. The nurnose of my appear.

The purpose of my appearance here is to set the stage for this meeting in terms of what it means as far as Con-gressional action is concerned, and what it can mean in terms of support of the people of the country gen-

people of the country gen-erally. / It happens that Colorado, according to the Attorney General, has one of the most outstanding all-around records of any state of the Union in this field of law enforce-ment and justice under law.

in this field of law enforce-ment and justice under law. It doesn't mean that there are not crimes here. There are crimes every place. And it doesn't mean there are not some weaknesses. There are weaknesses. But Colorado has been one of the most progressive states in this respect. That is one of the reasons we selected Denver, for this meeting.

Area of Budget Increase

We also wanted the op-portunity to point out the fact that at a time that we are cutting budgets, that there is one area where we are drastically increasing budgets

budgets. In this particular field, aid from the Federal Govern-ment to the states and to ment to the states and to cities for law enforcement administration rose from ap-proximately \$60-million in 1969, fiscal 1969, to \$280-million in 1970, and this year's budget will be in the neighborhood of \$450-million

neighborhood of \$450-million to \$500-million. We feel that this is the correct priority because the cost of crime to the country, not just in human terms but also in terms of the billions of dollars that the criminal elements take out of our so-ciety, justifies this kind of investment, an investment not only in law enforcement but in crime prevention. One of the matters that we are going to consider here, for example, is the mat-ter of the treatment of nar-cotics, the methadone treat-ment, which has, in this par-

ticular county under the dis-trict attorney, Mr. McKevitt, we think, has had rather out-standing success. With regard to the Con-gress generally, and I do not say this in any partisan sense, I pointed out at San Clemente a few days ago that its batting average on the various pieces of major legislation we have requested in the fight against crime

that its patting average on the various pieces of major legislation we have requested in the fight against crime was a very poor one, one out of 13, despite the fact that the Congress has had these various measures before it for months. Now, if we don't get a better batting average than one out of 13 we are going to get some new batters at the plate. I am not speaking in terms of whether they be Republican or Democratic batters, because crime, as I said outside there, is not something that has any parti-san label on it. Law enforce-ment has no partisan label on it. We have participation today of people of all branch-es of our Government and of both parties. But we do heed a sense of urgency on the part of the Congress to pass more of the national legislation, to add to the District of Co-lumbia bill that has already been passed, to deal with this problem: organized crime, narcotics, the whole area of pornography and the rest. These are matters that are

before the Congress. They de-serve priority. Congress should not treat this as a business-as-usual matter. This shouldn't be treated on a 9 to 5 basis.

If necessary, the Congress before it goes back to the people for election, should hold extra sessions in order to pass these major measures, these measures which have these measures which have already been considered by committee at very great length.

Responsibility of Press

They can be acted upon. They should be acted upon. They aren't going to solve the problem immediately, but without them we are not going to be able to give the assistance to the states and the local communities

and the local communities where the primary responsi-bility rests, the assistance that they need, because sim-ply providing the dollars isn't enough. We need the other legisla-tion where the Federal Gov-ernment can use its source of information and its offi-cials throughout the country to assist local officials in a coordinated program in this field. field.

field. Another point that I would like to make is with regard to the responsibility of the American people, and also of those in the news media in this field.

What I say is not to be

interpreted as any criticism of the news media. What I say now is simply an ob-servation of the kind of times we live in and how attitudes develop among our young people people.

NYT

8-14-70

Over the last weekend I saw a movie — I don't see saw a movie — I don't see too many movies but I try to see them on weekends when I am at the Western White House or in Florida — and the movie I selected, or, as a matter of fact, my daugh-ter Tricia selected it, was "Chisum" with John Wayne. It was a Western. As I looked at that movie

It was a Western. As I looked at that movie, I said, "Well, it was a very good Western. John Wayne is a very fine actor and it had a fine supporting cast. But it was just basically an-other Western, far better than average movies, better than average Westerns." I wondered why it is that

than average movies, better than average Westerns." I wondered why it is that the Westerns survive year after year. A good Western will outdraw some of the other subjects. Perhaps one of the reasons, in addition to the excitement, the gun play and the rest, which per-haps is part of it but they can get that in other kinds of movies — one of the rea-sons is perhaps, and this may be a square observation, the good guys come out ahead in the Westerns, the bad guys lose. In the end, as this movie particularly pointed out

particularly pointed out, even in the old west, the time before New Mexico was a state, there was a time when there was no law. But the law eventually came, and the law was important from the standpoint of not only prosecuting the guilty, but also seeing that those who were guilty had a proper trial. trial.

trial. As we look at the situa-tion today, I think the main concern that I have is the attitudes that are created among many of our young-er people and also perhaps older people as well, in which they tend to glorify and to make heroes out of those who engage in crim-inal activities. This is not done intentionally by the

press. It is not done inten-tionally by radio and tele-vision, I know. It is done perhaps because people want to read or see that kind of story.

The Manson Trial

The Manson Trial I noted, for example, the coverage of the Charles Man-son case when I was in Los Angeles, front page every day in the papers. It usually got a couple of minutes in the evening news. Here is a man who was guilty, directly or in directly, of eight murd-erswithout reason. [The in-tent of the President's re-marks was clarified by Ron-ald L. Ziegler, White House press secretary, in a subse-quent briefing.]

Here is man, yet who as far as the coverage was concerned, appeared to be rather a glamorous figure, a glam-orous figure to the young people whom he had brought people whom he had brought into his operations, and, also another thing that was noted was the fact that two law-yers in the case—two law-yers who were guilty of the most outrageous, contemptu-ousaction in the courtroom, and who were ordered to jail overnight by the judge. seem and who were ordered to jail overnight by the judge, seem to be more the oppresed, and the judge seemed to be the villain.

Let us understand, all judges are not heroes. All policemen are not heroes. All And all those charged with crime are not guilty. But let us well understand, too, that the system, the system in which we protect the rights of the impocent in which of the innocent, in which the guilty man receives a fair trial and gets the best possi-ble defense, that system must be preserved. system

must be preserved. And unless we stand up for the system, unless we see that order in the courtroom is respected, unless we quit glorifying those who deliber-ately disrupt, and unless we begin to recognize that when a judge necessarily, after in-tense provocation, must hold

individuals in contempt of court, that that judge is jus-tified, that he is acting in our behalf, then the system will break down. The inno-cont will suffer but more im will break down. The inno-cent will suffer, but more im-portant, and just as impor-tant. I should say, the guilty will suffer as well because in a society without law, the guilty then have no trials. I add finally this point, that on the other side of the coin, certainly, we find that our press and media are do-ing a very necessary job in alerting the American people to the dangers of narcotics and drugs for our young peoand drugs for our young peo-ple, the necessity for a pro-gram of law and order and justice, and all of this is part of this program that we are attempting to talk about to-

day. I simply summarize it in this way: The Federal Gov-ernment will act as forcefully as we can to the extent that we can, recognizing that the primary responsibility is in the states and the local com-

the states and the local com-munities. As Governor Love will tell you, the states and the local communities are trying to upgrade their law enforce-ment, upgrade it in terms not only of the enforcement of the law but of the quality of the comparement and recover the enforcement and respect for law—laws that deserve

respect. But in the final analysis, unless the American people have within their hearts a respect for the system, the sys-tem of law and order and justice which we have in herited from over hundreds of years, then anything that we do at the governmental level will not be successful. It is that system that is now under attack in so many

areas.

So we can be concerned about those charged with crime, we can be concerned

about any evidences that those who are enforcing the law are going beyond their powers. But above all, let us remember that this system of law and order and justice must be preserved, and we must speak up for it. We must come to its de-fense and we must not con-sider that those, the judges, the police and the others, who are simply doing their duty, that they are the villains and that those who are pro-voking them are always in the right.