Senate Unit Votes Limits on Presidential War Powers

ES, FRIDAY, MAY 18, 1973

a bill that was approved by the Senate last year, butdied in conference. It provides that in the absence of a declara-tion of war by Congress a Pres-ident would be allowed to commit the armed forcetocombat for only 30 days and only in ermergencies such as repelling or forestalling an attack on the United States or its forces overseas.

However, as approved by the committee and sent to the Sen-ate floor, the bill doest not apply to "present hostilities" and so would not require a halt in the bombing of Cambodia.

House Version Looser

A House Foreign Affairs sub-committee erlier this month ap-proved a bill somewhat less re-

this session of Congress.

But it was regarded as doubt-

ful that President Nixon would sign such legislation in view of his past position that the pro-posed Congressional restriction would encorach pon his consti-tutional powers as Commander in Chief.

Likely Bid to Override

Therefore, the real test of Congress's willingness to reas-sert its Constitutional power to make war is likely to come in an attempt to override a Presi-

dential veto.

Indications of Congressional opinion building up against the President on the war-powers were issue the votes in the A House Foreign Affairs subcommittee erlier this month approved a bill somewhat less restrictive than the Senate legislation, but still embracing the
principle that the President cannot continue hostilities without
obtaining Congressional approv
al.

The subcommittee bill, which
would allow the emergency use were issue the votes in the
Foreign Relations Committee
today of Senator Hugh Scott,
the Republican leader, and Senator Robert P. Griffin, the Republican whip, for the war-powers bill sponsored by Senator
Jacob K. Javits, Republican of
New York.

Of all the committee members, only Senator J. W. Ful-

By JOHN W. FINNEY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 17—
The Senate Foreign Relations
Committee today approved by a vote of 15 to 0 legislation that would define and restrict war-making powers of the Presidency.

The legislation is identical to a bill that was approved by the full committee and being evacuated from a foreign because he believed that the Javits bill was not restrictive enough.

The Javits bill, first introduced in 1970 in reaction to what was widely regarded in placing restrictions on the war powers of the Presidency appears likely to emerge from a foreign because he believed that the Javits bill, first introduced in 1970 in reaction to what was widely regarded in Congress as a "Presidential war" in Indochina, is designed to place Congressional restriction would be an instance in which the President Javits bill was not restrictive enough.

The Javits bill, first introduced in 1970 in reaction to what was widely regarded in Congress as a "Presidential war" in Indochina, is designed to place Congressional restrictions on the President's authority to commit the armed forces in an era of undeclared wars.

But in this and other emergency situations, the President soinal approval for any period longer than 30 days. One important and potentially controversial exception would be an instance in which the President certified that "unavoidable military necessity" required the continued use of the armed The emergency uses of the armed forces to protect their safety armed forces provided by the legislation would include pro-hostilities. from