## SENATE UNIT ACTS ON SECRECY ISSUE

JUL 3 0 1971

Fulbright Group Invokes '61 Act to Obtain Pentagon's Long-Range Aid Plans JUL 89 1971

By JOHN W. FINNEY

WASHINGTON, July 29 -The Senate Foreign Relations Committee, countering what it considers secrecy in the executive branch, has moved to suspend all foreign military aid unless the Defense Department supplies its five year plans for the military assistance program.

In effect, the Senate committee is chahenging the right of the Defense Department or any other executive agency to withhold documents on any ground short of a claim of executive privilege by the President,

The result could be the clearest test of the executive branch's right to withhold information from Congress since the Eisenhower Administration refused to supply information demanded by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy.

By a vote of 15 to 0, the committee invoked a little-noticed provision in the 1961 Foreign Assistance Act to force the confrontation with the Government over the right of the executive branch to withhold information from Congress.

The provision in the 1961 act, which is the basic authority for military and economic aid programs, specifies that spending for a foreign aid activity will be suspended if within 35 days the executive branch has not

Continued on Page 3, Column I

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5 trol study on the Tonkin Gulf incident and the five-year plan tions, he said, is one that

Defense to be furnished congressional committee and gives his reason for so ordering.

Senator J. W. Fulbright, Chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee, sent a letter to Secretary of Defense Melvin R. Laird notifying him that the committee was formally requesting the five-year plans and was invoking the 35-day cutoff prevision in the foreign aid act.

In more than two years of repeated requests by the Senate committee and the General Accounting Office — the investigating watchdog agency of Congress — the Defense Department has refused the two-year ground.

The President could avert the choice by certifying that he has foreign aid act.

In more than two years of repeated requests by the Senate committee and the General Accounting Office — the investigating watchdog agency of Congress — the Defense Department has refused the five-year ground. Melvin R. Laird notifying him that the committee was formally requesting the five-year plans and was invoking the 35-day cutoff prevision in the foreign aid act.

In more than two years of repeated requests by the Senate committee and the General Accounting Office — the investigating watchdog agency of Congress — the Defense Department has refused to supply the five-year plans on the ground that they were regarded as a "tentative planning document."

The committee decided yesterday to force the issue. It the conditions billion-dollar military aid program. The President could avert the choice by certifying that he has invoking the choice by certifying that he has an advert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The President could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The President could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a surfect the military aid program. The president could avert the choice by certifying that he has a surfect to more informations. W. Averell Harriman, former ambassador, told the subcommittee that "congress we head the devel deliberations.

W. Averell Harriman, former the choice by

The committee decided yesterday to force the issue. It withheld a disclosure of its action until the Fulbright letter could reach Mr. Laird today.

According to committee members, the unanimous vote included the ballot of Senator Hugh Scott, the Senate Republican leader. The action reflected the frustration within the committee over what its members.

Testimony on Secrecy Bill Meanwhile, former Secretary of State Dean Rusk and a former Assistant Secretary of State, William P. Bundy, expressed reservations about an antisecrecy bill proposed by Senator Fulbright as another to songeton.

Hugh Scott, the Senate Republican leader. The action reflected the frustration within the committee over what its members regard as the excessive secrecy of the executive branch.

Issue Began in Mid-1969

Since the issue began to develop in the middle of 1969, the Defense Department has refused to supply the committee with such documents as the Pentagon study on the Vietnam war, a command-and-contine war, a command-and-con

up overnight" if Congress started using the policy of cutting funds to force testimony.

The problem of communica-

see how it can be done by definitive rules."