NYTimes

## **2 NIXON BACKERS** TO SEEK PULLOUT

Coek-Stevens Plan Hinges on a Prisoner Accord

## By JOHN W. FINNEY cial to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 18 Two pro-Administration Republicans will pose a new Senate test of President Nixon's Vietnam policies next week by proposing a troop withdrawal in nine months if agreement is reached on release of prisoners of war.

The troop with-drawal proposal, an amendment to the Selective Service bill, will be offered by Senators Marlow W Cook of Kentucky and Ted Stevens of Alaska—two Republicans who normally support the Nixon Administration-and will be voted on by the Senate on Tuesday. The amendment is co-sponsored by two Demo-cratic critics of the Administration, Senators Thomas F. Eagleton of Missouri and Vance Hartke of Indiana.

Hartke of Indiana. Whether the Cook-Stevens amendment could command a majority in the Senate was re-garded as doubtful. But the fact that it was being submitted, and by two pro-Administration Republicans, indicated that the Seante battle over the Presi-dent's Vietnam policies was not over despite the defeat this week of the McGovern-Hatfield "end-the-war" amendment.

## More Flexible

The Cook-Stevens amend-ment is somewhat more flex-ible and pro-Administrative and pro-Administration requirements than the in In its requirements than the amendment by Senators George McGovern of South Dakota and Mark O. Hatfield of Ore-gon, which would have re-quired the withdrawal of all forces by the end of the year. In contrast, the Cook-Stevens amendment would cut off funds for troop deployment in Indo-china nine months after enactchina nine months after enact-ment but suspend the deadline if the President was not able within 60 days to obtain a "firm commitment" from North Vietnam for the release of all prisoners of war.

The nine-month deadline was based on an estimate given in April by Secretary of Defense Melvin R. Laird at a private dinner with soee Republican Senators. He had estimated that it would take nine months to withdraw American forces to withdraw American forces and their necessary equipment from Vietnam.

On the Columbia Broadcast-ing System's "Face the Nation" rogram broadcast Sunday, Mr. Laird lengthened the period to 12 months. After the program, he explained to a reporter that he was including the time re-wired for removing aveces mil quired for removing excess mil-itary equipment that could be

itary equipment that could be given to allies. While giving the President more flexibility on the prisoner of war issue, the Cook-Stevens amendment would still impose a mandatory deadline for with-drawal. For the reason, it will undoubtedly be opposed by the Administration, which argues that the withdrawal schedule must be dictated not only by the release of prisoners but also by the ability of the Saigon Government to survive without American troops.

American troops. The effort of the Vietnam critics in Congress is now di-rected at imposing a definite deadline that is not linked to the survivability of the Saigon Government. Government.

## Another Amendment

Another Amendment Should the Cook-Stevens amendment fail, Senator Law-ton Chiles, Democrat of Florida, is prepared to offer another version of a withdrawal amend-ment he had offered that was defeated on Wednesday. The new Chiles amendment sets a withdrawal deadline of June 30, 1972, but provides that the President can waive the dead-line if he determines that North Vietnam has not entered into satisfactory arrangements for release of prisoners. With a switch of only five votes required from the vote on the earlier amendment, the new Chiles amendment is given som

the earlier amendment, the new Chiles amendment is given som chance of succeeding. Six Sena-tors who voted against the earl-ier version — Republican Sena-tors Cook, Len B. Jordan of Idaho and James h. pearson of Kansas and Democratic Sena-tors Jennings Randolph of West Virginia, Lloyd M. Bentsen of Texas and Ernest F. Hollings of South Carolina — have report-edly given some indication that they may vote for the new ver-sion. sion

Meanwhite, Senator John O. Pastore, Democrat of Rhode Is-land, told reporters that he was considering offering a sense-of-the-Senate resolution Calling for complete withdrawal by

for complete withdrawal by Jury 4, 1972. As an expression of Senate opinion not binding on the President, there is a possibility that such a resolution would be adopted by the Senate. Senators McGovern and Hat-field introduced today a series of 24 amendments to the Selec-tive Service bill, setting with-drawal deadlines ranging from Jan. 15, 1972 to December 30 1972. As debate on extension of

1972. As debate on extension of the Selective Service law ended its sixth week, the Senate de-feated an amendment by Sena-tor Mike Gravel, Democrat of Alaska, providing that men could be drafted only when a state of war had been declared by Congress. The vote was 58 to 12.