

JUN 26 1970

SENATE SETS VOTE ON WAR CURB PLAN

Cooper-Church Debate to
Come to End Tuesday

By **JOHN W. FINNEY**
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 25—
The Senate agreed today to
end its prolonged debate on
Cambodia Tuesday and voting
then on the Cooper-Church
amendment to restrict future
military activities in Cambodia.

The vote will come on the
June 30 deadline that President
Nixon has set for the with-
drawal of all American ground
troops from Cambodia.

Since the debate began on
May 13, Republicans have ma-
neuvered to delay a vote on
the measure, which amends the
foreign military sales act, until
after the troop withdrawal
deadline.

The amendment, sponsored
by Senators John Sherman
Cooper, Republican of Ken-
tucky, and Frank Church, Dem-
ocrat of Idaho, would prohibit
the President from spending
any funds after July 1 for re-
taining American forces in
Cambodia, from supplying mili-
tary advisers or combat air
support to the Cambodian Gov-
ernment or from providing fi-
nancial assistance to other
countries' forces in Cambodia.

Majority Support Is Seen

The amendment appears to
have a clear majority in the
Senate. However, Senator Gor-
don P. Allott of Colorado, chair-
man of the Senate Republican
Policy Committee, took the un-
usual step of introducing a
"stop the war amendment"
drafted by a bipartisan group
of Senate doves and declaring
his intention of seeking to de-
feat it.

This amendment, sponsored
by Senators George McGovern,
Democrat of South Dakota, and
Mark O. Hatfield, Republican of
Oregon, would cut off funds for
combat activities in Vietnam
after Dec. 31 and require the
withdrawal of all American
forces from Vietnam by mid-
1971.

Senator Allott said on the
floor: "It is not just important
that we defeat this amendment,
but that we defeat it now."

The McGovern-Hatfield forces
had planned to offer their pro-
posal as an amendment to a
military procurement authori-
zation bill next month after a
heavy advertising campaign to
arouse public support.

A move will be made Mon-
day to lay aside the Allott
amendment. Even among op-
ponents of the McGovern-Hat-
field amendment there is con-
siderable resentment that Sen-
ator Allott has violated the
protocol of the Senate by of-
fering an amendment with the
expressed intention of defeat-
ing it.