Senate, 79 to 5, Reaffirms War Powers of President

By JOHN W. FINNEY JUN 23 1970

WASHINGTON, June 22 - The Senate, modifying its pending Cooper-Church amendment, overwhelmingly ac-knowledged today that the President, as Commander in Chief,

> had the power to take certain military actions in Cambodia.

> It also moved toward repeal of another document the Tonkin Gulf Resolution, which has been the basic Congressional authority for the American military involvement in Southeast Asia.

> The related moves came as the prolonged Cambodian debate in the Senate appeared to be approaching a climax with supporters of the Nixon Administration, until now largely on the defensive, seeking to seize the political initiative.

> The Administration forces seemed reconciled to the likelihood that they could not block or substantially modify the proposed Cooper-Church amendment to the foreign military sales bill; the amendment would impose Congressional restrictions on future military activities in Cambodia.

Political Credit Sought

In response, the pro-Nixon were seeking to gain political credit for moving to repeal the 1964 Tonkin Gulf Resolution, which was used by President Johnson as authority for stepping up the war effort in Vietnam.

The move to modify the Cooper-Church amendment perhaps the last such modification before expected passage —was offered by Senator Rob-ert C. Byrd, Democrat of West Virginia and adopted by a vote of 79 to 5. The Senate added to the amendment his provision recognizing that the President, as Commander in Chief, has the constitutional power to take steps to protect the lives of American forces "wherever deoloyed."

The original amendment-co Continued on Page 3, Column 5

Senate, in 79-5 Vote, Reaffirms Wartime Powers of the President

sponsored by Senators John check. Sherman Cooper, Republican of bodia, for sending American text was accepted by Senators military advisers into Cambodia, for providing financial assistance to "third countries" after the vote, Senator Strom

drawal from Cambodia.

What substantive effect the change adopted today would have was a matter of interpretation. The opinion expressed most often in the debate was that it was a symbolic statement of principle that would not invalidate the attempt in the Cooper-Church amendment. the Cooper-Church amendment to place Congressional obsta-cles to a new American mili-tary involvement in Cambodia.

Central Issue in Debate

Throughout the six-week debate the central issue-and one raised repeatedly by supporters of the Administration has been whether the Cooper-Church amendment would infringe on the President's powers as Com-mander in Chief. To meet such objections, the Senate last week added a provision, offered by Senator Mike Mansfield, the majority leader, specifying that nothing in the amendment "shall be deemed to impugn the constitutional power of the

"shall be deemed to impugn the constitutional power of the President as Commander in Chief."

To this Senator Byrd's text added the language: "including the exercise of that constitutional power which may be precessary to protect the lives of necessary to protect the lives of United States armed forces wherever deployed."

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3 escape clause that could have given the President a blank

The second proposal was in-Kentucky, and Frank Church, terpreted as a statement of Democrat of Idaho - specifies principle, making more explicit that after July 1 the President the general powers referred to cannot spend funds for retain- in the Mansfield provision. On ing American forces in Cam-this interpretation, the second

assistance to "third countries" after the vote, Senator Strom going to the military assistance of Cambodia or for providing United States air support to Cambodian forces.

The deadline date is the one set by the President for withdrawal from Cambodia.

What substantive effect the defect was to make the amend-

It was clear that the political effect was to make the amendment more acceptable to both sides, including supporters who have been running into allegations by constitutents that they were undermining the safety of the American forces.

To Senator J. W. Fulbright, Democrat of Arkansas, and some of the other more outspoken doves, the Byrd proposal represented a political ize Congres when it votes on Administration requests for

Administration requests for funds for Southeast Asian operations.

Senator Fulbright voted

against the proposal, as did Senators Charles E. Goodell and Jacob K. Javits, Republicans of New York, and two Democrats, Harold E. Hughes of Iowa and George McGovern of South Dakota. Senator Abraham A. Ribi-coff, Democrat of Connecticut, was paired against.

Nixon 'Encouraged'

The Presidential press secretary Ronald L. Ziegler, commenting on the vote, said "The President is encouraged because it seems to recognize the Commander in Chief function of the President."

No sooner was the proposal adopted than Senator Robert J. Dole, a conservative Repub-lican from Kansas who has

wherever deployed."

Last week also, in what was generally regarded as a rebuff to the Administration, the Senate, by a vote of 52 to 47, rejected a somewhat similar amendment offered by Senator Byrd. To the Cooper-Church forces there was an important distinction between the two.

The first proposal, which would have been attached to an operative provision in the amendment, specified that the prohibition against retaining forces in Cambodia would not prevent such Presidential action as might be necessary to protect the lives and withdrawal of American forces in Vietnam. To the supporters of the Cooper-Church amendment that was an Asia.