## Aide Hints a Veto by Nixon If War Curb Is Too Severe

MAY 28 1970 By JOHN W. FINNEY

stricted his

the Cooper-Church amendment out the consent of Congress. now before the Senate was left somewhat unclear by the offi-talking with a small group of he not be identified.

The amendment, attached to Continued on Page 7, Column I

Special to the New York Times
WASHINGTON, May 27—An|a bill on foreign military sales official of the Nixon Adminis- that is before the Senate, would tration raised the threat today prohibit the President from that the President would veto spending funds after July 1 for any measure on Cambodia en-"retaining" United States forces acted by Congress that re-in Cambodia or for supplying constitutional military advisers, mercenaries powers as Commander in Chief. or combat air support to the Whether the threat applies to Cambodian Government with-

cial, who spoke from a position reporters over the breakfast of authority in the Administra-table, left no doubt that the tion but on the condition that White House finds the Cooper-Church amendment unaccepttion, but on the condition that able in its present form on the The comment was an indica- ground that it would encroach tion of how the White House on the President's powers as Commander in Chief. As interpreted by the official, the sored by Senator John Sherman amendment would prohibit the Cooper, Republican of Ken-President from taking action tucky, and Senator Frank for example, to rescue Ameri-Church, Democrat of Idaho. cans who might be in a prison

## A VETO IS HINTED IF CURB IS SEVERE

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3

camp just inside the Cambodian

border. Such an Interpretation has been repeatedly denied by the sponsors, who have emphasized that the amendment did not and could not circumscribe the and could not circumscribe the powers of the President to take steps to protect the safety of American troops. The main purpose, they have contended, is to make clear that he cannot involve the nation in a new war in Cambodia without obtaining the approval of Congress.

The White House was said to have decided that there was no

The White House was said to have decided that there was no possibility of reaching an accomodation with the sponsors through changes in the amendment's language. The reason offered by the Administration official, who was involved in the negotiations with Senator Cooper, was their refusal to include a reference to the authority of the Commander in Chief.

The sponsors are confident that they command a majority in the Senate—an appraisal that seemed to be shared by that seemed to be shared by the Administration official. With a vote not expected until mid-June, however, the White House believes, according to the official, that there will be a shift of opinion behind the President that may help defeat the amendment.

## House Rejection Expected

Even if it is passed by the Senate, the White House was said to be confident that it will be rejected by the House of Representatives.

The issue will not go directly

to the House but to a Senate-House conference committee, where the Senate conferees, drawn from the Foreign Reladrawn from the Foreign Relations Committee, may let the foreign military sales bill die rather than retreat on the amendment. The bill, requested by the Administration, authorizes credit sales of weapons to other nations. other nations.

other nations,
Mike Mansfield, the Senate
majority leader, accused some
Republicans of engaging in a
"stalling operation" to delay a
vote on the amendment. The
allegation was denied by Senator Robert P. Griffin, the assistant Republican leader, who retor Robert P. Griffin, the assistant Republican leader, who replied that a matter of such controversy should be fully debated by the Senate.

The Administration official's use of the prisoner-of-war example may provide an indication of another Republican tactic against the amendment.

Senator Robert Dole of Kansas reintroduced an amendment specifying that the prohibition

sas reinfroduced an amendment specifying that the prohibition against retaining American forces in Cambodia would not apply if "the President deter-mines that citizens or nationals of the United States are held as prisoners of war in Cam-bodia."

bodia."
In the first vote on the issue yesterday, the Senate, 82 to 11, supported a revised preamble that endorsed the President's plan to withdraw American forces from Cambodia by June 30. Many who supported the preamble are opposed to the amendment. amendment.