Nixon, real estate

NYTimes 1973 JUL 4

Ziegler Scores Press Articles On Nixon Estate as 'Malicious'

By JOHN HERBERS Special to The New York Times

alla to

SAN CLEMENTE, Calif., July 3 — President Nixon's press secretary, Ronald L. Ziegler, angrily denounced today as "malicious, ill-founded . and scurrilous," newspaper articles that he said suggested wrongdoing in the purchase of the Nixon estate here.

Asked if his views were shared by the President Mr. Ziegler said, "absolutely," and added:

added: "I would say the President is appalled by the consistent ef-fort, the malicious — do not know that libelous is the word in connection with the Presi-dent — but I would say by the consistent effort to suggest any wrongdoing with the pur-chase of this property." "In this period I assume there will continue to be malicious type accusations that will ap-pear in various places," Mr. Ziegler continued. "Statements that guggest any

Statements that guggest any use of campaign funds, state-ments which suggest any im-propriety are malicious, ill-founded and scurrilous in na-ture and are categorically and flatly untrue."

First Indication

This was the first official indication of President Nixon's personal feelings about the pro-liferation of charges of wrong-doing leveled against the Nixon Mr. Ziegler left the implica-tion that in the midst of the Watergate disclosures, the President feels his enemies are taking advantage of the situataking advantage of the situa-tion to destroy him.

"Apparently there are those who want to suggest wrong-doing on the part of the Presi-dent," Mr. Ziegler said. "They have no basis whatever to do so."

He would not be more speci-

He would not be more speci-fic. What angered Mr. Ziegler was an article on page one of The Los Angeles Times this morning saying that the special Watergate prosecutor, Archi-bald Cox, had opened a pre-liminary inquiry into the pur-chase of and improvements on the home and grounds that Mr Nixon acquired shortly after he entered the White House in 1969. He said he had been told,

He said he had been told, by Gen. Alexander M. Haig Jr., who had talked by telephone to Attorney General Elliott L. Richardson, that Mr. Cox, in Washington, had issued a statement denying the story. This raised the question of whether the Cox statement might have been the result of White House pressure. General Haig is Mr. Nixon's chief of staff, who has

taken over most of the dutes of H. R. Haldeman. Mr. Ziegler said he did not know who had called whom on the matter.

actions were and we have stated clearly what the trans-actions were."

The May 28 statement did not provide the name of the investment company that had purchased the land, or the names of its officers. The White House has refused to supply

that information, saying it would have to come from Mr. Abplanalp, who has, declined to provide it. The statement did not list the improvements may on the

The statement did not list the improvements mae on the Nixon property at Government expense. In response to ques-tions on May 29, the White House office listed improve-ments of less than \$40,000. After news reports indicated the improvements were con-siderably more, the General Services Administration, after a long period of refusing to produce any details, under White House orders, reported that the improvement services totaled more than \$700,000 and released all records. The G.S.A. said everything had been done for security reasons as ordered by the Secret Service, even to landscaping. to landscaping.

Indignation Endures

Mr. Ziegler, reminded of this and the fact that the disclosures were made only under persis-tent questioning for informa-tion, did not alter his indigna-tion about the articles that have been ennearing and come

tion, did not alter his indigna-tion about the articles that have been appearing, and conse-quently worrying, the White House since President Nixon ar-rived here on June 22. "We will simply stand on the material that has been provided as precise and accurate." Mr. Ziegler said. The Santa Ana Register, in an article published yesterday said that the California Board of Equalization was investi-gating the tax assessment on the Nixon property. The paper quoted an official as saying that in 1970, Orange County assessed the property, for which Mr. Nixon had paid more than \$1.4-million, at a slightly more than \$1-million, despite the improvements that had been made by both the Government the improvements that had been made by both the Government and Mr. Nixon. By 1972, the paper said, the assessed valua-tion had been raised by \$206,000.

\$206,000. Most property in California is assessed at less than it's mar-ket value. In Orange County this is reported to be about 90 per cent of market value. Mr. Ziegler said, "we have nothing to do with tax assess-ments." He said he knew of no efforts by anyone in the White House to achieve a lower tax for the President.

Decision Is Denied

WASHINGTON, July 3 (AP) —The Watergate prosecutor's office acknowledged today that

Some details on the purchase of the Nixon property here were disclosed in a statement by the White House May 25. Mr. Nixon borrowed \$625,000 from a friend, Robert M. Ab-planalp, to help pay for the purchase of the Spanish-style home and some 29 acres. The statement said that Abplanalp later created an investment company. that bought most of the land, leaving Mr. Nixon with about five acres and the house. "We have provided more details than ever before," Mr. Ziegler said today. "We have cited precisely what the trans-