U.S. Pushes Fight

By Bob Kuttner Washington Post Staff Writer

intensifying efforts to have the Federal Communications ownership rules under consi-Commission prohibit newspaderation since 1970, but the pers from owning local radio proposals have been dormant and television stations.

terday, antitrust division attor- and scheduled oral arguments neys urged the commission to for June 18. Yesterday was the phase out cross ownerships deadline for written comover a five-to eight-year period ments. by denying license renewals.

About 100 joint newspaper-

television ownerships would be affected. However, publishers would still be permitted to own out-of-town broadcast outlets.

Justice Department officials described yesterday's filing as a "reiteration" of a proposal the department first made in May, 1971.

The FCC has had new crossuntil recently. Last March the In a filing with the FCC yes commission revived the issue,

> During recent months, the Justice Department sought to prod the commission by opposing individual license renewals as they came due. Since last November, the de-partment has asked the FCC to lift publishers' broadcast licenses in Milwaukee, St. Louis. Minneapolis, Des Louis, Minneapolis, Des Moines, and most recently in

Topeka, Kan.
Last January, when the department filed its first formal petition asking the FCC not to renew broadcast licenses held by publishers in St. Louis and Des Moines, allegations were made that the move was politically inspired by the White House. However, by The Washintgon Post found no evidence of political motivation.

dence of political motivation. In fact, an administrationsponsored bill, which has passed the House and is before the Senate Commerce Committee, would prohibit the FCC from using the license renewal process to break up cross ownerships. Clay Whitehead, head of the White House Office of Telecommunications Policy, says he favors considering objections to cross ownership on a case-by-case basis. ership on a case-by-case basis, according to the degree of concentration.

The Justice Department, in

The Justice Department, in contrast, wants to ban cross-ownerships outright. The brief filed yesterday cites both the need for diversity of editorial expression as well as more competition for local advertis-

ing.
"The perpetuation of exist-"The perpetuation of existing commonly owned, co-located daily newspapers and television stations is inconsistent with the policies of the Communications Act and the antitrust laws," the brief de clares.

Average prices of TV advertising are 15 per cent higher in communities with joint newspaper-broadcast owner-

newspaper-broadcast owner-ship, according to the depart-ment, and newspaper ad rates are about 10 per cent higher. The proposed ban on joint ownership is supported by sev-eral "public interest" media groups. The two major trade as ociations, the National As-sociation of Broadcasters and sociation of Broadcasters and the American Newspaper Publishers Association, oppose the plan.