## **The Federal Diary**

## MAR 2 5 1974

## Fitzgerald's Lawyers to Sue U.S.

By Mike Causey

Lawyers for A. Ernest Fitzgerald will go to court soon demanding the government pay more than \$100,000 in fees resulting from their successful fired in "retaliation for his testi-three-year and nine-month bat- mony" before a Senate group, three-year and nine-month battle to have the Air Force cost expert returned to duty.

Fitzgerald's Grade 17 slot was abolished by the Air Force after merit service regulations. he rocked Capitol Hill, and embarrassed Pentagon officials, by testifying that there was a \$2 billion cost overrun in the C-5A aircraft contract.

The civil servant was restored to his \$36,000 post late last year. During the 45-month legal During the 45-month legal grind, Fitzgerald's name be-came synonomous with bureauboatrocking. cratic Some viewed him as a hero, others a troublemaker.

A battery of volunteer attorneys from the American Civil Liberties Union fought the case. They argued that Fitzgerald's than back pay) in adverse action firing was punitive, and in-disputes with employees tended as a warning to other po-

tential government.

The Air Force steadfastly maintained that the dismissal was a routine Reduction-in-Force for economy reasons and had nothing to do with a bureaucratic vendetta. Fitzgerald's case finally came

to the Civil Service Commission -the government's central personnel and administrative -last year. CSC decided agencythat Fitzgerald had not been but that the dismissal resulted from "other purely personal reasons" and was contrary to

In the course of his fight, Fitzgerald won from the Civil Service Commission an agreement to hold the first public hearings ever granted by the government in internal dispute cases.

Although CSC did order Fitzgerald restored with back pay, it denied his request for an award of costs, attorneys' fees and damages. Fitzgerald's attorneys argued that such money is frequently ordered by courts. Federal officials said they could not recall, however, the government ever awarding such costs (other disputes with employees.

"whistle-blowers" in award Fitzgerald's lawyers will most such resettlement transac-

seek from U.S. District Court is still undecided. But the ACLU has estimated that "reasonable fees" attorneys could expect for the time spent in the Fitzgerald case would be "at least" \$100,000, and that would not in-

clude other fees or possible damages. The Houseboat: Atomic En ergy Commission has the green light to pay an unusual real estate tab: \$129 that it cost an employee to get his houseboat set-

tled. The worker transferred from Las Vegas to Bethesda for the AEC, which agreed to pay costs associated with his new residence in this area. Since most people live in houses, the government normally routinely picks up certain fees associated with the purchase of a home.

This employee, however, decided to settle on a houseboat. When he billed the AEC for \$129 for a "marine survey' (necessary for the financing of such a craft) AEC's accountants scratched their heads and bucked the case over to the General Accounting Office. GAO said houseboat or house, the man had a right to choose his own dwelling and approved the cent effective July 1, and show payment, which is The exact amount of the cheaper to the government than delivery.

tions

Civil servants in a similar financial boat can refer to GAO decision B-180099 to make their. case.

J. Stanly Lewis, vice president of the National Association of Letter Carriers, is recovering from a heart ailment at Cafritz Memorial Hospital. He hopes to be back home sometime this week and should be off the jobif he follows doctors' ordersuntil late April.

Summer Jobs: Military Dis-rict of Washington has set an April 15 deadline on applications for a number of summer jobs here for trades helpers and laborers. Call OX 7-0335.

D.C. Police Department wants a Grade 5 supervisory equipment operator, to work rotatingtours of duty. Call 626-2561.

Retiree Raises: I goofed Friday in a rundown of retiree costof-living raises, givIng them credit for one they didn't get. There was a 4.8 per cent boost in July, 1972, a 6.1 per cent raise last July and a 5.5 per cent in-; crease this January. If living costs hold at their present level for two additional months, the next COL raise would be 4.6 per. much up in checks mailed for August