## Senate Panel Backs Curb On Impounding of Funds

## NYTimes By JAMES M. NAUGHTON Special to The New York Time:

North Carolina, the chief spon-sor among 53 supporters of the measure, said that Democratic leaders had asked him to offer it as an amendment to the bill that would give Congressional approval to President Nixon's most recent devaluation of the approval to President Nixon's become the Senate's pending become the Senate's pending become the Senate's pending business tomorrow. **Response to Nixon** The anti-impoundment bill was designed to serve as the Senate's basic response to President Nixon's refusal, on the grounds of inflation control, to spend more than \$8-billion made available by Congress for a variety of programs. But the measure appeared to have assumed even larger sig-nificance as a result of the Senate vote today against over-riding the first spending veto by Mr. Nixon this year. Five Democrats deserted party leaders to support the veto of the Vocational Rehabi-tatoon such as the one that emergéd, with his support, from the Government Operations Committee later today. As adopted by the commit-tee, the anti-impoundment bill tatoon such as the one that emergéd, with his support, from the Government Operations Committee later today. As adopted by the commit-tee, the anti-impoundment bill tee, the anti-impoundment bill to spend more than state one that emergéd, with his support, from the Government Operations Committee later today. As adopted by the commit-tee, the anti-impoundment bill tation such as the one that emergéd, with his support, from the Government Operations Committee later today. As adopted by the commit-tee, the anti-impoundment bill tation such as the one that emergéd, with his support, from the Government Operations Committee later today. As adopted by the commit-tee, the anti-impoundment bill tation such as the one that the dustition of the spending the coded that the amount had beam set slightly below Mr.

the Government Operations Committee later today. As adopted by the commit-tee, the anti-impoundment bill including the following provi-sions: Spending during the 1974 fiscal year would be limited to \$268-billion, which is \$700-million below the President's \$268-billion, which is \$700-million below the Imit, Mr. to 2 and the committee then Nixon would be required to measure, 13 to 3. Its actions were taken in public, marking the first time that the com-mittee had done its mark-iuo. other than proportional cuts to or final revising, of a bill in stay within a ceiling on spend open session.

WASHINGTON, April 3 -|ing would have to be reported

4 1973

WASHINGTON, April 3 — The Senate Government Opera-tions Committee approved to-day a measure designed to re-strict sharply the President's ability to hold back funds ap-propriated by Congress. The committee also voted to tack onto the anti-impoundment bill a \$268-billion spending ceil-ing for the 1974 fiscal year, which begins July 1. The combined proposal — to set a ceiling on the amount that

which begins July 1. The combined proposal — to set a ceiling on the amount that Congress could require the President to spend and to pro-vide rules to assure that he did spend it — could reach the Senator Sam J. Ervan Jr. of North Carolina, the chief spon-sor among 53 supporters of the measure, said that Democratic leaders had asked him to offer it as an amendment to the bill Senator Walter F. Mondale, Democrat of Minnesota, praised Democrat of Minnesota, praised the committee for combining Democrat of Minnesota, praised the committee for combining the spending ceiling with the impoundment limits. He had threatened last week to try to filibuster if the Senate moved to give the President a spend-ing limit without also curbing Mr. Nixon's authority to decide cut. Senator Ervin told Commit-