# The 'Secret' Key Issue

Study of Polls Shows Racial Attitudes To Be Critical, With Nixon the Gainer

## NYTimes

By JACK ROSENTHAL NOV 6 1972 Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Nov. 5-Like

Holmes observed, did not bark, what may be the undelying issue of the Presidential cam-paign of 1972 never really came out into the open. The been, for a decade, a flagship surface talk has been about bombing or bugging or infla-tion. But close analysis of the endless rows of a

politics. Reluctance to Talk Americans not like to talk candidly about race to strang-ers. Usually, when polltakers ask about equal opportunity or civil rights, people dutifully give answers that certify them as good citizens. But occasionally a survey question is accepted as neutral and then the answers provide a rich core sample of deeper ra-cial feelings. For example The Times's

Fich core sample of deeper ra-cial feelings. For example, The Times's surveys asked, "Do you feel that minority groups are receiv-ing too much, too little or just about the right amount of at-tention?"

tention?" Even after four years of what black leaders have assailed as "benign neglect" of minority needs, four of every ten voters answered "too much." And of this, group, almost 80 per cent said they would vote for Presi-dent Nixon.

surface talk has been about bombing or bugging or infla-tion. But close analysis of the endless rows of computer tabu-lations from The New York Times New York Times Yankelovich poli-cates that the de-cisive issue might have been race—not racism, perhaps—but certainly race. The beneficiary, hands down, is Richard Nixon. Race based controversies, to be sure, have appeared spo-radically, as in the desegrega-tion turmoil in the Canarsie section of Brooklyn r in earlier busing disputes in Michigan. But even these were only frage-mentary signs. "The real issue," as the Rev, Jesse Jackson tells his black followers in Chicago, "is not the bus. It's us." The accuracy of his insight is bolstered by the subtler find-ting of The Times/Yankelovich surveys. They strongly suggest that race has been a dinty little secret, but central to current politics. **Reluctance to Talk** Americans not like to talk

'The Welfare Mess'

Who would do the better job of cleaning up "the wel-fare mes"? Among the de-fectors, 54 per cent say Mr. Nixon. Among the McGovern Democrats, 8 per cent say Mr. Nixon Nixon.

Who would do more Who would do more for minorities? Among the de-fectors, 43 per cent say Mr. Nixon. Among the loyalists, the figure for him is 7 per cent. Who would do best at re-ducing crime? The Nixon fig-ure among the defectors is 58 per cent, among the loyalists only 25 per cent. There is a technical explana-tion for, such contrasts, somefor

There is a technical explana-tion for such contrasts, some-thing that analysts call a "halo effect." Having decided to vote for Mr. Nixon, the de-fectors are likely to justify their choice by giving him fa-vorable ratings on issues.

### A Puzzling Campaign

But the halo effect is only a partial explanation. The fact remains that, from the very beginning of the general election

gliming of the general election campaign, twice the usual pro-portion of Democrats have de-cided to vote Republican. That is one of the major phenomena of the whole puz-zling campaign. Another puzzle is why there was so dittle is why there was so dittle change over the months.<sup>14</sup> In August, the Gallup Poll gave Mr. Nixon 64 per cent. Today, it gives him 62. Still another puzzle has been the twotrer?

the "voters' seeming indiffer-ence to the more publicized campaign issues. Only a bare majority cite even the Vietnam war as a major national concern.

The veiled amalgam of race issues offers an answer to all these anomalies. It is a though large numbers of white voters decided, quietly and early, that Jesse L. Jackson was right and that the fundamental issue is "them!"