The Light And The Dark

By ANTHONY LEWIS

WASHINGTON—The beauty of spring in Washington is even more intense than remembered. The sudden heat, the blossoms, the parks set out with flowers, the acres of marble and granite gleaming in the sun: It all suggests, as it was intended to, the freshness and promise of a new country.

But the physical setting seems so incongruous now, the symbolism so awry. For this is a city not of promise but of cynicism. Its politicians offer no faith to arouse their country or the world. They appeal to a mean self-interest; their narrow aim is to defend their own position.

In the week of this sudden, lifegiving spring an American Secretary of State testified for hours about the war in Vietnam without the slightest sign of awareness that American bombs kill Vietnamese when they are dropped: kill people. Like a junior lawyer sent out to argue a hopeless case in whose framing he had no part, he fell back on pathetic attempts at banter or changed the subject when the hard questions were asked.

The Secretary of Defense, whose planes have dropped more than one ton of bombs on Indochina for every

In this same week of spring there was an event, little noted except by those intimately concerned with it, that sharpened the sense of loss in Washington. It was a memorial ceremony at the Supreme Court for Mr. Justice Black.

Distinguished lawyers spoke of Justice Black's passion for the Constitution, his commitment to the American ideal of an open society, his dedication to the Court. But the afternoon somehow became most meaningful when it dwelt on Hugo Black's humanity.

A former law clerk to the Justice, Louis F. Oberdorfer, read out to the assembled members of the Supreme Court Bar a tribute that they adopted as a memorial resolution. He spoke at one point of "The Greening of America," the book by Prof. Charles Reich of Yale, another one-time Black law clerk.

Justice Black had read the book, Mr. Oberdorfer said. In one passage Mr. Reich said dismissively that "Consciousness I," his term for the original American view of society, "believes that the American dream is still possible and that success is determined by character, morality, hard work and self-denial." Justice Black wrote in the margin: "I still do."

Then Mr. Oberdorfer spoke of Justice Black's relationship with his late colleague John Marshall Harlan, whom he loved despite a totally different constitutional outlook. Part of their disagreement was over Justice Black's search for absolutes in the Constitution—formulas that would limit judges'

power of interpretation. But Justice Black often remarked, Mr. Oberdorfer said, that he would not worry about giving power to judges if they were all like John Harlan.

What Hugo Black and John Harlan had in common—and earlier Felix Frankfurter, who fought so many battles with Justice Black—was character. They were human beings of depth and life and commitment; their humanity was more important than their differences.

On the day of the memorial to Justice Black, the Supreme Court by the narrowest of majorities upheld the constitutionality of a loyalty oath that he would have abhorred, as he did all such forced expressions of belief. The dissenters quoted from a 1958 opinion of his:

"Loyalty," Justice Black said, "must arise spontaneously from the hearts of people who love their country and respect their government."

It is those intangibles of love and respect that really matter in the relationship between a democratic government and its public. If they seem frayed now, it is not because the men who hold power are consciously evil or conspiratorial. It is because they are men without depth or commitment: hollow men, stuffed men.

Not so many years ahead, we are likely to look back at this time as we do now at the arid years of Harding and Coolidge. If we can. The trouble is that in the world of 1972 the hollow men are so much more destructive and dangerous.

ABROAD AT HOME



minute of the Nixon Administration, accused the North Vietnamese of "marauding all over the countryside of Southeast Asia." And he denounced the Soviet Union for lack of "restraint" in aiding North Vietnam—aid that amounts to perhaps one-seventh of what the United States' is to South Vietnam.