LAIRD SAYS RAIDS CAN GO ON IF FOE KEEPS UP INVASION

APR 19 1972 He Tells Senate Committee Any Part of North Vietnam Is Subject to Bombing

NYTimes DENIES HALT IN STRIKES

Secretary Is Not Ruling Out Possibility of Blockade or Mining of Haiphong Port

By JOHN W. FINNEY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, April 18 -Secretary of Defense Melvin R. Laird said today that as long as the North Vietnamese continued their invasion of the South, any area of North Vietnam was subject to bombing attack by the United States.

Testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, the Secretary said he would "not rule out the possibility" that the United States might even blockade or mine the harbor of Haiphong unless the invasion was ended.

Yesterday, Secretary of State William P. Rogers told the committee that the Nixon Administration would continue to take "whatever military action is necessary" to halt the enemy, drive.

Saigon Reports Denied

Before today's session, Mr. Laird emphatically denied reports from Saigon that President Nixon had ordered a suspension of the bombing of the Hanoi-Haiphong area to see whether there would be any political response from the North Vietnamese Government. The White House underscored by saying that Mr. Laird was "stating the authorized position of the United States Govern-

In Saigon, however, official United States military sources, to whom the reports were attributed, continued to stand by them, the Associated Press reported.

Mr. Laird branded the Saigon reports as false in response to a reporter's question. He added:
"The bombing continues continues bombing south of the demilitarized zone, in the demilitarized zone and north of the demilitarized

zone."

Hint of Further Steps

Later, during questioning in his four-and-one-half hour appearance before the Senate committee headed by Senator J. W. Fulbright, Mr. Laird suggested that the United States might take the additional step of atempting to seal off, Haiphong, the principal port of North Vietnam.

He said he would "not rule out" the possibility that the United States might impose a naval quarantine on Haiphong to stop the entry of ships carrying military equipment, or alternatively mine the channel leading into the harbor.

As Secretary Rogers did yesterday, Mr. Laird declared that the bombing of North Vietnam was necessary to protect American troops and to permit the

Continued on Page 16. Column 5

Laird Says Raids Can Continue Until Enemy Calls Off Invasion

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8 the type of equipment provided North Vietnam, with the result at various points, the Defense Secretary clearly indicated that one purpose of the care that the care that of the care that the care that the care that the

bombing was to emphasize the need for military restraint upon both North Vietnam and the Soviet Union.

"We have been very restraint has been answered by an invasion" across the demilitarized zone in "flagrant, massive violation" of a 1968 understanding that led to the end of the bombing of North Vietnam. Such a violation, he said, "must be treated as a very serious matter" and "could not be dealt with lightly by our Government."

So long as the North Vietnames offensive. But he suggested that an understanding that led to the end of the bombing of North Vietnam. Such a violation, he said, "must be treated as a very serious matter" and "could not be dealt with lightly by our Government."

Government."

So long as the North Vietnamese violation continues, he said, it would be "the height of irresponsibility" for the United States to resume peace negotiations. Only when North Vietnam withdraws the forces that invaded across the demilitarized zone, he said, will there be "a viable opportunity" to resume negotiations.

"The level of conflict is being established by the invading forces," he said, "and the level of conflict can be changed by the invaders."

At another point, Mr. Laird in modernizing their own armed forces, He also speculated that the Russians see "Vietnamization is working, and this concerns them."

But Mr. Laird and Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, who who testified today, said that the weekend B-52 raids against the weekend B-52 raids aga

forces. He also speculated that the Russians see "Vietnamiza-

centers being used to support forces," he said, "and the level of conflict can be changed by the invaders."

At another point, Mr. Laird suggested that one purpose of the bombing was to impress upon the Soviet Union the necessity of restraining North vietnam in the use of Soviet-supplied military equipment.

Contending that the North Vietnamese invasion would have been impossible without the supply of Soviet equipment, Mr. Laird complained that the Soviet Union had imposed no restraints on how the equipment was to be used.

The United States, he said, has been "very careful" to provide South Vietnam only military equipment that would give it an "in-country capability" of defending its security. In contrast, he said, the Soviet Union has imposed "no restraints" on love the said, the Soviet Union has imposed "no restraints" on last imposed the invasion.

The targets, they said, were "carefully selected" petroleum depots, truck parks and other supply centers directly related to the invasion. In the case of Hanoi, they said, the closest targets were about six miles from the center of the city.

The committee members were somewhat more critical in their valuestioning of Mr. Rogers yesterday.

Asked by Senator J. W. Fulbiated by the protection of American personnel. You don't need any more authority than that. It's sufficient, it's complete, and total. There should be no question about it."