NYTimes

C + 22 ext of President's Statement

Special to The New York Times

Washington, March 16 — Following is the text of a radio and television statement by President Nixon on education opportunity and busing:

Tonight I want to talk to you about one of the most difficult issues of our time. The issue of busing.

Across the nation in the North, East, West and South states, cities and local school districts have been torn apart

districts have been torn apart in debate over this issue. My own position is well known. I am opposed to busing for the purpose of achieving racial balance in our schools. I have spoken out against busing scores of times over many years. I believe most Americans, white and black, share that view.

view. What we need now is not What we need now is not just speaking out against more busing but action to stop it. Above all, we need to stop it in the right way in a way that will provide bet-ter education for every child in America in a desegregated school system

The reason action is so urgent is because of a num-ber of recent decisions of the lower Federal Courts. Those courts have gone too far; in some cases beyond the re-quirements laid down by the Supreme Court in ordering

Supreme Court in ordering massive busing to achieve ra-cial balance. The decisions have left in their wake confusion and contradiction in the law, anger, fear and turmoil in local communities and worst of all agonizing concern among hundreds of thou-sands of parents for the edu-cational and safety of their children who have been forced by court order to be bused miles away from their neighborhood schools.

A Fatal Flaw

There are many who be-lieve that a constitutional amendment is the only way to deal with this problem. The constitutional amend-ment proposal deserves a thorough consideration by the Congress on its merits. But as an answer to the im-mediate problem we face of stopping more busing now, the constitutional amendment approach has a fatal flaw. It takes too long. A constitutional amendment would take between a year There are many who be-

and 18 months at the very least to become effective. This means that hundreds of thousands of schoolchildren will be ordered by the courts to be bused away from their neighborhood schools in the next school year with no hope for relief. What we need

hope for relief. What we need is action now, not action two, three or four years from now. There is only one effective way to deal with the prob-lem now and that is for Con-gress to act. That is why am sending a special mes-sage to the Congress tomor-row urging immediate con-sideration and action on two measures. measures.

First, I shall propose legis-lation that would call an immediate halt to all new busing orders by Federal Courts, a moritorium on new

busing. Next I shall propose a com-panion measure—the Equal Educational Act of 1972. Opportunities

panion measure—me Equal Educational Opportunities Act of 1972. This act would require that every state or locality must grant equal educational op-portunity to every person re-gardless of race, color or national origin. For the first time, the cher-ished American ideal of equality of educational op-portunity would be affirmed in the law of the land by the elected representatives of the people in Congress. The act would further establish an educational bill of rights for Mexican-Ameri-cans, Puerto Ricans, Indians and others who start their education under language handicaps to make certain that they, too, will have equal opportunity. The act I propose would concentrate Federal school aid funds on the areas of greatest educational need. That means directing over two and a half billion dollars in the next year mainly toward improving the educa-tion of children from poor families. This proposal deals direct-ly with the problem that has

families. This proposal deals direct-ly with the problem that has been too often overlooked. We all know that within the central cities of our nation there are schools so inferior that it is hypocrisy even to suggest that the poor chil-dren who go there are get-ting a decent education, let alone an education compara-

ting a decent education, let alone an education compara-ble to that of children who go to school in the suburbs. Even the most extreme pro-ponents of busing admit that it would be years before pro-grams could be set up and financed which would bus a majority of these children out of the central-city areas to better schools in the sub-urbs. That means that put-ting primary emphasis on more busing rather than on better education inevitably will leave a lost generation of poor children in the cen-tral cities doomed to inferior education. education.

National Commitment

1

It is time for us to make a national commitment to see that the schools in the central cities be upgraded so that the children who go there will have just as good a chance to get quality edu-

cation as do the children who go to schools in the suburbs.

what I am proposing is that at the same time that we stop more busing we move forward to guarantee that the children currently attending the poorest schools in our cities and in rural districts be provided with education equal to that of the good schools in their communities. Taken together, the two elements of my proposal— the moratorium on new bus-ing and the Equal Education-

elements of my proposal— the moratorium on new bus-ing and the Equal Education-al Opportunities Act—would focus our efforts where they really belong: on better edu-cation for all of our children rather than on more busing for some of our children. In addition I am directing all agencies and depart-ments of the Federal Gov-ernment at every level to carry out the spirit of this message in all of their ac-tions. I am directing that the Justice Department in-tervene in selected cases where the lower courts have gone beyond the Su-preme Court's requirements in ordering busing. These are highlights of the new approach I propose. Let me now go to the heart of the problem that confronts us. I want to tell you why I feel that busing for the pur-pose of achieving racial bal-ance in our schools is wrong, and why the great majority of Americans are right in wanting to bring it to an end. The purpose of such busing is to help end segregation. But experience in case after case has shown that busing is a bad means to a good end. The frank recognition of that fact does not reduce our com-mitment to desagregation.

case has shown that busing is a bad means to a good end. The frank recognition of that fact does not reduce our com-mitment to desegregation. It simply tells us that we have to come up with a better means to that good end. The great majority of Americans, black and white, feel strongly that the busing of schoolchildren away from their own neighborhoods for the purpose of achieving ra-cial balance is wrong. The great majority, black and white, are determined that the process of desegre-gation must go forward until the goal of genuinely equal educational opportunity is achieved.

educational opportunity is achieved. The question, then, is, "How can we end segrega-tion in a way that does not result in more busing?" The proposals I am send-in gto the Congress provide an answer to that question. One emotional undercur-rent that has done much to make this so difficult as an issue is the feeling that some issue is the feeling that some people have that to oppose busing is to be antiblack. This is dangerous nonsense.

The Human Element

There is another element to consider—the most impor-tant of all. That is the human element, which I see reflected in thousands of letters I have received in my mail from worried parens all over the country, North and East, West and South. Let me give you some examples

you some examples. "I believe it is wrong when an 8-year-old child, who was once able to walk to a neigh-borhood school, is now forced to travel two hours a day on a bus."

"I believe it is wrong when a working mother is sud-denly faced with three dif-ferent bus schedules for her it word that methods it

ferent bus schedules for her children and that makes it impossible for her to con-tinue to work." "I believe it is wrong when parents are burdened with new worries about their children's safety on the road and in neighborhoods far

children's safety on the road and in neighborhoods far from home." "I believe it is wrong when a child in a poor neighbor-hood is denied the extra per-sonal attention and financial support in his school that we know can make all the dif-ference."

All these individual human wrongs add up to a deeply felt and growing frustration. These are wrongs that can be and must be set right.

That is the purpose of the legislation I am sending the Congress tomorrow. I submit these proposals to

the Congress, and I commend them to you, mindful of the profound importance and the profound importance and the special complexity of the is-sues they address. The key is action and action now. The Congress holds that key. If you agree with the goals I have described tonight—to stop more busing now and provide equality of education for all of our children—I urge you to let your Congressmen and Senators know your views so that the Congress will act promptly to deal with this problem. Let me close with a per-

Let me close with a per-sonal note. This is a deeply emotional and devisive issue. I have done by best to under-take to weigh and respect the conflicting interests; to strike a balance which is thoughful and just; to search for answers that will best serve all of our pation's chil serve all of our nation's children.

I realize the program I have recommended will not satisfy the extremists who oppose busing for the wrong reasons.

reasons. I realize that my program will not satisfy the extreme social planners who insist on more busing even at the cost of better education. But while what I have said tonight will not appeal to either extreme, I believe I have expressed the views of the majority of Americans. I believe that the majority of Americans of all races want more busing stopped and better education started. There is no escaping the

and better education started. There is no escaping the fast that some people oppose busing because of racial pre-judice. But to go on from this to conclude that "anti-bus-ing" is simply a code word for prejudice is a vicious libel on million of concerned parents who oppose busing not because they are against desegregation but because they are better education for their children. They want their children educated in their own neighborhoods.

Many have invested their life's savings in a home in a

Many have invested their life's savings in a home in a neighborhood they chose be-cause it had good schools. They do not want their chil-dren bused across a city to an inferior school just to meet some social planner's concept of what is considered to be the correct racial bal-ance — or what is called "progressive" social policy. There are right reasons for opposing busing, and there are wrong reasons—and most people, including large and increasing numbers of blacks, oppose it for reasons that have little or nothing to do with race. It would com-pound an injustice to persist in massive busing simply be-cause some people oppose it for the wrong reasons. Let us recognize that the issue of busing divides many Americans. But let us also recognize that the commit-ment to equal opportunity in education unites all Ameri-cans. The proposals I am sub-

education unites all Ameri-cans. The proposals I am sub-mitting to Congress will al-low us to turn away from what divides us and to turn toward what unites us. The way we handle this difficult issue is a supreme test of the character, the responsibility and the decen-cy of the American people. Let us handle it in a way uniting behind a program which will make it possible for all the children in this great and good country to receive a better education and thereby enjoy a better life.