## Ex-Colleague Says Rehnquist Opposed Segregation

troversy troversy has turned on a memorandum bearing Mr. Rehnmemorandum bearing Mr. Remquist's initials, directed to Justice Jackson, concluding that the doctrine of segregation laid down by the Supreme Court in 1896 should be reaffirmed.

"Both of us," Mr. Cronson

"Both of us," Mr. Cronson said, "personally thought at the time that the 1896 decision, Plessy v. Ferguson, was wrong. We first wrote a memorandum to that effect.

"It is 20 years ago, but I think I still have a copy of that memorandum. Then, afterwards, I think Justice Jackson asked us to prepare a second making the other argument.

"I had a desk right next to nominee's statements in support second Republican Senator to-day—Jacob K. Javits of New York—and their first Southerner, J. W. Fulbright of Arkansas.

Senator Javits said in a floor speech that Mr. Rehnquist's philosophy made him unacceptable "as one of the final arbiters of the rights of 200 million Americans."

He based this on the nominee's statements in support

## 2 Oppose Rehnquist By FRED P. GRAHAM

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, Dec. 9—The forces opposing William H. Rehnquist's nomination to the Supreme Court picked up their second Republican Senator to-day—Jacob K. Javits of New York—and their first Southerner, J. W. Fulbright of Arkansas.

Senator Javits said in a floor

making the other argument.

"I had a desk right next to Bill's. My guess is that I physically prepared the first memorandum and he the second, but we worked together on both. In what I have read about the second I can recognize some of my purple prose. It was just part of the job."

Interviewed by Phone

million Americans."

He based this on the nominee's statements in support of governmental wiretapping and surveillance, restrictions on free-speech rights of Government employes, mass arrests during the Mayday demonstrations here, plus his opposition to civil rights measures in the past.

part of the job."

Interviewed by Phone
Earlier today, Mr. Cronson, an oil company executive in Europe, sent a cable to Mr. Rehnquist from London about his recollections. He hten left for his home in Gstaad, Switzerland, and he was interviewed there by telephone

past.

Senator Fulbright, who as chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has campaigned for greater Congressional participation in foreign policy, based his opposition on Sweeping Presidential authority in relation to the Congress, participation to the Congress participation to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has campaigned for greater Congressional participation in foreign participation to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has campaigned for greater Congressional participation in foreign participation to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has campaigned for greater Congressional participation in foreign participation to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has campaigned for greater Congressional participation in foreign participation to the senate Foreign Relations Committee has campaigned for greater Congressional participation in foreign parti there by telephone. in relation to th Congress, par"To this day," Mr. Cronson said, "I am not exactly sure what Justice Jackson's views relations."

Assistant Attorney General

by Senator Javits. Senator John Sherman Cooper, Kentucky Re-publican, came out in support

voting must favor the closure

By ANTHONY LEWIS

Special to The New York Times
LONDON, Dec. 9—A former colleague of William H. Rehnquist said tonight that in 1952
Mr. Rehnquist was personally opposed to the legal doctrine of racial segregation.

Donald Cronson, who in 1952 was a law clerk to Supreme Court Justice Robert H. Jackson, along with Mr. Rehnquist, spoke out in the controversy over Mr. Rehnquist's nomination to the Supreme Court.

The latest phase of that controversy has turned on a supremed on a supremed when United states troops entered Cambo-diat that this was "well within" piece of unresolved legislation President Nixon's authority to act as commander-in-chief. He also opposed Senator Full-bright's bill to strip certain White House officials of the right to refuse to testify before Congress on grounds of executive privilege.

Senator Alan Cranston, Democrat of California, also and bill—the last major piece of unresolved legislation —is ready for a final vote to act as commander-in-chief. He also opposed Senator Full-bright's bill to strip certain White House officials of the right to refuse to testify before Congress on grounds of executive privilege.

Senator Alan Cranston, Democrat of California, also and the controversy has turned on a supreme Court.

The latest phase of that controversy has turned on a supreme Court.

The supreme Court considered the school segregation is such that this was "well within" president Nixon's authority to act as commander-in-chief. He also opposed Senator Full-bright's bill to strip certain White House officials of the right to refuse to testify before executive privilege.

Senator Alan Cranston, Democrat of California, also and the controversy has turned on a supreme Court.

The latest phase of that controversy has turned on a supreme Court.

The latest phase of that controversy has turned on a supreme Court.

The supreme Court considers the form of the controversy has turned on a supreme Court considers the court of the controversy has turned on a supreme Court considers the court of the contr

ment today charging that Mr. Rehnquist's explanation yester-day of a memorandum he wrote a clerk to Justice Robert H. ekson raises "most serious Jackson raises "most serious questions as to Mr. Rehnquist's candor."

candor.

Mr. Rehnquist said in a letter that the memorandum's statement that the "separate but equal" doctrine underlying the statement that the "separate but equal" doctrine underlying the statement of the sta school segregation should be reaffirmed was the tentative view of Justice Jackson, which

motion if debate is to be cut off. If closure is voted, a vote on the nomination could come later tomorrow or Saturday. Senator Birch Bayh, floor leader for the opponents of the nomination, said there was "a very good chance" to defeat the closure motion.

One factor that could affect reaffirmed was the tentative reaffirmed was the tentative view of Justice Jackson, which he drafted at the Justice's request—not his own view. Senator Bayh cited various civil libertarian and anti-segregation ruling by Justice Jackson, and concluded that "the views expressed in that memorandum in no way express the views of Justice Jackson."