SENATE, 49 TO 46, -BACKS FUND PLAN FOR 72 CAMPAIGN

Democrats Turn Back Bid to Kill \$1 Tax Checkoff-

Final Vote Postponed

NYTIMes NOV 1 9 1971 By WARREN WEAVER Jr.

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Nov. 18 Democratic Senators beat back today a united Republican attempt to scuttle their new plan for financing the 1972 Presidential campaign with Federal funds.

Winning the key vote 49 to 46, the Democrats appeared assured of enough support for final passage of the campaign financing plan, but the Republican minority successfully postponed a vote for more than seven hours by offering a series of amendments, all of them debated at length and then defeated.

Finally the Republicans refused to agree to a final vote tonight or as late as 5 P.M. tomorrow, and Senator Mike Mansfield moved to adjourn. The Republicans insisted on a roll-call vote, almost unheard of on adjournment, but Senator Mansfield was upheld, 55 to 40, and the Senate departed.

Future of Plan Unresolved

Unresolved in the confusion was not only the future of the campaign financing plan but also of the Administration's tax bill to which the Democrats are determined to attach the campaign measure.

If the campaign measure is attached to the tax bill, it will have to be approved by a Senate-House conference and then on the House floor. The House has passed a tax bill without the campaign financing provision. In the past sessions the House has rejected such a provision.

The campaign plan, attacked by the Republicans as a "slush fund" and a "raid on the Treasury," would offer the major party Presidential candidates \$20.4-million each in Federal funds to finance their general election campaigns.

The candidate who took the public money would have to limit his entire expendituresfrom the convention to Election Day-to that amount. All taxpayers would have an opportunity to earmark \$1 of their income tax payments for a nonpartisan political fund, from which the campaign money would be drawn.

Senator Mansfield charged that the Republicans were engaged in a filibuster against the campaign proposal and Continued on Page 27, Column 4

HE NEW YORK TIMES, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1971

Democrats Defeat G.O.P. Effort To Kill Federal Campaign Fund

anxious to receive as soon as amendment, even possible.

"If this means delay or defeat of the President's program," Mr. Mansfield declared just before adjournment, "the finger will have to be pointed at the party or parties responsible for the delay."

Senator Hugh Scott, the Republican leader, denied that his Republican amendments. colleagues were staging a filibuster.

"When you're outnumbered, you outnumbered, you need an opgroup." Meanwhile, the House post-

The key vote on the cam-aign amendment, sponsored would be the people's man," y Senator John O. Pastore, he said. paign

Continued From Page 1, Col. 4 Democrat of Rhode Island, was warned that it might lead to setting aside the entire tax bill, which the White House is which the White House is ators present voted to kill the Senator James B. Pearson of Kansas, who had co-sponsored it.

Of the 52 Democrats present, only three voted with the Republicans, Harry F. Byrd Jr. of Virginia, Sam J. Ervin Jr. of North Carolina and John L. McClellan of Arkansas. Later, they voted against most of the The Republican

themes throughout the debate were that "We have as much right to the Democrats were afraid offer amendments as you they could not raise enough have," Senator Scott declared. money to compete in 1972 and need amendments. When you're not really reduce the role of that the Pastore plan would portunity to fall back and re-group." wealthy contributors because

Meanwhile, the House post-poned action on a package of bills designed to limit campaign spending, until after a Thanks-gived that taxpayers agreeing gending, until after a Thanks-to the checkoff would not un-giving recess that runs until Nov. 29. Supporters of the legis-lation were fearful the delay could cost them votes. In a day that featured strong political charges and counter-charges and party-line voting, Senator Scott had some of the Democratic campaign plan. "In my 20 years in Congress, I have never seen a worse polit-ical grab than this," he said. "It's outrageous, it's scanda-lous, it's indefensible, and I will vote against it." The key vote on the cam-naign amendment sponcoration was proved would "put an end to "Under this amendment, he maries. Republican Senators also ar-gued that taxpayers agreeing to the checkoff would not un-gived that a share of their major and minor party candi-dates whom they had no de-sire to support. The Democrats countered that this was an opportunity to Senator Mansfield said its approval would "put an end to "Under this amendment, he maries.

Senate Roll-Call Vote On Campaign Funding

WASHINGTON, Nov. 18 (AP)—Following is the 49-46 roll-call vote by which the Senate today defeated a mo-tion to strike from a tax bill a provision for financing Presidential campaigns from public funds.

FOR THE MOTION-46 Democrats-3 McClellan (Ark.) Byrd (Ýa.) Ervin (N.C.) Aiken (Vt.) Ailott (Coio.) Baker (Tenn.) Bealimon (Okla.) Beolimon (Okla.) Brooke (Mass.) Brooke (Mass.) Brocke (Mass.) Brocke (Mass.) Brocke (Mass.) Cooter (KY.) Cooter (KY. 15-43 Hansen (Wyo.) Hatfield (Ore.) Hruska (Neb.) Javits (N.Y.) Jordan (Idahay) Mathias (Md.) Miller (Iawa) Packwood (Ore.) Percy (III.) Schweiker (Pa.) Schweiker (Pa.) Stafford (Vt.) St Republicans-43 AGAINST THE MOTION-49
 Ite
 Monorats-49

 Long (La.)
 Magnuson (Wash.)

 Masnuson (Wash.)
 Masfield (Mont.)

 McGeuerry (S.D.)
 McGuerry (S.D.)
len (Ala.) Herson (N.M.) Anderson (N.M. Bayh (Ind.) Bentsen (Tex.)

Bible (Nev.) Burdick (N.D.)- Byrdick (N.D.)- Cannon (Nev.) Chiles (Fla.) Cranston (Calif.) Eastland (Miss.) Eastland (Miss.) Eillender (La.) Fulbright (Ark.) Gambrell (Ge.) Gravel (Alaska) Hart (Mich.) Hollings (S.C.) Humphrey (Minn.) Inouve (Hawail)	McGovern (S.D.) McIntyre (N.H.) Metcalf (Mont.) Montoya (N.M.) Moss (Utah) Muskie (Me.) Pastore (Me.) Pastore (M.) Pastore (M.) Pastore (M.) Pastore (M.) Stevenson (III.) Stevenson (III.) Stevenson (III.) Stevenson (III.)
Hollings (S.C.) Humphrey (Minn.)	Stevenson (III.)
Jackson (Wash.)	Talmadge (Ga.) Talmadge (Ga.)
Jordan (N.C.) Kennedy (Mass.) Republicans	Williams (N.J.) against—0
Repoblicans against-0	