Rehnquist's Statements Indicate

By FRED P. GRAHAM

WASHINGTON, Nov. 2writings of William H. Rehnquist, encased in two thick binders and lodged by him last weekend with the Senate Judiciary Committee, show that President Nixon's nominee to the Supreme Court is an unverying conservative who believes that Justices invariably write their own views into the Constitution

Constituion.

Constituion.

To those who have pored over Mr. Rehnquist's speeches, over Mr. Rehnquist's speeches, articles and statements, it has become apparent that if Mr. Rehnquist is seated and if he follows his present philosophy, he will be an extremely conservative Justice — but in a markedly different way from the conservatives of the Court's recent past

recent past.

Hearings on Mr. Rehnquist, a
47-year-old Assistant Attorney
General, and President Nixon's General, and President Nixon's other nominee, Lewis F. Powell Jr., a 64-year-old Richmond lawyer, will begin tomorrow morning, with the interrogation of Mr. Rehnquist first. His nomination has drawn more criticism because of his strong conservative positions than has the nomination of Mr. Powell. But neither nomination appears to be in serious trouble.

neither nomination appears to be in serious trouble.

Believing as he does that the personal philosophies of Justices will be reflected in their decisions, Mr. Rehnquist has written that the Senate should "thoroughly inform itself of the judicial philosophy of a Supreme Court nominee before voting to confirm him." Liberal Senators have already said that Senators have already said that they agree with this view and will question him closely.

Differs From Frankfurter

In recent years, the leading lights of the Supreme Court conservatism were Felix Frank-furter and John M. Harlan.

furter and John M. Harlan.

They frequently complained that the Court headed by former Chief Justice Earl Warren was too quick to write the liberal ideas of the Justices into the Constitution. They called for stricter adherence to stare decisis, the doctrine that prior decisions should be followed.

When Mr. Nixon has praised strict constructionist judges he has often cited Justice Frankfurter as the example to be followed.

3 NOV 71

HE NEW YORK TIMES, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 1971

He Would Be an Activist

Pressing Conservative Views

By these lights, Mr. Rehnquist, according to his own statements, is far from a strict constructionist. Instead, he is the type of judicial activist that Justice Waren was—except that Mr. Rehnquist believes that it is time to read conservative rather than liberal meanings into the Constitution. Nor is the law of the Constitution just there, waiting to be applied in the same sense that an inferior court may match precedents," Mr. Rehnquist wrote in the Harvard Law Record in 1969. He continued: There are those who bemoan the absence of stare decisis in

There are those who bemoan the absence of stare decisis in constitutional law, but of its absence there can be no doubt. And it is no accident that the provisions of the Constitution which have been most productive of judicial lawmaking—the 'due process of law' and 'equal protection of the laws' clauses—are about the vaguest and most general of any in the instrument.

most general of any in the instrument.

'It is high time that those critical of the present Court recognize with the late Charles Evans Hughes that for 175 years the Constituion has been what the judges say it is. If greater judicial self-restraint is desired, or a different interpretation of the phrases 'due process of law' or 'equal protection of the laws,' then men sympathetic to such desires must sit upon the high court."

Critical of Newspaper

In 1959, Mr. Rehnquist wrote a letter that revealed what "dif-ferent interpretation" he had in mind—"a judicial philosophy which consistently applied would reach a conservative result."

And after the Senate rejected the nomination of G. Harrold Carswell, Mr. Rehnquist wrote The Washington Post, taking issue with its editorial opinion that Mr. Carswell's conservative views on civil rights had made him unsuitable for the Supreme Court.

Mr. Rehnquist wrote: "Your editorial clearly implies that to the extent the judge [Carswell] falls short of your civil rights standards, he does so because of anti-Negro, anti-civil rights animus, rather than because of a judicial philosophy which consistently applied would reach a conservative result both in civil rights cases and other areas of the law."

What The Washington Post really wanted, Mr. Rehnquist added, was a "restoration of the Warren Court's liberal majority," which he said would have the result of "not merely further expansion of constitutional recognition of civil rights, but further expansion of the constitutional recognition of civil rights, but further expansion of the constitutional rights of criminal defendants, or pornographers and of demonstrators."

It is this threat, which runs through all of Mr. Rehnquist's writings, that has stirred the opposition of Americans for Democratic Action and various civil rights groups.

When the Phoenix City Council was considering an ordinance in 1964 to make all establishments serve everyone regardless of race or national origin, Mr. Rehnquist opposed it in the name of individual liberty. Mr. Rehnquist, then a lawyer in Phoenix, wrote in a pubished letter: "To the extent that we substitute, for the decision of each businessman as to how he shall select his customers, the command of the government telling him how

he must select them, we give criticizing United States poli- Mr. Rehnquist told the Newark ous surveillance, and that alup a measure of our traditional cies in iVietnam, Mr. Rehnquist Kiwanis Club, "In the area of lowing aggrieved subjects of

The freedom."

The following grounds: "We are no more dedicated to an integrated society. We are instead dedicated to a free society, in which each man is equal before the law, but in which each man is accorded a maximum amount of freedom of choice in his individual activities."

The following gitimate and constitutionally valid claim to limit the part of its employes even though that as a sovereing has no similar constitutionally valid claim to limit dissent on the part of its employes even though that same fovernment as a sovereing has no similar constitutionally valid claim to limit dissent on the part of its citiance from Government agents. The first proper law enforcement."

The fovernment as an employer has a levicity obedience. If force or the threat of force is required to enforce the law, we must not shirk from its employment."

The fovernment as an employer has a levicity obedience. If force or the threat of force is required to enforce the law, we must not shirk from its employment."

The fovernment as a sovernment as a sovernm

told the Federal Bar Associa-public law that disobedience surveillance to go to court

tain individuals during the period of an emergency without tion or expression. being required to bring them

writings ganizations. Throughout the before a committing magistrate there are only a few references and filing charges against them." to the Bill of Rights, and some ence on Civil Rights, a coalition In Congressional testimony liberals on the Judiciary Com- of civil rights, liberal and labor he found no constitutional bar-rier to Mr. Nixon's use of an executive order to give the Sub-versive Activities Control Board his apparent tendency to see his apparent tendency to see However, most of the mail authority to brand organiza-tions as being subversive, and thus off-limits to Government focus than personal rights. However, most of the mail governmental needs in sharper that has been received by the focus than personal rights. Judiciary Committee has been employes. Mr. Rehnquist saw Mr. Rehnquist and Mr. Powell favorable to both nominees.

Rehnquist replied that an un-|this as question of Presiden-|furnished material to the comdeclared "qualified martial law" tial power—which he thought mittee after liberal members had existed. Police officials, he said, "have the authority to deeroise—and not in terms of a public statements. There have threat to freedom of associa-been no indications of opposition to Mr. Powell by any or-

Today the Leadership Confer-