SFExaminer

SFExaminer OCT 27 1971 Nixon Shocked by UN

Glee After China Vote

Reaction To Ouster Revealed

Examiner News Services WASHINGTON

WASHINGTON President N i x o n was "shocked and dismayed" by the "undisguised glee" some United Nations delegates showed after they voted to oust Taiwan, the White House said today.

In a strong but delayed reaction to the UN vote Monday night, White House Press Secretary Ronald Ziegler, suggested the United States might be forced to reduce its support for the UN and for foreign aid.

Ziegler, reading from notes in response to a question. said television news broadcasts made it clear that some delegates displayed "personal animosity" toward the U.S. policy after the series of votes Monday night.

Shocked

Ziegler said President Nixon, "was shocked by this action. He interpreted it as being undisguised glee and personal animosity on the part of certain delegates toward the U.S. policy."

Ziegler said the reaction of the delegates was unworthy of the UN and "could seriously impair the support in the Congress and the country for the United Nations."

While saying "it is not our intention to retaliate," Ziegler said some of the delegates whose actions were offensive to Nixon represent nations which have been large recipients of American foreign aid.

Impact

Therefore, he said, "the shocking spectacle could also affect foreign aid allocations because of the impact on Congress and the people."

Asked to be specific about the actions he was decrying, Ziegler spoke of "the cheering, the clapping, the undignified actions on the part of some delegates."

He said Nixon often has expressed support for the United Nations and wants to see it succeed.

"We do not conduct our policy on the basis of person-

—Turn to Page 6, Col. 2

alities. And we are not going to do so."

Potential

However, he said, "we would be less than candid" if the administration did not publicly point out the potential impact of the episode.

At one point Ziegler said the White House had "received a number of telephone calls and telegrams expressing shock and dismay."

He gave no figures, but said the number was quite large.

The White House statement came as Secretary of State William Rogers cautioned the Senate against punitive or retaliatory action against the UN for its action, but at the same time urged a financial review by the UN to see how it can pay its bills.

Divided

Testifying before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee on a treaty handing Okinawa back to Japan, Rogers ran into sharply divided views by senators on reducing financial contributions to the UN.

"We have got to be careful how we act as a nation," Rogers said. "We could set back our foreign relations to a considerable degree. We are being put in a position of saying that we pay more to the UN, so we should expect them to do more for us."

Nix Ad

Rogers said there is no question about the administration's support for the UN, but he said it needs to get its own financial house in order. Hot Pants

not raits

Senate Minority Leader Hugh Scott said at the Senate hearing that the cheering delegates represented "hot pants principalities" who rejoiced that their vote was equal to the United States' without considering what effect the outcome would have on the future of the UN.

Scott said there is no friendly sentiment to w ar d the United Nations in the Senate today. "There is a strong sentiment in Congress" that almost any motion at this point to cut down funds to the UN would pass."

Scott said he did not think the Senate should act in anger or in pique but there is a question of "enlightened selfinterest" on how far the United States should go:

Opposed

Sens. Fulbright (D-Ark.), Jacob Javits (R-N.Y.), and John Sherman Cooper (R-Ky.), all opposed a tentative cutoff of funds.

Rogers said yesterday the administration accepts the expulsion of Taiwan as a cost of democracy, but he talked of cutting American financing for the world body.

He first told a news conference "We will not support a reduction of funds for the United Nations in retaliation for this vote."

To do so, he said, would be to reject the democratic process "and we in the United States are accustomed to being bound by a majority vote."

Difficult

But in his next sentence Rogers said:

"The other problem, though, the related problem of whether the United Nations is spending too much money or not, is living beyond its resources, is a very difficult question, which we will have to address.

"And I think that my own view is that it has spent too much money in the past. And we have probably contributed more than our share."

Later in the day, the White House was asked if President Nixon shared Rogers' view on the U.S.-UN financial rè-

lations. "The secretary of state spoke for the United States government today," was the answer.

25.5