HATFIELD ASSAILS STRATEGY OF G.O

Views Mid-Term Election as Setback for Republicans

> By R. W. APPLE Jr. cial to The New York Tin

WASHINGTON, Nov. 9— Senator Mark O. Hatfield, Republican of Oregon, believes that the mid-term elections constituted a major setback for his party and jeopardized President Nixon's chances of re-

election.

In a little-noticed speech in Philadelphia on the day after the election last week, Senator Hatfield contended that the kind of campaign waged by the Republicans this year not only was counterproductive in terms

was counterproductive in terms of votes, but also eroded the country's faith in the President's leadership.

At the behest of Mr. Nixon and Vice President Agnew, Senator Hatfield said, Republican candidates relied on "guilt by association," "manipulated the fears and prejudices" of the electorate and attempted to capitalize on social divisions in an attempt to prove that the an attempt to prove that the Democrats were "soft" on law

The Republicans should have

E. Stevenson 3d.
Mr. Smith ran one of the toughest law-and-order campaigns in the nation this year.

Senator Hatfield also questioned the official Republican line on the elections, which maintains that the party out of power in the White House nearly always gains Senate and House seats in an off-year election. Arguing from that premise, Republican spokesmen have construed their party's gain of two Senate seats and loss of only nine seats in the House of Representatives as a victory.

Representatives as a victory.

Basing this analysis on research by Prof. Barbara Hinckley of Cornell University, Mr. Hatfield said President Nixon ran ahead of the Republican House candidates in only 10 districts in 1968, indicating that he had short political coattails. The comparable figures for President Eisenhower in 1956 was 174 districts, and for

President Johnson in 1964, 176 dent's party gained seats in the

districts The Representatives in these districts are considered vulnerdistricts are considered vulnerable in the next election, and about a quarter of them usually lose re-election bids. Senator Hatfield said. This year, however, four of the 10 elected in 1968 were beaten, twice the "normal" number. They were E. Ross Adair of Indiana, Ed Foreman of New Mexico.

were E. Ross Adair of Indiana, Ed Foreman of New Mexico, Martin B. McKneally of apstate New York and Robert P. McCarney of North Dakota, seeking the seat of Thomas S. Kleppe, who retired.

"This not only indicates a significant drop in the President's popularity," Senator Hatfield said, "but has significant implications for the 1972 elections as well."

Discussing the history of

ensuing off-year election. In 1960, for example, John F. Ken-

Iney had what he termed "a historically unprecedented opportunity" to make major gains in of apthe Senate because 25 Demo-cratic seats and only 10 Republican seats were at stake. No similar opportunity has existed since 1952, he added, and none will recur in the next four years.

Nor did Mr. Hatfield accept White House contentions of a major ideological change in the Senate. He listed five new conservatives—Senators-elect Lloyd M. Bentsen Jr. of Texas, J. Glenn Beall Jr. of Maryland, barty in off-year elections happen only when the Presidential saw on by a large or a land-stide margin."

In six of the 10 Presidential elections since 1856 in which as a failure to appeal to that vote, he cited Sen. Ralph T. Smith's weak showing in the Chicago suburbs against the Democratic candidate, Adlai E. Stevenson 3d.

Mr. Smith ran one of a major ideological change in the Senate. He listed five new White House conservatives—Senators-elect Lloyd M. Bentsen Jr. of Texas, J. Glenn Beall Jr. of Maryland, William E. Brock 3d of Tennessee, James L. Buckley of New York and Robert Taft Jr. of Ohio—and four new moderate-liberals—Mr. Stevenson, John V. Tunney of California, Dowell P. Weicker Jr. of Connecticut and Lawton Chiles of Florida.

Mr. Smith ran one of a toughest law.