# The Washington post

# People

SATURDAY, APRIL 1972

# A Cover-up of a Whitewash of a Massacre

### Ron Ridenhour Reviewed by

lowed. He is now a student at Arizona State University and writes for New Times, In 1969 the reviewer wrote a letter to the Secretary of Defense and other highly an underground newspaper placed persons that led to the revelations of the My Lai massacre and all that fol-

nation's young began filling charges against our the Vietnam war, leveling to most Americans when the the streets in protest against It came as a bitter shock

## Books

# COVER UP. By Seymour M. Hersh. (Random House, 305 pp., \$6.95)

reserved for only our vilest adversaries. They were But in November, 1969, charges few adversaries. that had traditionally been government and military Americans

ering the military, rocked posed what became known with a series of articles exterprising free-lance jour-Seymour M. Hersh, an enas the My Lai massacre. The the nation and the world nalist who specializes in cov-

came a probingly thorough book. Although most people series won Hersh the Pulitzer Prize and later becharges made by the antirefused to believe it, began to look as if the worst

the massacre itself, raising explosive than the story of Now Hersh is back with a second book based on My war groups were true. serious questions that cut to Lai. It is potentially more

> an institution and laying open to question the integrity of our top military and civilian leaders more as well as the American brand of

cover what happened at My 28,000 pages of testimony Lai, why it happened, and how it could have gone so ballyhooed blue-ribbon panel the My Lai affair by a much the Peers inquiry was to dis-Hersh's own extensive inves-Gen. William R. Peers, plus named after its chief, Lt. the Army's investigation of and documents gathered by "Cover-up" undiscovered. The purpose of

catch-all promised full access to the whitewash. The public was military trials, barring the usual "national security" Army was reacting, accordlease the report. for Calley's appeal, but the Pentagon still refuses to retrials are now over except Peers discoveries after the ing to Hersh, to charges of a provision.

The reason, Hersh says, is See BOOKS, C4, Col. 1

With testimony of the men Author Seymour Hersh: who participated



### BOOKS, From C1

that the investigation of the whitewash is itself a coverup.

•Hersh shows the Peers group collecting detailed evidence of a second massacre on the same morning by another company from Task Force Barker, Charlie Company's parent unit, but Gen. Peers denied any knowledge of it at a press conference announcing the investigation's results.

•He shows Lt. Calley sentenced to life imprisonment (later reduced to 20 years) while his two commanding generals are let off the hook by a fellow general in a deal that smacks of the "old boy" syndrome—even tho ugh each accuses the other of ultimate responsibility and both their testimonies are full of holes and hedging.

 He shows wholesale destruction and alteration of records by privates through generals.

•He shows the CIA's shadowy hand in operation and the part a CIA agent played in planning the My Lai opration.

 He shows a loose, unofficial but fiercely loyal alliance of field grade officers willing to break all the rules to protect their fellow officers—even those they've never met.

Beyond these revelations, however, lies the deeper question of command responsibility, not only for My Lai but for all the undiscovered-publicly at leastmassacres and atrocities of the war. Implicit in the handling of the My Lai affair by the administration and the Pentagon is the assumption that the massacre was an atypical incident, a kind of horrible aberration caused by a freakish and complicated combination of factors that could never be repeated.

"Cover-up" indicates that the atrocity syndrome was widespread throughout the Americal Division, at least, and that the military policies then in effect, policies designed in the highest military echelons made them inevitable. In the chapters Hersh devotes to the subject, one is struck by the identical line that issues from a variety of witnesses from numerous echelons: "Kill, kill, kill". If they are to be believed, the official emphasis was on body count and little else. There is hardly any conclusion left to draw except that as far as

the brass was concerned, what really mattered was not who was killed, but how many.

In Hersh's final analysis it becomes clear that not only was My Lai inevitable, but so was its cover-up and the cover-up's cover-up. Perhaps the most disturbing issue he raises is that what made it all so inevitable is integral to the United States Army today. And that raises some questions.

Hersh threads the story of My Lai and its sister massacre at My Khe, their investigation and the double cover-up, into a broad tapestry tightly stitched together with the most damning evidence of all—the testimony of the men who participated at every level and every stage of the whole sordid affair.

Years from now, when scholars attempt to understand the Vietnam phenomenon, 'Cover-up is the one book to which they will all turn. And they will ask themselves, I suppose, why the vital questions raised by Hersh about an institution as powerful in and important to America as its army were allowed to go unanswered—as they surely will in a nation that has had the war up to here.