

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate Armed Services Committee suspended its Lavelle hearings yesterday with Chairman John C. Stennis (D-Miss.) stressing that the unauthorized bombings did not represent any "drastic challenge" to civilian control of the military.

He said he felt "relieved" that the facts developed during the Senate investigation of Air Force Gen. John D. Lavelle showed that there were "no elements of a revolt of the military against civilians." Lavelle, before being relieved as commander of the 7th Air Force in Vietnam, or-

dered 28 bombing raids against North Vietnam which the Air Force said violated the bombing rules for "protective reaction."

In assessing the significance of the Lavelle episode, Stennis said that there was "some failure by the military" and "there might have been lack of vigilance by some civilians."

The chairman said the committee over the next several days would ponder the testimony taken in hearings which started Sept. 11 and make recommendations for reforms as well as passing on the nomination of Gen. Creighton W. Abrams as Army Chief of Staff.

Abrams seems assured of a favorable vote, since no senator on the committee has charged him with approving Lavell's actions in breaking the bombing rules and falsifying reports on the unauthorized raids.

Adm. Thomas H. Moorer, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, denied in the closed hearing yesterday that the Navy had violated the protective reaction rules at any time.

"Much has been made about civilian authority," said Moorer in meeting with reporters after his committee appearance. "I don't think it's proper to describe this (Lavelle episode) as a violation of civilian authority."

He termed Lavell's unauthorized bombing instead as a "violation of instructions." He conceded in response to reporters' questions that the source of those instructions was civilian authority.

Asked about the adequacy of Lavelle's punishment - relieved of command and retirement at the rank of a threestar rather than four-star general - Moorer said it is "not proper for me to answer the question." He added, however. that being relieved of com-mand was "a very severe blow to General Lavelle."

On Thursday, according to Stennis, a former pilot on the aircraft carrier Constellation told the committee that the Navy had briefed pilots to

See NAVY, A13, Col. 1

Stennis Suspends Lavelle Hearings

NAVY, From A1

Vietnam whether fired on or bombers with a single reconnot, Protective reaction rules naissance plane was a change formerly in force required of tactics instituted by both that North Vietnamese gun- the Air Force and Navy early ners fire or focus gun or mis- this year. sile radar on the planes before bombs could be dropped.

Moorer disputed that testimony by Lt. William Gregg Groepper, who resigned rather than fly what he considered tween what the Air Force and an-illegal mission. He described briefings he had watched on closed-circuit television aboard the carrier in making his charge about premeditated bombing.

Groepper's former roommate aboard the Constellation, Lt. Charles William Moore Jr., a Naval Academy graduate, and Cdr. John A. Miller, commander of Groepper's squadron, also denied to the committee that there were any orders to bomb whether fired upon or not. The two raids in question were against Quanglang air field in North Vietnam and were flown in December, 1971, and January, 1972.

Adm. Moorer added that the Navy did not engage in socalled "trolling" tactics. This tactic, attributed to the Navy by Air Force witnesses during the Senate hearings, calls for sending in a reconnaissance of the military chain of complane to provoke enemy reaction so that accompanying the top." He said orders go fighter-bombers could swoop into attack without technically breaking the protective reaction rules.

Sen. Barry Goldwater (R-Ariz.) said of Groepper's testimony that "we've been listening to a lot of crap, and Admiral-Moorer set the record sträight. We've been listening to a man who lost his wings because of cowardice."

Sen. Richard S. Schweiker (R-Pa.) praised Groepper for his "dedication and sincerity" in stepping forward to report what he considered illegal actions. Schweiker noted that Groepper had been decorated for his performance in the Navy and had been honorably discharged.

Schweiker, in an assessment

of the Lavelle hearings which differed from Stennis', said bomb certain targets in North sending out a lot of fighter-

"In my judgment," Schweiker said, "this gave them a blank check right to hit any target they wanted to hit." About the only difference be-Navy did in this period, Schweiker said, was in the falsification of reports. He said there had been no suggestion that the Navy had also engaged in this.

"I think the spirit of the rules was violated" by both services in sending a sizeable group fighter-bombers of along with the reconnaissance planes, he said. The most worrisome feature of the whole Lavelle episode, Schweiker said, is that the violations were not detected by higherups in the chain of command. Citing the Lavelle and Mylai cases as his examples, Schweiker said:

"Twice the chain of command has broken down to-tally" and this made it impossible for civilians to exercise any control because they did not know about the situations.

"If you lose control half way up the ladder," said Schweiker mand, "you can't have any at down the military chain like "greased lightning," but that military investigations such as the one on Mylai proceed like "20-mule teams moving across the desert."