# Casey Testimony on Shift Of I.T.T. Files Is Disputed

#### By E. W. KENWORTHY

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 6-Ralph E. Erickson, a former Deputy Attorney General, gave an account today of the hurried transfer of files of the International Telephone and

Telegraph Corporation from the Securities and Exchange Commission to the Justice Department last October that was totally at variance with an account given the same Congressional subcommittee by William J. Casey, a former S.E.C. chairman.

The two versions were, in fact, so irreconcilable that mem bers of the House Commerce subcommittee on investigations i dicated in their questioning that they were now confronted with questions of possible per jury and falsification of records.

### Emphasized in Talks

At a hearing last Dec. 14, Mr. Casey, who is now Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs, repeatedly told the subcommittee that 34 boxes of I.T.T. files, plus a manila folder containing 13 "politically sensitive" documents, were transferred to the Justice Departmen on Oct. 6, 1972 because the Justice Department had requested them.

Today Mr. Erickson insisted that the Justice Department had not requested the transfer. In fact, Mr. Erickson said, he had emphasized in conversations both with John W. Dean 3d, the then White House counsel who has since been dismissed, and with Mr. Casey that the Justice Department saw no need for the files in connection with an investigation it was then conducting. Reached in Paris, Mr. Casey,

Continued on Page 75, Column 3

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3

who is now Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs, said that Mr. Erickson's statement "is not true."

Mr. Casey added that, last October, Mr. Erickson, in a letter to Representative Harley O. Staggers, Democrat of West Virginia who is chairman of the House subcommittee, stated that Mr. Erickson had asked that the I.T.T. files be sent to the Justice Department.

In the hearing today, when asked about this letter, Mr. Erickson conceded that he had written and signed such a letter but should have not said he requested the files but that he had accepted them from Mr. Casey.

The investigation that the Justice Department had been carrying on was requested last June by the Senate Judiciary Committee and was concerned with possible perjury by witnesses during the hearings on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst to be Attorney General.

derson, the columnist, of the memorandum by Dita Beard, it in against some I.T.T. officers because of insider trading. to her superior, William R. Merriam, a vice president, and the head of I.T.T.'s Washington office. In that memo, Mrs. Casey's refusal to honor two Beard suggested that a pledge requests on Sept. 21 and 27 of of up to \$400,000 for the Republican Presidential convention, then planned for San ginia, to let the subcommittee Diego, had influenced a settle-see the I.T.T. files. ment of an antitrust suit by At the hearing M companies.



Associated Press Ralph E. Erickson

S.E.C. was continuing to look into possible violations of law The resumed hearings in and regulations connected with March and April had been rether I.T.T.-Hartford merger. As quested by Mr. Kleindienst fol- a result of that investigation, lowing publication by Jack An-the S.E.C. had, the preceding

The hearings by the House

At the hearing Mr. Casey teswhich I.T.T. was allowed to tified that he knew the com-retain the Hartford Fire Insur-mission would have to turn ance Company in return for the over the files if the subcomdivestiture of several other mittee subpoenaed them. Therefore, he said that he conferred Concurrently with the Justice on Oct. 3 with Mr. Dean at Department investigation, the the White House who agreed over. On Oct. 4. Mr. Casev tes- fused earlier. tified, the full commission, after a 10-minute meeting, voted private practice, tice Department. They were Casey's. dispatched early on Oct. 6.

investigative files in the I.T.T. interested in the S.E.C.'s files matter, "determined to comply with the request," and adjourne at 3:10 P.M. at 3:10 P.M.

disclosure of the files to Congressional committees might jeo

"Mr. Dean also mentioned,"

13 documents, which included sary.' I.T.T. intercompany memos and letters of I.T.T. officials detailing meetings of company of-ficers with Vice President told Mr. Dean "that there did Secretary of the Treasury John to the Department of Justice," give up Hartford.

plain, a request by Senator Ed-the S.E.C. ward M. Kennedy, Democrat After M. cedures and practices, to see

the files should not be turned the documents had been re-son said, Mr. Dean called to tion of justice charge against

told to send the files to the Jus-story quite different from Mr. Mr. Casey that he would call the I.T.T. Washington office

The minutes of the Oct. 4 on Oct. 3 — the same day Mr. meeting state that the commis- Casey saw Mr. Dean — he had meeting state that the commissioners met at 3 P.M., considually to the S.E. talked with Mr. Dean at the Department of Justice. At the Erickson testified. conclusion of the talk, he said, partment of Justice that the Mr. Dean suggested that the commission make available" the Justice Department might be Mr. Casey began by saying concluded that there was evinvestigative files in the I.T.T. interested in the S.E.C.'s files "that he understood that the dence of obstruction of justice," tice Department's examination At the Dec. 14 hearing, Mr. of the Kleindienst hearings for Casey said the commissioners possible perjury, and secondly, complied with the request because, among other reasons, ing that the Justice Department what was in they felt it made sense to consolidate the investigations and "which paralleled in part" the In this co

pardize the rights of possible defendants and possibly subject them to double jeopardy. Ject them to double Jeopardy. Compiled by the S.E.C. were In subsequent tstimony that day, however, Mr. Casey's executive assistant, Charles Seymour Whitman 3d, made plain that the principal concern of the commission was not the 34 boxes but the envelope with the terials if that became neces-

## Confirmed Judgment

Agnew, former Attorney Gen- not appear to me to be any eral John N. Mitchell, former basis for transferring the files of the facts." hearings and further because for a transfer.

ask what conclusion he had certain individuals." Today Mr. Erickson, now in come to on the transfer of the

Mr. Erickson said he did so

be transferred to the dapart-erence on that basis." ment; indeed I did not know what was in the files," Mr.

Erickson first indicated that the of Justice to the S.E.C. request-Staggers subcommittee waned ing the transfer."
the files, and that a determination. This, Mr. Erickson said, he

ternoon, Mr. Erickson said, he manner." called Mr. Casey to reiterate his conclusion that there was "no Casey called him to say that basis for us to seek the transfer the commission had voted to of the S.E.C.-I.T.T. files." Mr. send the files to justice on the Casey, he said, replied that "we basis of "our request." Actually, should get togeher right away" the meeting at the S.E.C. took because "apparently we did not place before Mr. Casey's 3:30

B. Connally and other adminis- because at that time they met and—according to the min- look into the possibility of fal-

For the same reason, Mr. he was unaware of any other Casey and Mr. Whitman made investigation paralleling that of plain, a request by Senator Ed-the S.E.C.

The learnings and interfer because for a graduate of any other Mr. Erickson said that about in fact, been stoutly opposed. The next day, Oct. 5, Mr. bits office, whereupon, he said, Mr. Casey read ward M. Kennedy, Democrat After Mr. Dean's departure, they went through the same to him over the phone the in-of Massachusetts who is chair- Mr. Erickson said, he confirmed arguments. But, Mr. Erickson tended transmittal letter. It

Mr. Casey was apparently rea files, adding that he had told ferring to two facts-first, that Mr. Erickson after the previous had not delivered the 13 so-He said that about 4 P.M. conversation. Mr. Erickson said called sensitive memos to the his views had not changed. Senate Judiciary Committee or Thereupon, Mr. Dean advised initially to the S.E.C. in re-

> Mr. Erickson said he told Mr. the next morning, Oct. 4, and Casey that if the S.E.C. had Department of Justice wanted then "they may wish to refer him to transfer the S.E.C.-I.T.T. the matter to the Department files to the department."
>
> of Justice to pursue that aspect
> "I responded that we had no of the investigation and that reason to request that the files we certainly would accept ref-

know However, he added, Mr. Mr. Casey continued to demand that any transfer "be initiated In this conversation, Mr. by a letter from the Department

tion should be made "at an early date."

Conclusion Reiterated

refused to do because it was "inappropriate," and he so advised Mr. Dean, asking him to At about 2:40 that same af-

Later, Mr. Erickson said, Mr. have a common understanding meeting with Mr. Erickson. And it is here that the Staggers sub-At 3 P.M., the commissioners committee, it is believed, will request had been made and had.

man of the Judiciary subcom-his judgment in talks with of-mittee on administrative pro-ficials in the criminal division. adde, during this talk, "I learned stated that the transfer was for the first time that there made pursuan to a request by About 6:20 P. M. Mr. Erick- might be a bisis for an obstruc- the Justice Department.