

MAR 30 1972
**Senate Unit to Vote
Next Week on End
To I.T.T. Hearings**
NYTimes

By FRED P. GRAHAM
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 29—

With Republican Senators complaining of dilatory tactics and Democrats warning of a whitewash, the Senate Judiciary Committee today scheduled a vote for Thursday of next week on Republican demands for an end to the hearings concerning the International Telephone and Telegraph Corporation.

Although the ranking Republican member, Roman L. Hruska of Nebraska, demanded an immediate end to what he called the "repetitious" questioning, Senators from both parties indicated that the politically volatile investigation would probably be given a few more days to run—and possible as much as two more weeks—beyond the day of the vote.

Democratic Senators concentrated today on raising unanswered questions about the allegation that the Justice Department granted International Telephone and Telegraph a favorable settlement of three antitrust suits in exchange for a large contribution by the company to the expenses of the 1972 Republican convention.

In response to questioning, Harold S. Geneen, the corporation's president, swore that he never discussed antitrust policy with Peter Flanigan, the White House aide, or Representative Bob Wilson, Republican of Cali-

Continued on Page 22, Column 6

1972

Vote Is Set on Ending I.T.T. Hearings

Continued From Page 1, Col. 5

fornia, who represents the San Diego area and who played a central role in arranging to have the Republican convention come there this summer.

This contradicted a press release issue on March 13 from the corporation's New York headquarters. The release listed the two officials, plus Charles W. Colson, another White House assistant, among about a score of Washington officials whom Mr. Geneen had approached about the "policy of the Antitrust Division" of the Justice Department.

Today Mr. Geneen said that his only meeting with Mr. Flanigan was a group luncheon at which about 20 businessmen discussed general problems with White House officials. He said his meetings with Representative Wilson were a fishing trip and a discussion of modular housing, and he gave the committee a statement saying that he discussed only overseas investment with Mr. Colson.

Mr. Geneen explained that the March 13 press statement to the contrary had been mistaken.

He also said he has made no effort to check out the testimony given Sunday by I.T.T.'s ailing Washington lobbyist, Mrs. Dita D. Beard, quoting an official of the corporation as saying that the White House had phoned to inquire about a report of a \$600,000 contribution from the corporation to the Nixon campaign.

Senator John V. Tunney, Democrat of California, expressed amazement that International Telephone's top execu-

tives had not looked into this because he said, the political contribution by a corporation would be a crime under Federal Law. Mr. Geneen replied that he and his counsel had not read about the \$600,000 in the press, and that W. R. Merriam, the official who reportedly received the call, was out of town.

In any event, the 62-year-old executive said, the report is "ridiculous" because International Telephone's only commitment is a maximum of \$200,000 by the Sheraton Corporation of America, an I.T.T. subsidiary, to help finance the Republican National Convention.

Mr. Geneen has stressed in three days of testimony his view that the outlay was a reasonable promotional expense for the opening of the new Island Hotel in San Diego, and that it was conditioned upon having the Presidential headquarters there for publicity purposes.

Today he said that if Mr. Nixon's headquarters were not located there, he will consider the agreement "breached," and will revoke or reduce his commitment. The White House press office today reaffirmed an earlier statement by the White House press secretary, Ronald L. Ziegler, that no decision has yet been made as to where the President's headquarters will be.

International Telephone and Telegraph released today the reports of two teams of experts who have tested the memorandum, purportedly written by Mrs. Beard, that touched off the current controversy by linking the antitrust settlements to the

I.T.T. pledge. The memorandum was published by the columnist Jack Anderson.

One of the reports released today was by the laboratory of Dr. Walter C. McCrone of Chicago. It concluded that the memorandum was "probably typed sometime in 1972" and not on June 25, 1971, the date on the document. This conclusion was based upon a "microprobe" technique of bombarding the paper and ink with light and analyzing their chemical make-up by examining the radiation emitted.

Dr. McCrone said the chemical make-up of the paper and ink of the purported Beard memorandum was unlike other documents typed on the same typewriter and dated last summer and fall, and that it was most similar to the paper and ink used in an I.T.T. memorandum dated Jan. 25, 1972.

A second report, by a husband-and-wife team from New York, Earl and Pearl Tytell, said that the memorandum could not have been typed on June 25, 1971, because fluorescent light showed that the letterheads of other I.T.T. documents of that period were from a different press "run." They also said that the typist's touch was different and that the writing was lighter and thinner than other documents typed at about that time.

Dr. McCrone's report said his findings were inconsistent with these. International Telephone disclosed today that the Tytells were being paid \$1,000 a day. Dr. McCrone's fee was not disclosed.