

NYTimes JAN 2 2 1973  
**Air Force Doctors  
 Said to Take Part  
 In Indochina Raids**

By SEYMOUR M. HERSH

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 21—Two military physicians have charged that some of their medical colleagues have actively participated in dozens of bombing raids over Southeast Asia, in violation of international law, military regulations and the Hippocratic oath.

The two military physicians, both of whom still are on active duty in the Air Force, specifically said that some Air Force flight surgeons had operated weapons systems aboard F-4 phantom jets on bombing and strafing missions against enemy targets in South Vietnam and Laos. The surgeons were carried as "backseaters" aboard the two-man fighter-bombers, the doctors said.

The allegations were made within the last 12 days by one doctor in a personal visit and by the other in a letter to the office of Senator Harold E. Hughes, Democrat of Iowa, who is a member of the Armed Services Committee. Both officers provided their names to the

Continued on Page 4, Column 4

Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

Senator but requested that they not be made public.

It was unclear how widespread the unauthorized practice was said to be, although Senator Hughes said that he had been provided with the names of "more than a half-dozen" other Air Force physicians who would further document the allegations.

Mr. Hughes released the text of the letter to The New York Times over the weekend and also discussed the doctor's visit in an interview.

Col. C. E. Raiser, a special assistant to Lieut. Gen. Robert A. Patterson, the Air Force Surgeon General, said he was extremely "dubious" about the allegations. "I don't think any combat pilot would put a novice in the rear seat," he said. "It doesn't make sense."

He added, however, that "under no circumstances would a doctor have any right to put himself in the position" of participating in a bombing raid, although many flight surgeons do accompany pilots on non-combat missions in combat zones.

Colonel Raiser said that his office had asked officials in the Seventh Air Force headquarters in Saigon to investigate the charges.

In the letter released by Senator Hughes, the Air Force doctor said: "The physicians I am aware of flew at least 30-60 missions each from Thailand in the period 1970-72. A significant number of these missions involved flight surgeons flying combat sorties as backseaters."

A Pentagon spokesman said that the back-up man in the F-4 jet usually serves as navigator and also controls the elaborate computer and radar mechanism that triggers the aircraft's bombs and rockets, although both responsibilities can be assumed by the pilot if necessary.

"I cannot testify how widespread this practice is," the letter said, "but its existence seems to be common knowledge among United States Air Force physicians and pilots."

"In at least one instance related to me by the individual involved," the doctor wrote, "the flight surgeon was urged prior to his combat flight not to carry his identification and Geneva convention cards, both of which are stamped with a red cross and the word 'medic' and which would presumably identify him as a physician if he were to be captured."

"Such flights certainly run counter to the precepts of medical ethics as embodied in the Hippocratic oath," he wrote. That oath, taken by graduates of most medical schools, calls

on all each physician to "exercise your art solely for the cure of your patients."

The Senator said in the interview that he had sent a letter to Acting Secretary of Defense, Melvin R. Laird, asking for a full investigation into the doctors' allegations.

Physicians are specifically exempted from combat duty under the 1949 Geneva Convention, which provides that medical personnel "shall be respected in all circumstances" as long as they are "exclusively engaged in the search for, or the collection, transport or treatment of the wounded or sick."

Military training manuals said by Senator Hughes's office to be used by the Air Force also state that medical personnel cannot use arms against enemy forces except for personal defense or protection of wounded patients.

In a letter released by Senator Hughes, the young doctor noted that "any comments on my part regarding motivations or intentions of those involved would be speculative and would only divert attention from those at fault, that is, the people who permitted such flights, those who allowed doctors to 'fight and fly' for the Air Force."

#### Pressures Reported

Senator Hughes said, however, that the Air Force doctor who visited his office to report combat flying by doctors had supplied the possible motives: the personal desire of many of the flight surgeons to participate in the destruction of suspected enemy targets in Southeast Asia, and the demand—allegedly made by some wing commanders in Southeast Asia—that flight surgeons should fly in combat to "get to know" their men better.

Those surgeons who choose not to fly, Senator Hughes said his staff was told, are occasionally subjected to pressures from superior officers and colleagues.

Additional pay for hazardous flight duty, which increases the monthly pay of most fliers in Southeast Asia by \$100 or more, could not be a factor in the decision to fly in combat, Pentagon sources said, because doctors in combat areas now receive every possible type of supplementary pay.

Both doctors made clear, Senator Hughes said, that they were specifically objecting to the flight surgeons' practice of participating in the combat missions and not to the Air Force request that flight surgeons fly to determine physiological and psychological stresses related to combat operations.