Was the same TISO FILED POWS IX OCT 72 /iet settlement open to Nixon in 1969?

one, would "rejoice" if the war could be ended, "no matter the political impact." George McGovern to say that he, ment of the war. This has prompted near, to be followed by a political settlemors that a cease-fire in Vietnam is come home from Saigon amid thick ru-NEW YORK — Henry Kissinger has

obscure or non-existent purposes. such corrosive domestic impact, for such ending a war of such bloody excess, of of a settlement" would be justified in Nixon needs for a real landslide on Nov. probably would provide whatever Mr. that almost any kind of a settlement Many, in fact, will feel that "any kind So would every American, so much so

should have a "chance" to survive. es of guaranteeing that the South Vietthe anti-Communist regime in Saigon government "imposed" on them and that namese should not have a Communist almost four years for the stated purpossettlement," just to get the war over. Instead, it has carried on the war for er believed in making "any kind of a But the Nixon Administration has nev-

mense, tragic, perhaps finally unbearable. It includes the following, at the The cost of this policy has been im-

Costs of war

been upon South Vietnam, where B-52s with their area-saturation bombing patterns are routinely used for close ground by this wholly unprecedented assault has Some of the worst devastation wrought tion, more than were dropped in World War II and the Korean War combined. From 1969 through mid-1972, American planes dropped 3,632,722 tons of bombs in the years of the Johnson Administraon Indochina, more than were dropped

> support of South Vietnamese and American troops.

could calculate the human cost of this terrible pounding—and of the offshore While the computer does not exist that

Tom Wicker

army deaths. are the 20,000 yearly South Vietnamese ed at a minimum of 525,000 from 1969 through May, 1972. Dead Asians in Laos, and unknown-civilian casualties in naval shelling and the napalm and the included in this glorious body count, nor Cambodia and North Vietnam are not South Vietnam alone have been estimatground sweeps and the blind artillery ire and the My Lai massacres, known

Cambodia alone since 1970 and perhaps persons have been made homeless in As for refugees, more than two million

> a million in South Vietnam this year. of the price that had to be paid for course, but that invasion itself is a part much to do with the latter figure, of carrying on the war throughout the Nix-The North Vietnamese invasion had

Heavy burden on Nixon

only a little over 20,000 of her young men dead, plus 110,000 wounded, plus a military budget up from \$80 billion to a paltry \$86 billion, and possibly some small, vital part of her soul. By contrast to these war costs levied on the Indochinese people, not least by the cost to America has been small-Nixon's policy of carrying on the war,

means in moral or human terms. any end whatever could justify means, even in his and Kissinger's balance-of-power perspective-let alone that

inattention to domestic crisis—is finally to be ended, every American should "reflict and the social alienation and the If all that—as well as the political con-

on Administration. before or after the election, a heavy bur-den will lie on Nixon to show that the end has been in some way worth the what ought not to have been done; and even if cease-fires do not right wrongs joice" with McGovern, although there is no salvation in the mere cessation of but only suspend their commission.

But if all that really is to be ended,

What is different now?

General Thieu, rather than his exclusion, different about the tri-partite government now under discussion and the one demanded for years by Hanoi and the Viet Cong? If it is only the inclusion of What, in the settlement that may be coming, is different from what could brutal war? what makes that worth four years office with a promise to "end the war and win the peace"? What will be so have been had in 1969, when Nixon took

meet Nixon's own repeated conditions now include concessions that at least goal? Or does any settlement available over that Kissinger once cited as his regime a "chance" in some way not Communist government from being "imthe "interval" before a Communist takeposed," or guarantee the anti-Communist or peace, whatever may be thought of possible before? Does it merely provide Does this settlement really prevent a

such cost is an improvement on what he could have had in the beginning.

©. 1972. New York Times Service others to show how a peace purchased at ever be worth the war that has been waged; surely Nixon owes it to all the To some Americans, no settlement can

