To the Editor:

It's President Nixon's practice, as it was President Johnson's, to attach to his "peace" offerings conditions acceptable only to a defeated foe.

Mr. Nixon's current condition is especially cynical since it involves use of the prisoners of war as pawns. War primoners, as Mr. Nixon well knows, are customarily released until a war ever. The North Vietnamese can coely be expected to be more genergus to men who have laid waste their land and slaughtered thousands of their people in the name of our "Mational interest."

The certain way to obtain prisoner release is to stop waging war. Through his innumerable private channels to Hanoi, Mr. Nixon can readily obtain the commitments for release he says demands, in return for his agreement to get out of Vietnam.

He does not do this because for him he prisoners provide the rationaliza-he needs for keeping troops there refinitely to prevent the toppling of imposed Thieu regime. Thus, while acking Hanoi for its "barbaric" resal to free war prisoners, he is himelf prolonging the conditions for their continued incarceration.

In the light of historic fact, his refusal to withdraw all troops on the further ground that he wants to bring permanent peace in Asia is equally in-

sulting to the intelligence.

He pretends to have inherited the war situation de novo. In fact, the political goals of this war were preisely defined by the Eisenhower-Nixon dministration in 1954, when it inconced and the South barred from exeraising self-determination because of the otherwise certain election of a Communist-led government. When the Geneva Conference rejected the U.S. demand de nimitated 1956 elections · . . .



Defail from "Warrior With Shield" by Henry Moo

for a single all-Vietnam regime, Washington deliberately and unilaterally proceeded to enforce partition and imposition of a regime on the South violating its pledge at Geneva and ignoring its U.N. Charter commit-

After seventeen years of continuous, bloody efforts to compel Vietnamese acceptance of partition and imposed regimes in Saigon, Mr. Nixon still speaks of maintaining U.S. air and naval power and support troops to give Saigon time to prepare to defend itself. Behind the rhetoric about winding down the war, he is still plainly committed to the political goals of

Since the bulk of Vietnam's villages South and North-were solidly identified with Ho Chi Minh's Government. these goals could be realized only by a war of ruthless destruction and extermination. Nixon's plan, plainly, volves continuation, from the air that same ruthless process.

His objective of "permanent pea in Asia can mean only that of t extermination of a resistant popula-MAX GORDON New York, May 5, 4971