## Nixon's Quick Turnabout -- Capital's Mood Changes

By Max Frankel New York Times

## Washington

The confidence of just a week ago-euphoria, some called it—is suddenly gone from this capital and in its place there is fear and the anxious activity inspired by

There is fear of violence this weekend as tens of thousands of anti-war demonstrators prepare to parade just as close to the White House as nervous officialdom will allow.

There is fear that the psychological value of that determined show of strength on the Cambodian battlefield is being dispelled by the turmoil on the home front.

There is fear of further dissension inside the Government - what if Vice President Spiro Agnew fails to take the hints to "taper" down" the assaults on young people? And there is fear of the political consequences for the Nixon Administration, the Republican party and the Nation as a whole.

To turn the tide yet again is obviously the purpose of President Nixon's hurriedly nority became apparent. The called news conference tonight, and of the frantic consultations now with students and college presidents and governors and Cabinet members.

With reporters poised to break through the prepared answer for a real glimpse of tension, even while some | took to be a policy shift. young people may be prepar-

## News Analysis

elaborate barriers that are to be erected around the White House, the President faces his most difficult public test to date.

It is almost impossible to exaggerate the startling sense of turnabout here. Until Wednesday morning, it was still this administration's clear intention to ride out the protest with appeals to patriotism, the President's duty as Commander in Chief and the long-range benefit of more weeks and in scope to his decision to move troops into Cambodia.

They knew there would be dissent, officials said, but the majority would go along, especially when it became clear that Mr. Nixon had chosen a quite moderate form of escalation, designed to hasten the troop withdrawals and to protect American interests in the Middle East and elsewhere through a new exertion of prowess and will.

But suddenly the depth of passion in what is still presumed to be a dissenting mikilling of four students at Kent State university had an effect. So did the impact of the untimely presidential reference to some student radical as "bums." So did the awareness that even conservatives in Congress were alarmed by the failure to the man at this moment of consult them on what they

The news from the front ing to break through the only added to the dismay, for officials began to believe that Mr. Nixon's impassioned rhetoric had built up expectations of a historic military operation instead of a grueling slog in the Cambodian

Some of the highest officials tf this Government were asking themselves how they could have embarked on an apparently useful military exercise without due regard for the consequences at home. In fact they are wondering whether an operation that has become the cause of such dissension and is now firmly limited in time to six about 20 miles inside Cambodia, could possibly still demoralize the enemy in the manner intended.

Throughout the past year, it has been the primary objective of Mr. Nixon and his top advisers to chase the war off the front pages of the world, to demonstrate to the enemy that they could engineer public support for a still muscular performance on the battlefield and to dispel the enemy's feeling that Hanoi's fate and fortune is the central concern of all mankind.

This was the strongest card left in the American deck, foreign policy planners used to say. And even those who winced at some of the rhetoric of the President and Vice President nonetheless marveled at their skill and diplomtically useful success in carrying the public along over the past six months.

Even in siders have had trouble squaring these con-

siderations with the President's decision to move into Cambodia and to resume some bombing of North Vietnam last week. They are reminiscing now about the hard-won gains in the opinion polls, about the "unleashing" of Agnew against dissenters and the television networks and newspapers who spread the dissent and about the sense of political invincibility that came over the President's closest advisers, especially the more conservative among them, throughout the winter.

Oddly enough, some of the the conservatives themselves were warning each other that the public's support, though surprisingly broad, was probably wafer thin and they worried about a bad turn in the economy or the war. What they did not expect, but have now come to suspect, is that overconfidence itself could contribute to their taking a policy turn too sharply and too fast.