Thieu Aide Discloses Promises Of Force by Nixon to Back Pact

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN

Special to The New York Times

former Saigon Cabinet official when the matter of "secret made public today letters from assurances" to Saigon first be-President Richard M. Nixon that came an issue. promised the Saigon Governthe Paris cease-fire accords.

This was the first disclosure matic confidentiality. of any of the correspondence

Texts of letters released by former minister, Page 16.

between Mr. Nixon and former ments after Senator Henry M, President Nguyen Van Thieu of Jackson charged that "secrat South Vietnam

The contents of the letters the Nixon Administration. made public by Nguyen Tien The White House, which said Hung, former Minister of Planthe documents appeared auning, seemed more specific thentic, asserted as it has all about the possible use of Amer-this month that no secret ican retaliatory military force than the White House indicated Continued on Page 16, Column 1

WASHINGTON, April 30-Alinitially earlier this month

Coincidental with Mr. Hung's ment in 1972 and 1973 that the disclosures, at a crowded news United States would "take swift conference in the Mayflower and severe retaliatory action" Hotel, President Ford formally and would "respond with full refused to give Congress copies force" if North Vietnam violated of the Nixon-Thieu correspondence on the ground of diplo-

> Mr. Ford was asked by Senator John J. Sparkman, chairman of the Foreign Relations Committee, to supply the docuagreements" had been made by

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

agreements had been made and that any assurances by Mr. Nixon did not differ in sub-stance from what Mr. Nixon and others were saying publicly at the time.

"I've read them and I'm convinced that what we said at 'the time holds today," Ron Nessen, the White House press secretary, said, "at the time" meaning earlier this month. "Nothing that was said to Thieu privately differs in substance from what was said publicly."

Mr. Nessen seemed annoyed by the newsmen's fascination with the documents and their spectulation whether confidential assurances were made that were not known to the public. He asked, "Why are to toying with semantics at this late date?"

But the disclosures indicated that Mr. Nixon, in an effort to enlist Mr. Thieu's support for the Paris cease-fire accords being negotiated in the last three months of 1972 and in January, 1973, brought strong pressure to bear on Saigon and made far-reaching promises not dis-closed to Congress or the American public at the time.

Mr. Hung, who is 40 years old and has a University of Virginia doctorate in economics, released the texts of letters from Mr. Nixon to Mr. Thieu, on White House stationery, dated Nov. 14, 1972, and Jan. 5, 1973. He also quoted from letters but did not provide their full texts. Those letters were dated Jan. 17 and Jan. 20, 1973.

.He told the newsmen that he had had the letters in his pos-session for "some time," and had them when he came to this country two weeks ago on an aid mission. Mr. Hung said he was making the letters public without Mr. Thieu's knowledge "at the dictates of my con-

science."
"It is my deep conviction that my discussion with you today is not only in the interest of the people of Vietnam, but in the long run, it is very much in the interest of the people of America," he said in a state-ment he had typed out beforehand, "for thre cannot be the future without the past and present."

"The credibility of America in the future, which on occasions will be the decisive factor in matters of war and peace, will have to be taken seriously if American foreign policy is to be effective," he said.

The Nixon letters were written against a background of strong doubts and skepticisms expressed by Mr. Thieu to Henry A. Kisinger, at the time serving as Mr. Nixon's national security adviser, about the terms of the cease-fire accords then being negotiated in Paris by Mr. Kissinger with Le Duc Tho, Hanoi's representative.

What Worried Thieu

Mr. Thieu was particularly worried about the continued presence of North Vietnamese troops in South Vietnam and the lack of guarantees that the accord would be enforced. The Paris talks were to resume on Nov. 20, and Mr. Nixon, on Nov. 14, 1972, wrote to Mr. Thieu, urging him not to worry about particular points in the

agreement.
"But far more important than what we say in the agreement of this issue "—the presence of the North Vietnamese troops—" is what we do in the event the enemy renews its aggression," Mr. Nixon wrote, "You have my absolute assurance that if Hanoi fails to abide by the terms of this agreement it is my intention to take swift and severe retaliatory action." "Above all," Mr. Nixon wrote,

"we must bear in mind what will really maintain the agreement."

"I repeat my personal assur-ances to you," he went on, "that the United States will react very strongly and rapidly to any violation of the agreement."

Mr. Nixon warned, however, that to be able to do this effectively, "it is essential that I have public support and that your government does not emerge as the obstacle to a peace which American public opinion now universally desires."

The Jan. 5, 1973, letter was written shortly after the end of the heavy American Christmas bombing of Hanoi, which followed a breakdown in December in the Kissinger-Tho talks. The negotiations resumed Jan. 8.

Mr. Nixon's tone was tougher toward Mr. Thieu, but included again a promise of retaliation.

Mr. Nixon again rejected Mr. Thieu's concern about North Vietnamese troops on his terri- i tory and warned of "the gravest consequences" if Mr. 1 Thieu's government "chose to reject the agreement and split off from the United States."

Should you decide, as I trust c you will, to go with us, you a have my assurance of continued assistance in the postwar settlement period and that we will respond with full force should the settlement be violated by l North Vietnam," Mr. Nixon wrote.

Full force," Mr. Hung said,

combing.

ne promised to send Vice Presi-time, January 21, 1973." and little to worry about from in 1973. North Vietnamese forces.

was interpreted by high Saigon ations were virtually over, Mr. Hanoi came in his news conofficials as meaning actions similar to the heavy bombing of North Vietnam and the minning of Haiphong harbor in May, 1972, and the Christmas must know now whether you are prepared to join us on this large of the property of the accords, Mr. are prepared to join us on this Nixon said: On Jan. 17, Mr. Hung said, course, and I must have your "We have informed the North VIr. Nixon sent a letter in which answer by 1200 Washington Vietnamese of our concern

On Jan. 20, when the negoti-threat to use force against I will say about it."

about this infiltration and of lent Spiro T. Agnew to Saigon the pressures, together with the signing, to reaffirm, the assurances," said Mr. Hung ation of the cease-fire. I would sublicly, American guarantees, today, "successfully forced only suggest that based on my Mr. Agnew went, but his trip President Thieu to agree to sign actions over the past four vas little publicized. In that the agreement on Jan. 27, years, that the North Vietnametter, Mr. Nixon also repeated 1973." Mr. Hung was a perese should not lightly disregard is assurances that Mr. Thieu sonal assistant to Mr. Thieu such expression of concern, when they are made, with re-Mr. Nixon's first public gard to a violation. That is all