NIXON TOLD THIEU U.S. WOULD REACT TO RED OFFENSIVE

White House Says Private
Pledge Was Also Reflected
in Public Statements

APR 1 0 1975

RESPONSE TO JACKSON

Statement Asserts Promise
Was Made Before '73 Ban
on Military Operations
NYTimes

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, April 9— The White House said today that President Richard M. Nixon privately assured the Saigon Government in 1973 that the United States would react vigorously to a major Communist violation of the Vietnam cease-fire agreement.

A statement, issued in response to charges of "secret agreements" leveled by Senator Henry M. Jackson, said the assurances, which also included pledges of aid, did not differ in substance from what Mr. Nixon and others were saying publicly at the time.

It was the first time the American public was told that, as part of an effort to enlist Saigon's support for the cease-fire accord, Mr. Nixon had privately assured President Nguyen Van Thieu that the United States would not passively watch another Communist offensive.

Assurances Became Moot

The White House said the private assurance as well as public warnings issued by Mr. Nixon were no longer valid because of the Congressional ban on American combat activity in Iindochina imposed in August, 1973.

The Saigon Government was informed of the Congressional action, which in effect made any assurances moot.

Ronn Nessen, the White House press secretary, said there had been letters between Mr. Nixon and Mr. Thieu as part of an exchange surrounding the signing of the cease-fire in Paris on Jan. 27, 1973.

A senior aide to Secretary of State Kissinger said that Mr. Nixon, in one letter before the signing, promised that the United States would react to a major Communist attack similar to the 1972 spring offensive. The official said Mr. Nixon was not more specific about the nature of such reaction.

The question of assurances has been under discussion here because of efforts to induce Congress to live up to unspecified comitments made to Saigon.

When questioned about the commitments, President Ford

Continued on Page 18, Column 1

Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

and Mr. Kissinger have referred to moral obligations. In a news conference on March 26, Mr. Kissinger acknowledged that the Nnxon Administration had told Saigon that if it cooperated with the ceasefire, Congress would probably appropriate needed aid funds. But no mention was made of assurances about reaction to a Communist offensive.

The question of a possible assurances in case of a Communist offensive was raised when the Ambassador Tran Kim Phuong of South Vietnam said on television last week that the United States had promised that "it would not stand idly by" in case of a new confilct, but was doing just that.

When the State Department was asked whether the United States had promised to intervene militarily, the State Department said "there was no such specific commitment." There is no record of Mr. Kissinger's being asked about such a pledge

a pledge.
The entire development seemed to have more political than diplomatic ramifications. The White House seemed determined to demonstrate that Mr. Jackson's charges of "secret agreements," with the implication of deception, were unfounded.

Mr. Ford was reported to have told Congressional leaders today that there were no secret agreements.

agreements.
Representative John B. Anderson, Republican of Illinois, said after the meeting:
"We were assured that there

"We were assured that there are no private, off-the-record assurances on the part of this Government to the Government of South Vietnam."

of South Vietnam."

Mr. Anderson said that Mr.
Ford had told the group there were "no hidden chapters yet to be revealed."

Accusation by Jackson

Yesterday, on the Senate floor, Mr. Jackson, Democrat of Washington and a leading contender for the 1976 Democratic Presidentia, nomination, said:

"I have ben reliably informed that there exist between the governments of the United States and South Vietnam secret agreements which envision fateful American decisions yet whose very existence has never been acknowledged."

Mr. Jackson said he did not actually know the details of the agreements but had been told of their existence in recent days by a highly reliable informant. He demanded that the White House make them public and that Secretary of State Kissinger, if necessary, be summoned under oath to testify. He repeated that demand today.

After consultations with Mr. Kissinger, Mr. Nessen issued the following statement:
"Assurances to the Republic of Vietnam as to both United

of Vietnam as to both United States assistance and United States enforcement of the Paris agreement were stated clearly and publicly by President Nixon.

"The publicly stated policy and intention of the United States Government to continue to provide adequate economic and military assistance and to react vigorously to major violations of the Paris agreement re-

flected confidential exchanges between the Nixon Administration and President Thieu at the time

"In substance, the private exchanges do not differ from what was stated publicly. The law of 1973, of course, ruled out the possibility of American military reaction to violations of the agreement."

Documents Not Released

In answer to questions, Mr. Nessen said he would not make the private assurances public because such documents are not usually released.

Mr. Jackson said in his Senate speech that Mr. Ford had only just learned of the "secret agreements," but Mr. Nessen said the assurances were made known to President Ford "a day or so after taking office."

Mr. Nessen was pressed for the axact wording of the secret documents; particularly as they might relate to American military involvement, but he insisted that there was no difference between what was said in public and in private in early 1973.

Although the White House statement fell short of confirming that there were actual secret agreements, Mr. Jackson clearly felt his original charge

had been vindicated.

At a news conference today, he said the White House should make public the communications to President Thieu.

Mr. Jackson said that if they were just a reiteration of what Mr. Nixon had said publicly, wouldn't have waited until today to engage in what I call a confession."

He repeated his call for a Congressional investigation, contending that the White House statement cast doubt on its whole Indochina policy.

Mike Mansfield, the Senate Majority Leader, said that there should be an investigation by the Foreign Relations and Armed Services Committees.

A review of public statements made by President Nixon in 1973 supports the White House contention that the United States had pledged continued aid to Saigon and had left open the possibility of military involvement. There was no record of any private assurances to President Thieu.

Mr. Kissinger, then President Nixon's adviser on national security affairs, began cease-fire negotiations with Le Duc Tho of North Vietnam in Paris in October, 1972. With an accord in sight, Mr. Kissinger flew to Saigon to persuade President Thieu to accept the accord.

From all accounts, Mr. Kissinger assured Mr. Thieu that the United States would keep air and naval forces in the area o be pressed into service in case of a new conflict.

Mr. Thieu refused to go along with the accord and this delayed an agreement until January, 1973, after the United States had bombed Hanoi over Christmas.

In that period, Gen. Alexander M. Haig Jr., then Mr. Kissinger's deputy, went to Saigon with further assurances. This was the time when President Nixon's letter was delivered.

Accord barred U.S. Role

The Paris accord barred all combat activity by the United States, but allowed military aid on a one-for-one basis to replace equipment. There was no ban on economic aid.

In a news conference after the initialing of the accord, Mr. Kissinger said on Jan. 24, 1973, that aid would be sought for Saigon, but he refused to answer a "hypothetical question" on what the United States would do in case of a new offense. **

The first threat by the United States to use force against North Vietnam occurred in Mr. Nixon's news conference on March 15, 1973. Alarmed by reported infiltration into the South, Mr. Nixon said:

"We have informed the North Vietnamese of our concern about this infiltration. I would suggest that the North Vietnamese should not lightly disregard such expressions of concern.