# U.S.PAYSTHAILAND 50-MILLION A YEAR FOR VIETNAM AID

JUN 8 1970

Terms of Secret Agreement of 1967 on Troop Subsidy Disclosed in Senate

HAWK MISSILES GIVEN

Bangkok Also Got 30-Million More in Military Funds for Two-Year Period

By JOHN W. FINNEY

Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, June 7—Senate testimony disclosed today
that under the secret agreement
entered into in 1967, the United States has been paying Thailand \$50-million a year for
sending a combat division to
South Vietnam.

To encourage Thailand to assign the 11,000-man unit, the United States also agreed to increase its military assistance by \$30-million for two years and to supply Thailand with a battery of Hawk antiaircraft missiles.

The broad outlines of the arrangement were made public in testimony of State and Defense Department officials published by the subcommittee on United States security agreements and commitments abroad of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. The testimony, taken last November and made public after State Department censorship, traces the deepening American military involvement in Thailand in the last 20 years and, in turn, the increasing commitments and assistance demanded by Thailand.

## Earlier Reports Unconfirmed

While there have been recurring reports of American assistance to the Thai force in Vietnam, they have never been

confirmed, until the publication of the Senate testimony, by the State Department. Furthermore, the newspaper reports have been denied by the Thai Government.

In a statement issued last Dec. 16 following one of the reports, the Thai Foreign Ministry asserted there "has been no payment from the United States to induce Thailand to send its armed forces to help South Vietnam defend itself against Communist aggression."

The effect of the testimony is also to challenge a recent statement by Premier Thanom Kittikachorn of Thailand as well as to raise questions about what American assistance will be provided to the "volunteers" from Thailand now being sent to assist the new military Gov-

Continued on Page 3, Column 1

## Continued From Page 1, Col. 8

ernment in Cambodia.

In announcing last week that Thailand was preparing to send volunteers of Cambodian ethnic oorigin to assist Cambodian troops against the Communist forces, Mr. Thanom was quoted by the Bangkok radio as having said: "Unlike the volunteers for Vietnam whose expenses are paid by Thailand, the volunteers for Cambodia will be armed and equipped from aid supplied by the United States."

Senator Stuart Symington, Democrat of Missouri, the sub-committee chairman, cited to-day that Thanom statement as well as the conflicting testimony of State Department officials in demanding to know what arrangements the United States had entered into with Thailand for sending troops to Cambodia.

In a letter to Secretary of State William P. Rogers, Senator Symington asked the State Department to provide the Senate subcommittee with detailed information on the American aid that would be given to the Thais for agreeing to send troops to Cambodia:

After the Thanom announcement, the State Department indicated that the United States would provide arms and equipment for Thai forces going to Cambodia. Left unclear by the Nixon Administration thus far is whether the aid will go beyond weapons to include financial assistance similar to ihat given Thai troops in Vietnam.

## **Administration Opposes Curb**

The only indication of the Administration's intention has come in its opposition to certain provisions in the Cooper-Church amendment on Cambodia now before the Senate. While permitting the supply of arms, the amendment would prohibit the United States from providing any financial aid, such as extra pay, to foreign troops fighting for the Cambodian Government.

President Nixon has objected to this prohibition on the grounds that it would interfere with implementation of his to defend themselves against nancial help. Communist insurgencies or ag-

Broadcasting System on the program "Face the Nation" today, Secretary of State Rogout adding to their financial countries in the Southeast Asian could be send this force to Vietnam with-to-untries in the Southeast Asian countries i said the United States burden." could be expected to pay "a substantial part" of any cooperative effort of Asian nations to come to the defense
of Cambodia

Later in the testimony,
which the signatories agreed to
meet the "common danger" of
armed attack in accordance
with their constitutional could be expected to pay "a of Cambodia.

assistance included not only Government of the United rating, Thailand was given new equipment but also training, States." logistic support and extra pay and allowances, according to testimony before the subcom-issued in March, 1962, by then State Department testimony mittee emerges a pattern of mittee emerges a pattern of mittee emerges a pattern of secretary of State Dean Rusk may agreed to pay overseas allowman military presence in Thair Thair Foreign Minister, man military presence in Thair Thair Khoman, said that the land and commitments to the United States did not interpret tering-out and death benefits as Thai Government. The primary its treaty obligation to Thailand well as "representation," or enjustification offered by the two as depending upon approval of tertainment, funds for the Thai Ambassadors for the American all SEATO members since "this troops in Vietnam.

#### Thais' Pay Doubled

The effect of the Americanpaid overseas allowances was paid overseas allowances was to more than double the pay of the Thai troops in Victory ment, in turn, became more of the Thai troops in Vietnam. A Thai private who received a from the Communist side and base pay of \$26 a month, for example, received \$39 in overseas allowances, and a major United States. with a base pay of \$98 was given \$180 in overseas allowances.

pressed some uncertainty over from 1950 when a military aid the exact cost of the American program was agreed upon. The support to Thai forces in Viet- United States military presnam. In a statement given the subcommittee, the Department said:

"United States support to Thai forces in South Vietnam, when averaged over the years during which Thai forces have been deployed to South Vietnam, is estimated at approximately \$50-million a year. This would total \$200-million for the period since their arrival in 1966.

During the closed-door testimony, two American Ambassadors to Bankkok portrayed the dispatch of the Thai division as a "Thai decision" reached in response to a request from the South Vietnamese Government. But in different ways both in-dicated that the United States had taken the initiative, starting in 1966, and that Thailand would not have agreed without

Guam doctrine of helping Asians the assurance of American fi-ence in Thailand increased from

ression.

Appearing on the Columbia
Thailand, said, "We have tried roadcasting System on the control of the con

troops to Vietnam because they processes. In the case of the Thai troops were requested to by the Govsent to Vietnam, the American ernment of Vietnam and by the neighboring Laos was deterio-

military presence was to help treaty obligation is individual Thailand protect her independas well as collective." ence against Communist China. But as the American military concerned about the threat demanded greater assurances and commitments from the

## Involvement Began in 1950

The American military in-The State Department ex-volvement in Thailand dates

nancial help.

In explaining the 1967 agree48,000, largely from the Air

Collective Defense Treaty, in

In 1962, as the situation in tates."
Out of the 300 pages of under SEATO. A communiqué