Threat of Force Serves as U.S. Weapon

vision added to some European jumpiness by filming a marine landing exercise on Sardinia and suggesting that it was connected with Mr. Kissinger's argue that it made no sense to show goodwill to the United States since Washington would be motivated by its own "imperialist" interests in the long run. statement.

In the Business Week inter-

hear from businessmen is that in the long run the only answer to the oil cartel is some sort of military action. Have you the more likely the radicals will action on the oil cartel of military action on the control of military action on the control of the contr

"A very dangerous course. East. We should have learned from Mr. Vietnam that it is easier to get into a war than to get out of it. I am not saying that there's circumstances where would not use force. But it is one thing to use it in the case of a dispute over price, it's another where there is some actual strangulation of the industrialized world.

Mr. Kissinger said later, "I was astonished when this was seized upon."

"No nation can announce that it will let itself be strangled without reacting," he said on public television, "and stranged without reacting," he said on public television, "and I find it very difficult to see what it is that people are objecting to."

"We are saying the United States will not permit itself or its allies to be strangled," he said "Somehody else would

he said. "Somebody else would have to make the first move to attempt the strangulation. It isn't being attempted now."

Further Qualification

He said, in what he plainly hoped would be his last word on the subject:
"There would have to be an

By BERNARD GWERTZMAN

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Jan. 19—
Secretary of State Kissinger and Defense Secretary James SR. Schlesinger have attracted considerable attention in recent weeks by their refusal to rule out the possibility that News under certain time Analysis circumstances the Ford Administration might use military force in the Middle East or Vietnam.

Of the two officials, Mr. Kissinger, with his comment to a Business Week interviewer about the hypothetical use of force in case the Western world was undergoing "actual strangulation," touched off the larger controversy.

In the Arab world, in particular, the radical press distorted Mr. Kissinger's remarks to make it appear as if United States Marines were about to land. And French television added to some European immumbiness by fillming a marine immumbiness and the contro

argue that it made no sense to show goodwill to the United States since Washington would run.

Mr. Kissinger believes that in the Middle East a major strugview, the questioner asked:
"One of the things we also
the moderates and the radicals the moderates and the radicals."

barred from reintroducing mili-tary combat forces in Indochina.

Intentions Kept Unclear

Just as Mr. Kissinger believed it would damage American interests to rule out all military options in the Middle East, Mr. Schlesinger clearly viewed it important to keep Hanoi worried about a reintroduction of American force.

of military action. Have you considered military action on oil?

'A Very Dangerous Course'
Mr. Kissinger asked: "Military action on oil prices?"

"Yes," was the answer. Then followed Mr. Kissinger's controversial response:

"A very dangerous course course."

"A very dangerous course considered military action on oil prices?"

"Yes," was the answer. Then followed Mr. Kissinger's controversial response:

"A very dangerous course."

"A very dangerous course."

"The more likely the radicals will prevail in driving up prices and demands.

"Signal' Evidently Not Intended The "strangulation" remark apparently flowed from Mr. Kissinger's own philosophy and not from any high-level decision to send a "signal" to the Midle East.

"A very dangerous course." He said, in answer to a ques-East, erican re-entry into the conflict Mr. Kissinger and his aides have expressed amusement at "I think that the North Viet-

namese continue to have an abiding respect for American power, that they do not dis-count American power, and that they are reluctant to take those steps that they fear might conceivably lead to a reintoduc-tion of American power," he said..

U. S. Opinion Called 'Volatile'

When a newsman asked whether he really believed Hanoi was still concorned about American intervention, given the Congressional ban on American combat forces in Indochina, the Defense Secretary replied:

"American opinion, indeed, is volatile. American opinion, historically, has reacted in anger torically, has reacted in anger to outright aggression, unprovoked massive attacks. Hanoi still recognizes that were a massive invasion of the type of 1972 to occur, that the President has the power to approach the Congress and the Congress under those circumstances might well authorize the use of under those circumstances might well authorize the use of American force."

At the moment, Congressional opinion seems sharply op-posed to any introduction of American forces in the area and most observers believe the Administration will have great difficulty even in obtaining the additional funds it wants for South Vietnam and Cambodia.

American officials acknowledge that both hypothetical sit-uations—the use of force in oil uations—the use of force in oil production areas and the use of American combat forces in Indochina—are hardly likely to come about. But by dangling the possibility before the radical oil producers and Hanoi's leaders, the Administration hopes to achieve its objectives short of actual use of force short of actual use of force.

REMEMBER THE NEEDIEST!