C.I.A.'s Action on Chile Not U.S. Policy, Ex-Aide Says

election.

Strong doubts about the propriety of the C.I.A.'s action were expressed by Senator J. W. Fulbright, chairman of the Sen-ate Foreign Relations Committee, and Senator Frank Church. Mr. Church, Democrat of Idaho, heads the subcommittee on multinational corporations that is investigating the activities of I.T.T. in Chile.

1.1.1. in Chile. Senator Fulbright, Democrat of Arkansas, said that it looked to him as though the C.I.A. was "responding to a request by a former director of the C.I.A." rather than to govern-mental policy and was "going off in another direction."

Nationalization an Issue

Earlier testimony had dis-closed that John A. McCone, former C.I.A. chief who became Richard Helms, his successor at the intelligence agency, to suggest that the Government take steps to prevent the elec-tion of Dr. Salvador Allende Gossens as President of Chile. Dr. Allende, a Marxist, had campaigned on a platform of nationalization of basic indus-tries in Chile, including the telephone company of which hatomaization of basic mous-tries in Chile, including the telephone company of which I.T.T. was the principal owner. Senator Church asked Mr. Meyer whether the top-level governmental agency that is supposed to approve the intel-ligence agency's operations in advance—it is known as The 40 Committee—had ever "de-cided as a matter of policy that the C.I.A. should explore the feasibility of stirring up eco-nomic trouble". in Chile. "To-my certain recollection, no," Mr. Meyer replied. But he and subcommittee members engaged in a long

By EILEEN SHANAHAN Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, March 29-harles A. Meyer, former As-istant Secretary of State for istant Secretary of State for istant Secretary of State for inter-American affairs, said to-day that, so far as he knew, the Central Intelligence Agency was never specifically author-of using private American cor-porations to damage the econ-or of clandestine activities in 1970 election there. But Mr. Meyer refused to criticize the C.I.A. for discuss-ing this line of action with In-ternational Telephone and Tele-graph Corporation inter State for the C.I.A. for discuss-ing this line of action with In-ternational Telephone and Tele-graph Corporation; did not necessarily violate the basic United States policy of Mr. Broe discussed the may but mis about the Strong doubts about the