10-2-71 onkin Gulf" Notes

reviewing my book, "Tonkin Gulf" by Marder and "the ournose of the de-patrel---which led to the present war to gather extrain types of buildary an-tion. The reviewer is cutified to his in but I would like to note that the pion strawn by Mr. Mar or is not i by my book.

Indian arows by Mr. Market is not by my book.
The basic purpose of the destroyer patrol and of the major questions—of the whole 1 pkin Gulf ode. In 1964 the patrol was a sythed of this as "noutine" My book to show the prover Machen collected intelligence, and secondates on the probable up of this "hence but as to the primar purpose of the patrol was done in the postality—for which evidence exists—that the patrol was to get sunk or shot at.
The latter possibility is discussed by the purpose of the patrols was manning purpose of using American warmings as halt for which end to be patrol was to get sum. The ance-secret documents report that U.S. officials had no interation of using American warmings as halt for which end to be patrols was manning be the patrol was be the patrols was manning be the patrols w

North Vietnamese atlick. But the Pentagon Papers, while extremely valuable in other respects, offer a skimpy and rather inaccurate account of naval ac-tion to the Tonkin Gulf, and I think that this calls into question the accuracy of their conclusion. The weakness of the official doc-ments is most easily demonstrated in the case of the night strack alleged to have taken place in September of 1964. The au-tions of the Pentagon Papers do not seem to have acrow that a secret wavy Board or In-convention no credible evidence for this at-tack

tank The above and other deficiencies in the Pennance Papers remind me of certain sto-ties that were leaked to the press seven years are by anonymous American officials remain that the Pennagon's morestion that the Pentagon's s without realizing it found a cover story in their top se

EUGENE G. WINDCHY.