Fulbright Assails Vietnamization Plan

By TAD SZULC Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Dec. 10 -Renewing his attacks on Presi-

WASHINGTON, Dec. 10—
Renewing his attacks on President Nixon's Vietnam policies, Senator J. W. Fulbright predicted today that the Administration's "Vietnamization" plan would mean "continuing terror and death for the indefinite future" for the Vietnamese people.

As defined by the Administration, Vietnamization is the process of gradually transferring combat responsibility from the United States to South Vietnamese troops as American units are gradually withdrawn from Vietnam.

But Senator Fulbright, Democrat of Arkansas, the chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee described the process as "a continuing war of stalemate and attrition, with a reduced number of Americans reverting to their pre-1965 "advisory" role in asemi-permanent war of counterinsurgency."

Mr. Fulbright carried his per sonal anti-Administration campaign to a university forum at St. Louis today. His assault on Mr. Nixon's position was delivered in a memorial lecture for the late Senator Thomas C. dennings of Missouri at Wash-Senator Fulbright has sought since October to hold public hearings on the Vietnam war

ington University in St. Louis. of power," said today the Its theme was "The War and country needed to "shake off Why We Must End It." the lingering effects of the narcotic of power."

"Power is a narcotic," he said, "a potent intoxicant, and America has been on a 'trip.''

No Peril To U.S. Seen

The Senator maintained that the United States "has novital security interest in the preser-vation of South Vietnam as an independent, state." non-Communist

"Indeed," he said, "the U. S. has no vital interest in whether South Vietnam is governed by Communists, non-Communists or a coalition; nor is it a matter of vital interest to the U.S. whether North and South Vietnam are united or divided.

"Our interest," he went on,
"is in the prevalence, whatever its form, of indigenous
Vietnamese nationalism; beyond
that, strategic interest gives
way to ideological preference
— if not, indeed, to ideological
obsession."

obsession."

Mr. Fulbright declared that when the war's "political purposes are recognized as unsworthy, as they have been in Vietnam, it is rank immorality to press on for a costly, destructive and probably unattainable victory."