Morse Declares Navy Messages Show Intent to Bait

By JOHN W. FINNEY Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Feb. 27 -

tine patrol" in the Gulf of Tonkin, as has been repeatedly asserted by the administration. Renewing his charge that the destroyers, the Maddox and Turner Joy, had engaged in "provocative" actions, Senator Morse made public a previously secret Navy message warning the two ships that North Vietnam had "thrown down the gauntlet and now considers itself at war with the United States." The message went on: "It is felt that they will attack U. S. forces on sight and with no regard for cost. U. S. ships in the Gulf of Tonkin can no longer assume that they will be considered neutrals ex-

will be considered neutrals ex-ercising the right of free trans-it. They will be treated as bel-ligerents from first detection and must consider themselves as such."

Message to Commander

The message was sent by the commander of the destroyer task group, Capt. John J. Herrick, to the two destroyers.

It was sent following a North It was sent following a North Vietnamese attack Aug. 2 on the Maddox, but, according to Senator Morse, "several hours before the commencement" of the patrol by the Maddox and Turner Joy that led to the second incident on Aug. 4.

It was on the basis of the second incident, which the Administration has described as an "unprovoked" North Vietnamese attack on the two de-

as an "unprovoked" North Viet-namese attack on the two de-stroyers, that the Administra-tion ordered the first bombing raids against North Vietnam and obtained Congressional ap-proval on the Tonkin resolu-tion. The resolution was later interpreted by the State De-partment as a "functional equivalent" of a declaration of war.

In view of the message, Sen-In view of the message, Senator Morse questioned whether the Administration could "honestly" contend that the two destroyers, when attacked, were on "an innocent, routine patrol, asserting legitimate rights to travel on the high seas."

by Senator Morse, the Defense order that Captain Herrick sent Mr. McNamara. The main bur-

Tonkin on Aug. 4, 1964, dem-without approval by the Joint onstrated that the Navy was intending onstrated that the Navy was intended that the Navy was intended to treat the destroyers were on "routine onstrated that the Navy was intended to treat the destroyers as intended to treat the destroyers were on "routine operations and was intending to treat the destroyers were on "routine disclose, according to Senate sources who have studied the messages, that on Aug. 3, a day before the Moorer "recommendation," Wice Adm. Roy L. Johnson, commander of the seventh fleet, had already or dered the destroyers to modify their course to the northine patrol" in the Gulf of Tonkin, as has been repeatedly asserted by the administration.

Tonkin on Aug. 4, 1964, dem-without approval by the Joint to treat the destroyers as But Senator Morse charged that the Administration—and Mr. McNamara—were present closed last Saturday with the publication of testimony by Defense Secretary Robert S. McNamara before the Senate seventh fleet, had already or dered the destroyers to modify the nose" of the Morse speeches of the Morse particularly took issue with Mr. McNamara's assertion last week—repeated over the weekend by Assistant plans to deliver in rebuttal to Bundy — that Congress was asserted by the administration.

Department, in rebuttal, said a message back on Aug. 3 den of the Secretary's testimothe message was not an order warning that North Vietnam ny was that the Administration Senator Wayne Morse charged to the destroyers but was a apparently considered the destoday that Navy messages prior recommendation to higher comtoday with the South Vietnamese 34-A attack and that the to the incident in the Gulf of mand that eventually "died" with the South Vietnamese 34-A attack was unprovoked since Tonkin on Aug. 4, 1964, dem-without approval by the Joint operations and was intending the destroyers were on "routine

'Not Viewed as Routine'

From the message he said, was apparent that "the asit was apparent that "the assertion of rights of this kind was not viewed as routine by the Navy."

"We were out to bloody their nose," he declared. "We had the chip on our shoulder."

As another indication of the

the chip on our shoulder."

As another indication of the "Navy attitude toward the purpose of the patrol," Senator Morse cited a message sent on Aug. 4 by Adm. Thomas H. Morrer, then commander in chief of the Pacific fleet and now Chief of Naval Operations.

The Morrer message urged

chief of the Pacific fleet and now Chief of Naval Operations. The Morrer message urged that the destroyer patrol be continued in order to "clearly demonstrate our determination to continue these operations." It went on to recommend, among other things, that the course of the destroyer patrol be changed to the northward so that it might possibly draw North Vietnamese patrol boats away from the area of South Vietnamese naval operations against North Vietnams.

In the Senate Foreign Relations Committee's inquiry into the Gulf of Tonkin incidents, the Morrer message has played a critical part because it indicates that contrary to Administration assertions, there was a connection between the destroyer patrol and the South Vietnamese naval operations, known as "Op 34-A." Vietnamese naval operations, known as "Op 34-A."

When the Moorer message was first disclosed last week

Hanoi in Gulf of Tonkin Incident of '64

Vietnam.

Noting that the Tonkin Resolution was considered by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and the Senate Armed Service Committee at a secret hearing on Aug. 6, 1964, with testimony by Mr. McNamara, Secretary of State Dean Rusk and Gen. Earle C. Wheeler, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Mr. Morse said:

"There is not a line in those hearings supporting the proposition that members of those of the service of the said, was one word by the United States.

"The Administration would have us believe that this was not provocative," he declared. Senator Morse called upon the Foreign Relations Committee "to pursue a full-scale investigation of the Tonkin incidents." He also suggested that the direction of Hon Me and Hon Nieu, two North Vietnamese islands, "coming within four miles of those islands before turning southward."

"aware" at the time it approved the Tonkin Resolution that the destroyers were engaged in "electronic surveillance" of Communist China and North Vietnam.

Committees, which were the ones constituted to consider the president's proposal, were had been attacked only 40 hours aware that the Maddox was engaged in electronic surveillance."

Nor. he said was one word by the United States