Morse Says Destroyer Provoked the Incident Off Vietnam in 1964 22 FEB 68

By E. W. KENWORTHY ecial to The New York Tim

WASHINGTON, Feb. 21-A study prepared by the staff of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee asserts that the destroyer Maddox was under orders in 1964 to take provocative action in order to induce the Chinese Communists and North Vietnamese to turn on their radio and radar so the destroyer could monitor them. This finding led Senator Wayne Morse, Democrat of Oregon, to take issue today on the Senate floor with testimony by Secretary of Defense Robert S. McNamara on Aug. 6, 1964, and again yesterday, in which Mr. McNamara said the Maddox was "on routine patrol."

"He calls it a 'routine pa-trol,'" Mr. Morse said. "The Maddox was a spy ship under instruction to stimulate the electronic instruments of North Vietnam to carry out a spying activity. That is not a routine patrol for a destroyer. The United States was a provocateur in the Gulf of Tonkin on Aug. 4, 1964, and history will so record."

The Maddox came under at-Continued on Page 15, Column 1

ys Maddox Provoked dent in Gulf of Tonkin

ist China. the study also disputes Mr. amara's testimony in 1964 "our Navy played abso-no part in, was not as-red with, was not aware ny South Vietnamese ac-[against the islands of ne and Hon Nieu], if there any." k. any.

se two North Vietnamese 2000 inds were bombarded by outh Vietnamese PT boats on the night of July 30-31 and again on the night of Aug. 3-4.

South Vietnamese PT boats on the night of July 30-31 and again on the night of Aug. 3-4. The staff study says that the boats for these South Vietnamese raiding operations known as 34-A, which were set up in February, 1964, by South Vietnam and the United States
Military Advosory Group. The study says that on July 10 the Pacific Commander in chief authorized fleet units involved in the De Soto patrols to get in touch with the head of United States military assist ance in Vietnam "for any additional intelligence required for prevention of mutual interferSouth Vietnam and the United States Military Advosory Group. The study says that on July 10 the Pacific Commander in chief authorized fleet units involved in the De Soto patrols to get in touch with the head of United States military assist ance in Vietnam "for any additional intelligence required for prevention of mutual interferSouth Vietnam and the United States will available. The study concludes: "Therefore, Secretary Mc-Namara misled the committee by not telling the committee lon Aug. 6, 1964] how increasingly ambiguous the reports on the second incident became as the hours wore on. What he described in such positive terms was actually a highly confused was a

Page 1, Col. 7 Aug. 4 the Pacific Fleet com-mander sent a message to the

uePage 1, Col. 7
etnamese pa-
raftAug. 4 the Pacific Fleet com-
mander sent a message to the
Maddox, saying:
"The above patrol will (A)
Clearly demonstrate our deter-
mination to continue these op-
erations (B) possibly draw NVN
Secret imony on Aug.
64, Mr. Namara did not
the collitee that the
s were of intelligence mis-
s. Yesterday, he told the
mittee of their mission, but
1 insisted the patrols were
utine."Aug. 4 the Pacific Fleet com-
mander sent a message to the
Maddox, saying:
"The above patrol will (A)
Clearly demonstrate our deter-
mination to continue these op-
erations (B) possibly draw NVN
(North Vietnamese) PGMS (pa-
trol boats) to northward away
from area of 34-A OPS, (C)
eliminate De Soto patrol intef-
ference with 34-A OPS."
Disputing Mr. McNamara's
contention in 1964 and yester-
day that the destroyers had
"absolutely no knowledge" of
the South Vietnamese attacks
and "were not connected" with
floor speech today:
"Why, it was a decoy opera-
tion. That is what the message
means. You follow the course
that will draw the North Viet-

The study also asserts that ships, operating under the a name "De Soto," also d along the coast of Com-ist China.

air strike ordered by the Presi-dent on North Vietnam, the Pacific commander in chief was still sending messages to the boats acking, "Can you confirm

ance in Vietnam "for any addi-tional intelligence required for prevention of mutual interfer-ence with 34-A operations and such communications arrange-ments as may be desired." Again the study quotes a message from the Joint Chiefs increasingly demanded caution of Staff to the commander of the Pacific Fleet approving the Maddox mission. The message cautions that "activity in 34-A operations has increased." The study also says that on just too strong."