E NEW YORK TIMES, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1969

Beret Case Raises Many Issues

By MAX FRANKEL

WASHINGTON, Sept. 30-From mysterious start to melodramatic finish, the case of the Green Berets has been a case of "Who's in charge here, anyway?"

A Difficult Contention
Those questions hung like barnacles to the case of the Special Forces soldiers charged with the murder of a South Vietnamese agent—with single, double or even triple loyalties. The Army appeared to believe for a time that it could stage a conventional court-martial to determine the "facts" of a single death. But it was forced to retreat because too many men and institutions were unwilling or unable to cope with the larger questions.

The White House was never enthusiastic about a long and public trial of the case, of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the day. Even if it had felt compelled at first to clear up its own complicity and faced with some of the country's best trial lawyers threatening or unable to cope with the prosecution as merely persecution.

But the Army's senior offithe Case, of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Green Berets.

Yet the arguments of the C.I.A. itself probably would have carried the Jerum upon to element the factom probably some carried the Army and the clear up its own completity and faced with some of the country's best trial lawyers threatening to make public the most sensitive information.

The impression here, from the Pentagon, was that the national-security argument had resolved the last doubts in the country in the C.I.A. and perhaps of the war that

ForemostIs Question reasons. Secretary Resor of Responsibilty and of Influence

charges yesterday the on way?"

Who has charge—and responsibility—for the Army mendetached from their service for unmentionable operations of the Central Intel
the Central Intel
on was in no way involved in the Special standing of privilege is often claimed.

The defendants are said to have told their families that contention that President Nix
on was in no way involved in the Special standing of privilege is often claimed.

The defendants are said to have told their families that contention that President Nix
on was in no way involved in the Special standing of privilege is often claimed. the Central Intelligence Agency? On was in no way involved in the United States commander the matter. Many were surprised, in fact—after all the less-than-candid accounting to debates here over "control" of the supersecret agency—that any President would let stand the impression that the C.I.A.'s definition of the national section of the matter. Many were surprised, in fact—after all the less-than-candid accounting to the supersecret agency—that any President would let stand the impression that the C.I.A.'s definition of the national section for the death of a loyal agent.

gued to the end that the killing was murder rather than just bitter duty. Others in the Army appeared intent on asserting their command responsibilities over the Special Forces, for which a special

gress exert more influence than the President's Cabinet over the processes of military justice? Who determines when the backed away a step today, saying that he had no personal alknowledge of the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is other retreat in this case, but it leaves only new questions about the pressures that determined the President's against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is other retreat in this case, but it leaves only new questions about the pressures that determined the President's against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is sues. On hte horizon are student demonstrations and new protests against the war that determined the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is sues. On hte horizon are student demonstrations and new protests against the war that determined the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is sues. On hte horizon are student demonstrations and new protests against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is sues. On he horizon are student demonstrations and new protests against the Army were some of the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the Pentagon's warmest supporters on other defense is sues. On he horizon are student demonstrations and new protests against the Army were some of the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the President's calculations. Arrayed against the Army were some of the President's calculations.