

NYTimes APR 8 1975 C.A. (D)
Bundy Denies Any Assassination Plots

By **NICHOLAS M. HORROCK**
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, April 7 — McGeorge Bundy, President Kennedy's aide for national security affairs, said today that he had told the Rockefeller Commission that he had "no recollection" of plots to assassinate foreign leaders or of formal discussions of such plans during his White House tenure.

Mr. Bundy, in a brief meeting with reporters after a closed-door session with members of the commission, which is investigating the Central Intelligence Agency, said he could not exclude informal discussion in which White House aides "sat around and said how nice it would be if this or that leader of government did not exist."

This was the only area of his testimony that Mr. Bundy discussed.

There have been published allegations concerning a C.I.A. plot during the Kennedy Administration to have Premier Castro of Cuba killed. But Mr. Bundy, who handled sensitive foreign policy matters for President Kennedy, seemed to challenge the idea that any assassination plot was formally presented to the White House for approval or ever approved.

Meanwhile, in a separate development, the Government filed a brief in a Federal Court case that strongly implied that the Army had traced the route of documents stolen from military intelligence commands in West Germany to member of the press and possibly Senate aides.

The brief was filed in a suit by the American Civil Liberties Union against Secretary of Defense James R. Schlesinger on behalf of American antiwar groups in West Germany. The original suit, filed last year, attempted to bar the Army from surveilling United States

servicemen and civilians in West Germany.

The Army's tactics of watching anti-Vietnam war groups in West Germany came to light in the summer of 1973 when several news media published reports of military spying, including telephone taps, based upon documents apparently from military intelligence unit files.

At the same time Senator Lowell P. Weicker Jr., Republican of Connecticut, turned over similar documents from West Germany to the Armed Services Committee.

Judge Given Documents

The Army has placed a packet of documents in the hands of the trial judge, William B. Jones, in Federal District Court here, which its brief claimed contained "a full and complete disclosure of the actions of military intelligence personnel in connection with one aspect of this investigation. The complete reports of the investigative method used and the information received, with no deletions, are included in the submission."

Sources close to the investigation said the material given to Judge Jones would show that the Army investigators,

Pull-Tab Cans Presenting News 'Medical Problems'

Pull-tab ingestion is one of the newest medical maladies, says Medical Tribune, a newspaper for doctors, United Press International reports.

It happens this way: a person pulls ring off beverage can and drops same inside the can and drinks, swallowing the tab accidentally.

It's difficult to pin down. The tabs are aluminum and don't show up on x-rays once inside the anatomy.

Finish-

presumably using electronic surveillance, had traced a chain of custody of secret documents after they were taken from military files in West Germany. One source said this chain might well include "newsmen, lawyers and others."

The New York Times was among the publications that had had military documents made available to it. Senator Weicker is known to have sent a member of his staff to Germany to investigate allegations of military spying as an outgrowth of the Senate Watergate inquiry.

According to the Army's brief, which was prepared and submitted to the court by the United States Attorney's office here, "in early 1974, Army intelligence personnel in Europe received certain information which appeared to relate to the unauthorized release of these classified documents. This information suggested possible leads which, if pursued, might enable intelligence personnel to ascertain the identity of person or persons responsible for the theft and unauthorized release."

"In fact, these leads were pursued by means of certain intelligence collection methods and procedures, resulting in confirmation of some of the information received earlier," the brief said.

The A.C.L.U. is expected to seek access to the documents now held by the judge. The Army has asked the judge to review the material in secret and to decide whether it is relevant to the case. If he decided to rule it was relevant, the Army brief said, the Secretary of Defense would then decide whether to label the material a matter of national security and attempt to exert his "privilege" to have the material remain sealed.