## C.I.A. Chief Says Charges Imperil Intelligence Work

## By JAMES M. NAUGHTON

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, William E. Colby, the director William E. Colby, the director At the same time, however, of Central Intelligence, told he confirmed in his testimony ger."

In rare public testimony on Capitol Hill, Mr. Colby said that

Excerpts from statement by Colby are on Page 19.

of the C.I.A., in the news media active or destroyed in 1974. He and elsewhere, had jeopardized did not identify any of the relations with intelligence agen- Congressmen. cies in other nations, raised the rale in the C.I.A.

raised the question whether had engaged in other questionsecret intelligence operations

Feb. 20-States," Mr. Colby said.

Congress today that "exagger before the House Defense Apated" charges of improper conduct by the agency had "placed the names of four members or American intelligence in dan- former members of Congress, including "at least a couple" of unnamed opponents of the Vietnam war, had been entered in C.I.A. files.

He said that with the exception of one file on a deceased Congressman, which is still ex-'misrepresentations" by critics tant," the files were either in-

Mr. Colby's appearance bespecter of peril to American fore the subcommittee appeared spies abroad and lowered modesigned, both by the agency designed, both by the agency and most members of the panel, "The almost hysterical excite- to afford the intelligence direcment that surrounds any news tor a friendly forum to reply story mentioning C.I.A., or even to published allegations that referring to a perfectly legiti- the agency had violated a legal mate activity of C.I.A., has ban on domestic activities or

can be conducted by the United Continued on Page 19, Column 1

## Continued From Page 1, Col. 3

able practices.

able practices.

The subcommittee has House jurisdiction over the C.I.A.'s secret annual budget: Normally, intelligence directors testify only behind closed doors and rarely do they even make public the texts of their prepared remarks. remarks.

Today, however, Mr. Colby read a 20-page statement and

read a 20-page statement and answered questions for nearly three hours as television cameras whired and reporters and some sightseers looked on in a Capitol hearing room.

Mr. Colby said that he welcomed an examination of the purposes and conduct of the United States intelligence community by the select committees established in both the Senate and House and by a White House commission.

But he declared that "a number of responsible Americans

ber of responsible Americans are concerned that a degree of hysteria can develop that will result in serious damage to our country's essential intelligence work by throwing the baby out with the bath water."

with the bath water."

Allegations Challenged
Mr. Colby specifically challenged, as either "false" or as "misrepresentations," several allegations about C.I.A. activities, including the following:

The said he could find no evidence to support an account published last month by The New York Times, quoting an unnamed former C.I.A. undercover agent's description of clandestine surveillance of dissident American citizens in the New York area. Mr. Colby said the reporter who wrote the article may have been "the victim of what we in the intelligence trade call a "fabricator."

The denied speculation by Charles W. Colson, the former counsel to President Nixon, that counsel to President Nixon, that the C.I.A. had prior knowledge of the Watergate burglary in 1972. Mr. Colby said that Mr. Colson, recently released from a prison sentence that resulted from the Watergate scandals, had a "lack of credibility [that] should cause the charge to fall of its own weight," but that it was not supported by any Watergate investigation either.

that it was not supported by any Watergate investigation either.

The said various published accounts that police departments in the United States provided false credentials for C.I.A. agents or otherwise assisted in domestic C.I.A. involvement had "warped" the agency's "friendly liaison relationships" with police forces.

The said a charge that the agency was planning to spy on allied nations in contracting for studies of overseas transportations and the said and the

The said a charge that the agency was planning to spy on allied nations in contracting for studies of overseas transportation developments had stemmed from "an dangerous misunderstanding of the true nature of modern intelligence." Mr. Colby did not state that the charge originally was made by Senator Richard S. Schweiker, Republican of Pennsylvania, a member of the new Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

Mr. Colby said that shortly before The New York Times published its account of alleged C.I.A. activities, "he [the Times reporter] contacted me stating he had obtained information of great importance indicating that CI.A. had engaged in a massive domestic intelligence activity, including wiretaps, break-ins, and a variety of other actions."

"In response to his request," Mr. Colby continued, "I met with him and explained to him that he had mixed and magnified two separate subjects, I.E., the foreign counterintelligence effort properly conducted by C.I.A. and those few activities that the agency's own investigation had revealed and terminated in 1973. He obviously did not accept my explanation and, instead, alleged that C.I.A. had conducted a 'massive illegal domestic intelligence operation.'

"I am confident that the investigations of the President's

ion.'
"I am confident that the inrain confident that the investigations of the President's commission and the select committees will verify the accuracy my version of these events."

The intelligence director con-

tended that such "exaggerations and misrepresentations of C.I.A.'s activities can do irreparable harm to our national inelligence apparatus and, if

carried to the extreme, could blindfold our country as it looks ahead."

Mr. Colby did not specify in much detail what risks he belived were entailed in the public discussion of the agency's conduct.

duct.

He did say, however, that "a number of the intelligence services abroad with which C.I.A. works have expressed concern over its situation and over the fate of the sensitive information they provide to us."

Mr. Colby also stated that "a number of our individual agents abroad are deeply worried that their names might be revealed, with resultant damage to their lives as well as their livelihoods."

He told the committee that seven of eight companies invited recently o bid on a covert — but, Mr. Colby said, proper—C.I.A. contract had refused, apparently out of con-cern that their businesses might be embarrassed by subsequent disclosures.

disclosures.
Conversely, though, Mr. Colby said that applications for employment with the C.I.A., normally about 600 every few weeks, had climbed to 1,700 in the first two weeks of January

the first two weeks of January as an apparent consequence of public interest in the agency.

Mr. Colby stressed that he believed the intelligence community's ability to help maintain international peace "can decline if our intelligence machinery is made ineffectual through irresponsible exposure or ill-founded exaggeration."

Most members of the essentially conservative subcommit-

Most members of the essentially conservative subcommittee spoke sympathetically of Mr. Colby's efforts to improve the agency and to end what the director insisted had been "mistakes" that were "few and far between."

Mr. Colby submitted to the

Mr. Colby submitted to the panel a copy of testimony he had given Jan. 15 to a Senate committee, along with five pages of additional information about C.I.A. activities in the United States.

## Data on Congressmen

The new information included the statement that, the past eight years, our counterintelligence program holdings have included files on four members of Congress."

members of Congress."

"With the exception of one file still extant on a deceased Congressman, those files are inactive," the statement continued. "Two of them were destroyed in 1974. None contained any material that originated in C.I.A., except for one travel cable and two cables quoting press announcements of conferences."

Mr. Colby did not elaborate on the presumably still active

on the presumably still active file on the deceased member of

Congress.
Mr. Colby had told the Senate Mr. Colby had told the senate last month that there never had been any surveillance of Congressmen and that, with one technical exception, no files existed containing data on mambage of Congress as had existed containing data on members of Congress, as had been stated in a New York Times article last December.

Under questioning today, Mr. Colby said that such files were the natural consequence of in-telligence gathered by the agency on conferences overseas and that names of members of Congress had been noted mere-ly among those who took part

ly among those who took part in the meetings.

Asked by Representative Jack F. Kemp, Republican of upstae New York, if the files dealt, as the account in The Times had alleged, with "at least one avowedly antiwar member of Congress," Mr. Colby said that "at least a couple" of the members fit that description.

The rarity of the public testi-

The rarity of the public testimony was underlined by the opening remarks of the sub-committee chairman, Representative George H. Mahon, Demo-crat of Texas. He noted that there would be the customary closed budget hearing tomor-

row and that the open forum today was "not as usual."

Mr. Mahon praised, by name, each of the men who has served as C.I.A. director since the agency's inecption in 1947. While not condoning any "mistakes" the agency might have made, Mr. Mahon said, "I do want you to know you are among people who believe in the intelligence mechanism."

The only sharp questioning

The only sharp questioning from the panel came from Representative Robert N. Giaimo, a Connecticut Demo-crat who is also the second-senior member of the New House Select Committee on

Intelligence.

"I have yet to have heard one word from anyone on your side of the table or ours," Mr.

side of the table or ours," Mr. Giaimo said at one point, about whether activities of the agency might "infringe upon rights of American citizens." Mr. Colby replied that he, too, was determined to safeguard rights of citizens and end any improper practices, but that such practices had been rare.