WXPost Helms Backs CIA Action. Cites President's Concern

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

Richard M. Helms, the former director of the Central Intelligence Agency, testified yesterday that it was concern over possible foreign links to rising unrest in the United States in the early 1960s, plus the "express concern of the President" at that time, that led to CIA involvement in domestic intelligence opera-

Helms, now ambassador to Iran, headed the CIA for 6½ years until early 1973, the period in which most of the controversial CIA activity that has come to light in recent weeks took place.

The former intelligence, chief, issuing his first public statement yesterday on the expanding month-long CIA controversy, conceded no wrong-doing by the agency during his tenure.

his tenure.

Instead, Helms sharply criticized some elements of the press for what he called an "irresponsible attack" on the CIA, "the principal allegations of which," he charged, "remain unsupported."

Helms testified behind closed.

Helms testified behind closed doors yesterday before the Senate Armed Services Subcommittee on Central Intelli-gence, and a copy of his open-



RICHARD M. HELMS . . criticizes press

ing statement was made available to reporters.

william E. Colby, who on Wednesday made available to another Senate committee an unprecedented accounting of CIA intelligence activities carried out in this country over the past several years, also testified yesterday.

Colby's statement denied that the CIA had engaged in "a massive, illegal domestic intelligence operation" that

See CIA, A16, Col. 1

CIA, From A1

had violated the agency's charter restricting it to collecting foreign intelligence.

Those charges were leveled at the CIA in a New York Times article of Dec. 22 that touched off the controversy and numerous congressional

inquiries.
Colby's statement acknowledged that the CIA did maintain files on some 10,000 U.S. dissidents, that it had infiltrated some antiward groups, opened some U.S. mail to Communist countries, and staged a few break-ins and telephone

taps over a period of year
While generally defending
these actions by his predecessors, Colby conceded that at least some of them in hind-sight, may be questionable.

Helms, however, made no such acknowledgement and

such acknowledgement and the ambassador appears cer-tain to be headed for some tough questioning in Congress. Rep. Paul Findley (R-III.) said in a House speech yester-day that Helms should not be permitted to return to Iran until he is cleared of any wrongdoing, and also charged that Helms has "greatly compromised his effectiveness" as ambassador.

Helms will also be questioned next week by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee to determine if his tes-timony to that panel in 1973 for his confirmation to the diplomatic post is in conflict

with new testimony.

At a February, 1973, closed hearing, Helms was asked about any earlier CIA activity aimed at keeping tabs on U.S. antiwar protesters and whether the White House the White House had requested the agency to get involved.

Helms, according to the transcript, replied: "I do not recall whether we were asked. But we were not involved because it seemed to me that was a clear violation of what our charter was" our charter was."

Yesterday, Helms suggested that in 1947 President Johnson did express concern to the CIA that it help in gathering data on the antiwar movement. But neither Helms nor Colby who made similar claimsthus far have provided specific documentation of such a presi-

dential request.

Helms also defended the actions as peculiar to those times and within the CIA's charter:

"In normal times," he said, "few Americans would ever come within the purview of our foreign intelligence operations. That happened only when evidence appeared of their involvement with subversive elements abroad."

Then, he went on, came "the sudden and quite dramatic upsurge of extreme radicalism in this country and abroad" in the late 1950s and early 1960s. Helms claimed that "by itself, this yiolence, this dissent . . . were of no direct concern to CIA. It became so only in the degree that the trouble was inspired by, or co-dinated with, or funded by anti-American subvention. by, anti-American subversion mechanisms abroad.

"In such event, CIA had a real, a clear and proper func-tion to perform, but in collab-oration with the FBI. The agency did perform that function in response to the express concern of the Presdient," he

Helms made no mention of CIA infiltration of groups in this country.

Helms claimed that "infor mation was indeed developed. largely by the FBI and Justice Department, but also from some foreign sources as well, that the agitation here did in fact have some overseas con-nections."

Helms is the first official to make such a claim of linkage publicly. There have been conflicting reports about this made privately by other officials, and Colby referred several times only to "possible" links.

In a related development yesterday, it was learned that another member of columnist Jack Anderson's staff, Less Whitten, was among the four journalists placed under surveillance by the CIA during 1971-1972 in what reportedly was an effort to find out who

was giving these reporters allegedly classified information.
Aside from Anderson and Whitten, there are indications that a third member of Anderson's staff also may have been watched by CIA agents.

Helms said in his statement that the press should have a healthy skepticism of things done secretly. But he also condone secretly. But he also contended that segments of the press don't understand the role of the CIA and that these current "irresponsible attacks" on the agency. "if suffered to pass unchallenged, could seriously damage" U.S. interests in a hostile world and undermine morale at the and undermine morale at the CIA.

CIA.

He claims it took "responsible" elements of the press—not identified—some two weeks to make the subtle distinctions in the CIA's role, but did not explain why the administration itself made no attempt during those weeks. attempt during those weeks after the initial press reports to try to put the agency's problems in perspective.