## OCT 1 1 1972

- NYTimes

- 24

# U.S. SUED BY TWO IN BERRIGAN CASE

Nun and Professor Charge Illegal Surveillance Acts

#### By DONALD JANSON Special to The New York Times

PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 10 PHILADELPHIA, Oct. 10 — Sister Elizabeth A. McAlis-and Prof. William C. Davidon sued the Federal Bureau of In-vestigation and the Justice De-partment in Federal District Court here today, charging il-legal electronic surveillance. Sister Elizabeth was one of the defendants in the Harris-burg Seven trial earlier this year and Professor Davidon was named by the government as

named by the government as a co-conspirator but was not indicted.

Charges that Sister Elizabeth and the other Harrisburg Seven defendants conspired to kidnap defendants conspired to kidnap Henry A. Kissinger, Presidential adviser on national security affairs, and blow up heating tunnels to Government bulid-ings in Washington were dropped after the jury voted 10-to-2 for acquittal. Sister Elizabeth and the Rev. Philip F Berrigan were convicted F. Berrigan were convicted only of a minor charge of smuggling letters to and from Lewisburg Federal Penitentiary, where Father Berrigan was im-prisoned

where Father Berrigan was im-prisoned. Sister Elizabeth and Profes-sor Davidon charged today that their telephone conversations had been tapped in 1970 and 1971 in violation of their con-stitutional rights to privacy, freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.

#### Mitchell Linked

They contend that the wiretapping was done at the direc-tion of John N. Mitchell then Attorney General, without a court order or legislative authorization.

Defendants in the case inbrendants in the case in-clude Mr. Mitchell: his succes-sor, Richard G. Kleindients, and L. Patrick Gray 3d, acting director of the F.B.I. The plaintiffs cited a Mitchell deposition submitted to the Federal District Court in Harris-burg last usage in which has

burg last year in which he asked the court not ot order disclosure to Sister Elizabeth of "telephonic overhearings of her voice."

Mr. Mitchell said in the depo-Mr. Mitchell said in the depo-sition that her conversations had been recorded "during the course of a national security surveillance of a telephone in-stallation to which she initiated calls or from which calls were initiated to her."

### Transcripts Given Judge

As required by the court, Mr. Mitchell ubmitted for Judge R. Dixon Herman's in-spection a description of the premises where the tap was placed and transcripts of the conversations overheard. He asked the judge to keep them sealed sealed.

The surveillance, he said, was "authorized by the Presiwas "authorized by the Presi-dent, acting through the Attor-ney General," and was essen-tial to "protect against a clear and present danger to the structure or existence of the Government of the United States."

The jury found no conspiracy that threatened the Govern-ment.

During post-trial proceedings, the contents of her monitored conversations were made known to Sister Elizabeth. Professor Davidson said that

the Government had not yet formally advised him that his telephone conversations had been intercepted. The plaintiffs seek \$101,000 each in compensatory and puni-tive damages

tive damages.