ISMISSAL ASKED IN BERRIGAN CASE

Defense Raises the Issue of Pre-Indictment Publicity

sylvania, in Harrisburg, Pa., where the indictment was handed up by a Federal grand jury Jan. 12.

The seven - count indictment alleged that the six defendants — seven other persons were named as co-conspirators but named as co-conspirators but not as co-defendants — had plotted to kidnap Henry M. Kissinger, President Nixon's adviser on national security affairs, and to blow up heating-plant tunnels in Government buildings in Washington.

Two Routine Motions

The six were the Rev. Philip J. Berrigan, a Roman Catholic priest now in prison for having destroyed draft records; Sister Elizabeth McAlister; the Rev. Neil McLaughlin; the Rev. Joseph Wenderoth, and Anthony Scoblick Scoblick.

Among the six motions are two that are fairly routine— a request for a "bill of partic-

been filed by defense lawyers in the Berrigan conspiracy case, including a motion to dismissive the charges because of publicity before indictment and a challenge to the constitutionality of a Federal statute under which the six defendants were charged.

Copies of the motions were made available in New York yesterday by the Defense Committee for the Harrisburg 13. They were filed recently with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania, in Harrisburg, Pa, where the indictment was bond.

"the right to an unbiased grand jury is guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment."

Another motion asks for the dismissal of six of the seven counts in the indictment on the ground that the statute upon which they are based .

charges against the defendants, Federal prisons — is uncon- and a motion for "discovery and inspection by defense counsel" of evidence relating to the case.

One motion case.

One motion asks that the indictment be dismissed on the ground that "pre-indictment that introducing "any thing whatsoever" into such an obsecution. . so biased the grand jury proceedings that . . . the defendants' constitutional rights were violated."

First Amendment. The statute, united the legality of the methods by which the evidence was obtained and the indictment if it appears to have been obtained through "illegally obtained evidence."

The charges relate to compare the right munications of the methods by which the evidence was obtained and the indictment if it appears to have been obtained through "illegally obtained evidence."

A motion requests a pretrial hearing to determine the legality of the methods by which the evidence was obtained and the indictment if it appears to have been obtained through "illegally obtained through "illegally obtained through the motion requests a pretrial hearing to determine the legality of the methods by which the evidence was obtained and the indictment if it appears to have been obtained through "illegally obtained through" in the methods by which the evidence was obtained and the indication of the indication of the indication of the indication of the methods by which the evidence was obtained and the indication

The charges relate to communications alleged to have passed between Father Philip Berrigan and Sister McAlister.

The charges relate to communications alleged to have passed between Father Philip Cation" questionnaires used for both grand and petit juries was also filed.

C

11

The motions were filed by J. Thomas Menaker, acting as one of the 13 defense lawyers in the case. The others include Ramsey Clark, former United States Attorney General; Paul O'Dwyer, a former Democratic candidate for the United States Senate from New York State, and Leonard Boudin, who and Leonard Boudin, who served as defense lawyer for Dr. Benjamin Spock, the pediatrician, during his 1968 trial in Boston on charges of having aided young men in evading the draft.