## U.S. Judge Bids Virginia Halt Abuse of Prisoners

NYTimes

By BEN A. FRANKLIN NOV 1 1971 Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct.  $31 - A_1$  pending the appeal. This is go-Federal judge in Virginia has ing to be affirmed." issued a sweeping injunction Key state officials received against that state's prison sys-advance notice of Judge Mer-

usual punishment of inmates so that his ruling could be put the regular use of bread and immediately into effect. water, chains, physical punishment, enforced nudity and the his opinion that the evidence

censorship of mail.

Judge Robert H. Merhige Jr., Continued on Page 46, Column 4 accompanied by a 78-page opinion, was filed yesterday without public notice at his court in Richmond. It was released here today by Philip J. Hirschkop of suburban Alexandria, Va., the lawyer who tried the case.

The prohibitions were effective immediately.

Mr. Hirschkop, who was engaged by the American Civil Liberties Union, called the court's action "a bill of rights for inmates."

"I think you have to expect the state to appeal this decision," he said, "unless Governor Holton can prevail upon his Attorney General and others to accept it. I really expect an appeal, but I do not think that any important part of Judge Merhige's order will be staved

tem, barring as cruel and un-hige's findings several days ago

Judge Merhige declared in presented during a two-week The order of Federal District trial last November disclosed

Continued From Page 1, Col. 4

"a disregard of constitutional guaranties of so grave a nature as to violate the most common notion of due process and hu-mane treatment."

He specifically enjoined the State Department of Welfare and its Division of Corrections from doing the following:

¶Imposing bread and water punishment on any inmate for any infraction of prison rules.

¶Using chains, handcuffs, hand-restraining tape or tear gas "except when necessary or required to protect a person from imminent physical harm or to prevent escape or serious injury to property." Testimony at the trial disclosed that such restraints were used commonly on "obstreperous" inmates, often without disciplinary hearings.

¶Using physical force "against any inmate for purposes of punishment."

Trorcing nudity or bodily restraint of any kind as a means of punishment or otherwise "for good behavior, to all prisoners any period longer than it shall be reasonably necessary to secure the services of a doctor" to determine whether an inmate must be restrained to protect findings by disciplinary boards. himself from self-inflicted in-

¶Placing more than one inmate in the same solitary confinement—Virginia's so cells are  $6\frac{1}{2}$  by 10 feet solitary cept in an emergency."

¶Interfering with or imposing punishment for efforts by inmates to file court documents, to have confidential communication with lawyers, even when confined to solitary, and to write legislative or other government officials.

The judge also ordered the a rehearing. restoration of "good time," or In most V



Associated Press Judge Robert H. Merhige Jr.

who had been docked such time without hearing or "without compliance with minimum standards of due process," such

Judge Merhige gave the defendants, who are the top cortors of many of the 36 state - "ex- prison facilities, 60 days to institute uniform due-process hearing procedure for cases in-volving the docking of good time.

from such confinement pending

In most Virginia prisons, parcredit toward early release for ticularly the maximum-security exercise, sometimes for months.

penitentiary in Richmond and the medium-security state prison farm at Goochland, Judge Merhige said, a prisoner who is docked good time and placed in solitary is effectively denied any reaccumulation of good time as long as he remains in solitary. Such punishment, he said, has been meted out for "indeterminate" periods.

In a specific reference to the

so-called C-cell, the solitary confinement cell block at the Virginia State Penitentiary in Richmond, where a week of the trial was held, Judge Merhige directed that prisoners being held there be afforded full dueprocess hearings with 30 days or be released to the general prison population.

The judge ordered the State Division of Corrections to prepare and distribute to the court, as well as to Virginia's 6,000 state prisoners, a complete list of rules and regulations setting forth "standards of behavior expected of each inmate" and the minimum and maximum punishments for each rule viola-

## Violations Found

"In many instances," the judge found, "punishment has been of such a nature as to be abusive and violative of the most generic lemnts of due process and humane treatment.

Judge Merhige ruled that Virginia's prison system had consistently violated the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution, which prohibits cruel and unusual punishment.

He noted that prisoners comtwo meals a day, were allowed to shave and take showers only once a week and were denied