Angolan War: Test for American-Soviet Detente NYTIMES JAN 8 1976

By DAVID K. SHIPLER cial to The New York Times

MOSCOW, Jan. 7-The Soviet Union's involvement in Angola seems at least partly based on a belief that the ghost of Viet-nam still haunts American

nam still naunts American diplomacy, narrowing American options, reducing American flexibil-ity and undermin-Analysis ing Washington's lavarage against

and among the American pub-lic to any substantive role in another civil war.

As a consequence, despite aid to Angolan factions from the Central Intelligence Agency, the Russians appear to have calculated that resistance from the United States on the ground in Angela will be minimal, pos-ing little risk for the Soviet position.

This raises the question of what tools remain to the United what tools remain to the United States in situations where it chooses to counter the expan-sion of Soviet influence. Some Western diplomats in Moscow s say that without the capacity to respond to Soviet challenges in such peripheral areas as Angola, Washington is being forced into threats to disrupt détente and manipulation of is-sues more important than more important than Angola.

Kissinger Threat Recalled

Knowing a good deal about the attitude of the members Mr. Kissinger's devotion to de-itente of which he was a major Unity, which begins a session architect, the Kremlin may in- on Angola later this week in

Analysis ing Washington's lave lowered tarms on soviet leverage against Moscow. Soviet analysts understand the debil-itating effects of the United States' involvement in Vietnam. They are keenly aware of the resulting aversion in Congress and among the American pub-itation of the United subsidiaries of American cor-porations in Japan and Western Europe, as well as from those porations in Japan and Western Europe, as well as from those countries themselves.

The Kremlin is also regarded as unlikely to be moved by Mr. Kissinger's hints that the negotiations on strategic arms may be hindered. Moscow may be hindered. Moscow evidently believes that Pres-ident Ford needs the new pact to bolster his record as he enters the election campaign.

On the other hand, the Rus-sians may be influenced if they appreciate the rising sen-timents against détente in the United States, and if they un-derstand how their military support to the Luanda authori-ties in Angola can become a focus for antidétente emotions during the campaign. This may be what Mr. Kissinger is bet-ting on ting on.

Resurgence of the Right

But some doubt exists in the diplomatic community about the extent to which Mos-These diplomats note that last month Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger declared: "We are talking to the Soviet Soviet press has reported on Union within the context of our over-all relationship, and clear that Soviet officials grasp there is no question that our if we do not find an adequate International interest that an adverte the soviet involvement might jeopardize his scheduled trip to Moscow in January to continue talks on a treaty to limit nuclear arms. And he announced that the Ford Administration would deternational interest that anything that the soviet involvement might and the announced that the Ford Administration would deternational interest that anything that the soviet involvement might anything that the soviet involvement might anything that happens in the soviet anything that happens in the soviet

Noscow does have highly in-nuclear arms. And he an-nounced that the Ford Adminis-tration would drop plans to push again in Congress for trade concessions to the Soviet In a sense, these are higher stakes, than were attached to Vietnam. When Moscow sup-plied the Communists there, Mr. Kissinger did not raise the specter of new Soviet-American tension or suggest that arms, it a most is not suggest that arms, it and that the most is not suggest that arms, it a most is not suggest that arms, it and that the most is not suggest that arms, it and that the most is not suggest that arms, it and that the most is not suggest that arms is not suggest that arms, it and that the most is not suggest that arms i

specter of new Soviet-American tension or suggest that arms negotiations and trade relations would be damaged. Bluff by U.S. Is Seen One difference is that the Administration had plenty of military aid to provide in Viet-Administration had plenty of mam. Now, one non-American diplomat observed, "the only card Kissinger has to play is the over-all relationship with the Russians guess they can call Washington's bluff." Knowing a good deal about

deed think he is bluffing. From here, the American postion looks brittle, the Soviet flexi-ble. The diplomatic tools left to Mr. Kissinger seem crude, oversized for the job. Some diplomats think they may not even be effective. The Russians seem to have done well without American trade benefits, which would have lowered tariffs on Soviet goods and increased low-inter-est credits for Soviet purchases. Moscow has apparently been