Doar Jin, 7/6/st

I've just finished reading the Kilty deposition and it tires me. Well, that and days that are now too long for me and the problem still too fact. (Gdaly, or to me oddly, the doctor didn't kick the decage of commadin up last week when it was as much to fact, and he didn't today. Instead he told me to take an extra Sug today only and then to continue at the lower level I've been on mince it sailed into the stratosphere. Of course I do as he says.) I think that part, purhaps much, is enotional, for I can't not reali so that these kinds of gays could be as happy in the KCB or a Gestapo.

Anyway, I regard this deposition transcript as one of the more important distorical records. Those people didn't know how much they dropped, and even the nasty Cole, with his lily-gilding, was very helpful. To eliminate any question of scope of the request by describing it as all-inclusive, toward the end if you over have to ,look it up.

The select me today, before I'd read this, what we want to ask in our notion when you file it. After meding this, on top of the other work I've been doing, with records provided and not provided and the provious depositions an affidavite, I went you to give senious thought to asking for a perjusy charge, after I an deposed or testify, whichever it is. Against Kilty.

I am without any doubt that his intention was perjury. I have no reasonable doubt that he did perjure himself, as "understand the definition, and while I do not believe that "ratt or any other judge will look with fewer on much a charge, " believe it must be made.

The thing that surprises we about both Kilty and Cole, if not the others involved behing the scenes with them, is that they didn't adop to think that I had the complete files Kilty said he searched. So I not only have the files he searched, I have the pertinent records his search should have produced. And he was not really evacive in what he searched and what he provided as a result of the search. So, I have testing of three-shots other than he accounted for by providing any pertinent records at all, when the suit is for I'm results of all testing, seemed to include all raw material. I have the pages they withhold when they finally provided the curbst one workshoets. It not only turns out that there are two different handwritten versions, the one in the main file not being the same as the one they mailed to you after we leaneds on them before - they also withheld two handwritten pages of "ranter's notes. In rather than the spectrographic test reflecting proof of a bullet more or ballet hitting that spot, he said it could have been an automobile wheelweight. Now it and bullet cores do not have the same load composition, so if it could have been a wheelweight it could not have been a bullet lead.

I'll be putting a case together as a by-product of the preparation for deposition or affidavit and you can evaluate wit better the, but meanwhile think about it.

Ask yoursalf shy they should lie at all. Especially in this case, given its history; and with me, given their history with me and my known willingness to label false essenting as false essenting.

I think the reason is the harm that canonse from the truth being estendished. We have an important admission from "ilty on that, by the ways they could have made a quantitative spectro on all the bullet materials and didnot with the JPK shooting while they did with the Tiput bullets. Kilty forget and was willing to show off, so he told us that as done it was impossible to get any quantitative reading. And that is the only one that makes any difference. When you have bullet hat and you subject it to spectrographic analysis, you don't do that to establish that the substance is bullet noted, because you know that to build with. Qualitative testings does not seem analysis enable establishing common origin.

You may remember that throughout I've her saying that when they say similar origin they really mean not common origin. Unless they say what in no single case they did say, quantitatively similar.

Or, they knew in advance that the story was a phoney and they did what t ey had to to make it appear that they had solved the crime. Describbel

Milty was also atypically helpful in his willingness to talk about tests that could still be made. I think that probably he was trying to get off the central subject, but whatever explains it is not as important as the fact, that saiding a test of that spot on the eleberone today is simple, and he even gave us the choice of tests. So, I trink this is one thing we must to ask limit. We've get enough of a case of phoneting with regard to that and the incredible tale of destroying that single plate only and with their initial failure to provide any record of testing and then previding an incomplete one and swearing it is complete. I'm as certain as one can be that any test will reflect the fact that the concrete mix of the patch is cardly distinguished. What a story that will be today! And that it and the rest of this approach cen't do for FOIA today!

And all of this after still another appeals court recard. His flippeary with regard to then we much have in the case record, for clearly this will go there yet again.

Anyway, I regard my judgment, that this is ME case in potential atgrificance, in more than asrely vindicated.

The report they produced and tried to palm off as including the overlap testing of the shirt is Exhibit 19. The court reporter forget to copy the second page. She's mailing it. Their problem is that they don't dare produce any such testing or those results because they know the holes were not of ballistics origin after the testing. I proved it by other means, but the pictures leave no possibility of doubt, the holes do not coincide or overlap. That is they reaster had a hair-and-fibres expert make the test when Francisc was the ballistics expert...I won't ask 'il to make me woulding copies until it cools off. Resumble, I'll continue butting that I'd already copied together, by subject. Hestily,